

## Citizenship Laws and International Migration in Historical Perspective

Graziella Bertocchi and Chiara Strozzi

NOTA DI LAVORO 71.2005

#### **MAY 2005**

KTHC - Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital

Graziella Bertocchi, *Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia and CEPR* Chiara Strozzi, *Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia* 

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://ssrn.com/abstract=726203

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: www.feem.it, e-mail: working.papers@feem.it

The special issue on *Economic Growth and Innovation in Multicultural Environments (ENGIME)* collects a selection of papers presented at the multidisciplinary workshops organised by the ENGIME Network.

The ENGIME workshops address the complex relationships between economic growth, innovation and diversity, in the attempt to define the conditions (policy, institutional, regulatory) under which European diversities can promote innovation and economic growth.

This batch of papers has been presented at the sixth ENGIME workshop:

Diversity as a source of growth

ENGIME is financed by the European Commission, Sixth RTD Framework Programme, Key Action Improving Socio-Economic Knowledge Base, and it is co-ordinated by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM).

Further information is available at www.feem.it/engime.

#### Workshops

• Mapping Diversity

Leuven, May 16-17, 2002

• Communication across cultures in multicultural cities

The Hague, November 7-8, 2002

• Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities

Milan, March 20-21, 2003

• Governance and policies in multicultural cities

Rome, June 5-6, 2003

• Trust and social capital in multicultural cities

Athens, January 19-20, 2004

• Diversity as a source of growth

Roma, November 18-19, 2004

#### **Partners of the ENGIME network:**

- Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milano, Italy
- Psychoanalytic Institute for Social Research, Roma, Italy
- Institute of Historical, Sociological and Linguistic Studies, University of Ancona, Italy
- Centre for Economic Learning and Social Evolution, University College London, UK
- Faculty of Economics and Applied Economics, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
- Idea Consult, Bruxelles, Belgium
- Maison de la Recherche en Science Humaines, Laboratoire d'Analyse Socio-Anthropologique du Risque, Maison de la Recherche en Sciences Humaines, Université de Caen, France
- Centre for Economic Research and Environmental Strategy, Athens, Greece
- Institute of Higher European Studies, The Hague University of Professional Education, The Netherlands

## Citizenship Laws and International Migration in Historical Perspective

#### **Summary**

We investigate the origin, impact and evolution of citizenship laws. Citizenship laws originate from the common and civil law traditions, which apply jus soli and jus sanguinis, respectively. We compile a data set across countries of the world starting from the 19th century. The impact of the original, exogenously-given laws on international migration proves insignificant for the early, mass migration waves, which confirm to be driven primarily by economic incentives. Postwar convergence of citizenship laws is determined by legal tradition and international migration, but also by border stability, the establishment of democracy, the welfare burden, cultural factors and colonial history.

**Keywords:** Citizenship laws, International migration, Legal origins, Democracy, Borders

JEL classification: F22, K40, N30, O15

Previous versions of this paper have been presented at the North American Summer Meetings of the Econometric Society in Evanston, the WDI/CEPR Conference on "Transition Economics" in Hanoi, the Conference on "Economic Growth and Distribution" in Lucca, the CEPR Conference on "Understanding Productivity Differences Across Sectors, Firms and Countries" in Alghero, and the AIEL conference in Modena. We thank conference participants for helpful comments and suggestions. Financial support from the Italian University Ministry and the European Commission is gratefully acknowledged.

Address for correspondence:

Graziella Bertocchi
Dipartimento di Economia Politica
Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia
Viale Berengario, 51
I-41100 Modena
Italy
Phone: +39 059 2056873

Fax: +39 059 20568/3

E-mail: bertocchi.graziella@unimore.it

## 1 Introduction

Each country of the world has established a complex system of legal rules that govern the attribution of citizenship. Because of the increasing pressure of international migration, citizenship laws have moved to center stage on policy agendas. By regulating the inclusion of newcomers within societies that exhibit unprecedented diversity, they represent powerful tools to promote social cohesion and preserve common traditions, with implications not only for immigration policy, but also for labor markets, welfare programs, and international relations.

We investigate the origin, the impact and the evolution of citizenship laws from a political economy perspective with a specific focus on the legal rules regulating the access to citizenship at birth. Such laws come from two broad traditions, common and civil law. The former applies the jus soli (i.e., through birthplace) principle, according to which the child of an immigrant is a citizen, as long as he is born in the country of immigration. The United States are the archetypal example of such an arrangement. The latter applies the jus sanguinis (i.e., through parental descent) principle, according to which a child inherits citizenship from his parents, independently of where he is born. Countries in Continental Europe have traditionally applied this kind of legislation.

Our first goal has been to assemble a new data set covering citizenship laws in a large number of countries which also captures their evolution starting from the 19th century. Because of the sharp differences between Continental Europe and America, citizenship policy needs to be evaluated as one of the possible causes of the different historical experience, especially during the early, mass migration era. Our findings suggest that citizenship laws did not contribute to the economic forces that determined the early, mass migration waves. In the postwar period, citizenship laws have undergone a process of adjustment which is not yet completed. We investigated which factors can account for the observed patterns of evolution, and found that citizenship laws responded endogenously and systematically to migration, the legal tradition, border stability, the consolidation of democratic institutions, the welfare burden, as well as to cultural factors and postcolonial history.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the historical and legal background for the issues we raised. Section 3 contains references from related streams of research within the field of economics. Section 4 describes our data set on citizenship laws around the

world. Section 5 illustrates our empirical strategy, which is then applied in the next two sections. Section 6 focuses on the impact of citizenship laws on international migration in the early, mass migration period. Section 7 studies the recent evolution of citizenship laws and its determinants. While we focus primarily on legal tradition and immigration, we also control for border stability, democracy, the nature of the welfare state, national culture, colonial history, the level of development, and a number of geopolitical factors. Section 8 concludes and indicates directions for future research. The Data Appendix collects information about the data employed, other than those in the original data set on citizenship laws.

## 2 Historical and legal background

Citizenship is a legal status that designates full membership in a state and the associated rights and duties. There are several ways to acquire citizenship: at birth, by naturalization, by marriage. While we will consider all aspects of citizenship acquisition, we focus primarily on citizenship acquisition at birth, since these specific provisions can be linked directly to the two well-defined bodies of common and civil law, the first applying the birthplace principle (jus soli), the second the bloodline principle (jus sanguinis). Therefore we concentrate on citizenship acquisitions by second-generation immigrants, i.e., the children born of first-generation immigrants in the immigration country. In jus soli countries, an immigrant's child is automatically a citizen, while in jus sanguinis countries a child inherits citizenship from his parents independently of birthplace. Were a population and a territory to match each other exactly, the above distinction would be irrelevant but it does make a difference in the presence of international migration. In particular, regimes associated with jus soli are presumed to be more inclusive towards newcomers, while regimes based on jus sanguinis tend to embed an ethnic character.

In 18th century Europe jus soli was the dominant criterion, following feudal traditions which linked human beings to the lord who held the land where they were born. The French Revolution broke with this heritage and with the 1804 civil code reintroduced the ancient Roman custom of jus sanguinis. Continental modern citizenship law was subsequently built on these premises. During the 19th century the jus sanguinis principle was adopted throughout Europe and then transplanted to its colonies. By imitation, Japan also adopted jus sanguinis in this phase. On the other hand,

the British preserved their jus soli tradition and spread it through their own colonies, starting with the United States where it was later encoded in the Constitution. By the end of the 19th century, jus soli had become the norm in all common law countries, while jus sanguinis regulated citizenship law in most civil law countries. The adoption of these principles can therefore be viewed as exogenous. However, the next century witnessed a continuous process of transformation of citizenship laws across the world, with a marked acceleration after WW2. Therefore, current citizenship laws must be viewed as the endogenous outcome of a complex process involving several factors.

Political scientists and legal scholars have long acknowledged the importance of citizenship rules and have made significant contributions toward the understanding of the factors that shape them. In the face of the increasing scale and pace of international migration, the pioneering work by Marshall (1950), which defined membership within a bounded nation-state equipped with a common culture, has quickly become outdated. Modern sociopolitical theories have pointed to two main factors as the determinants of citizenship laws dynamics: legal tradition, and the disconnection between territory and population which is provoked by migration flows (Weil, 2001). When a legal tradition is perceived to fulfil the interest of the state in terms of migration, the core of national legislation is maintained. In the classic lands of immigration - the US, Canada and Australia - jus soli was therefore sustained for a protracted period of time, while Continental Europe long relied on jus sanguinis to maintain links with the descendants of its emigrants. However, the historical experiences of immigration and emigration gradually affected the original set of rules, with a tendency toward convergence which accelerated after WW2. Through a survey of 25 nationality laws, Weil (2001) shows that most jus soli countries have indeed become more restrictive while some jus sanguinis countries have added jus soli provisions. For instance, traditional jus soli countries such as Australia and the UK, pressed by unsustainable inflows of migrants, have turned toward more restrictive terms to gain citizenship by birthplace. By contrast, the presence of a growing immigrant stock pushed jus sanguinis countries in Europe toward the adoption of a more inclusive concept of citizenship. While France anticipated this tendency by almost two centuries, most other European countries in more recent days have at the very least formally included double jus soli (i.e., automatic citizenship acquisition for third-generation immigrants) in their legislation. On the other hand, the UK and Ireland, as countries of emigration, progressively added sanguinis provisions, while the presence of a large stock of emigrants may exert opposite pressure to restrict the prerequisites to acquire citizenship by descent. The overall tendency toward convergence around a combination of jus soli and jus sanguinis provisions inevitably occurs, again according to Weil, as long as a country starts perceiving itself as a country of immigration rather than of emigration, and provided that the following two additional conditions are satisfied: consolidation of democratic values and stabilization of state borders. A commitment to democracy leads naturally to a more assimilative attitude toward aliens, while the stabilization of borders tends to reduce the pressure to preserve a national identity through jus sanguinis. Achieving border stability after the fall of the Berlin wall was a decisive factor in pushing Germany towards the long-delayed adoption of jus soli elements in the face of a large stock of disenfranchised immigrants. Likewise, the attempt to preserve an ethnic heritage through jus sanguinis has played a crucial role in countries such as the former socialist in Eastern Europe and Asia, which have gone through a recent period of turmoil. The same tendency had emerged earlier on during the decolonization phase. To sum up, convergence of citizenship laws to a mix of jus soli and sanguinis has been linked to the presence of a large immigrant stock, stable borders and a consolidated democracy, and is prevented when one of these conditions are not satisfied.

An additional factor that has been the subject of debate is the influence of national character and culture. The theory advanced by Brubaker (1992) focuses on France and Germany as two antagonistic kinds of nationhood, the former more assimilationist, the latter more ethnocentric, which also differ in their definitions of citizenship. German citizenship law has always been restrictive toward non-Germans, while France, confident in transforming immigrants into French people, was expansionist toward them. Weil (2001), however, does not find evidence of the existence of a causal link between national identity and nationality laws.

Finally, since citizenship rights are linked to the ability to enjoy welfare benefits, and to determine them through voting, citizenship policy has been associated with the nature of the welfare state, with a thick welfare state - as in Germany, or the Scandinavian countries - representing a potential obstacle to the application of jus soli (Joppke, 1998). The relative thickness of the concept itself of citizenship, if compared to residency, is a related, potentially relevant consideration: in the US, for instance, citizenship is relatively thin, in the sense that it confers few additional benefits if compared with residency.

In the next subsections we will discuss a few specific country cases in more detail, drawing mostly from Joppke (1998) and Aleinikoff and Klusmeyer (2000, 2001).

## 2.1 The United States

As previously mentioned, jus soli was encoded in the US Constitution through the 1868 Fourteenth Amendment, with the specific purpose to protect the birthrights of black slaves. Consistently with its history as a country of immigrants, and with a general positive attitude toward economic liberalism, the US approach is still remarkably inclusive in all its aspects, ranging from immigration policy to naturalization requirements. Debate about possible restrictions did arise recently, but never led to actual change.<sup>1</sup> In particular, jus soli came under attack in the 1980s regarding its applicability to the children of illegal immigrants. A relatively young and thin welfare state contributes to the fiscal sustainability of jus soli in this country. As a result of these combined elements, current citizenship law in America differs considerably from that of another classic land of immigration such as Australia, where jus soli had similarly been introduced by the colonists. In the postwar period, Australia went through numerous legislative and administrative reforms. Jus soli survived until 1986, while afterward a person born in Australia must have at least one parent who is either an Australian citizen or a permanent resident in order to acquire citizenship.

## 2.2 Latin America

In the face of a civil law tradition which had been transplanted by the European powers, this area has followed a rather peculiar pattern. At independence, most of the incipient states chose jus soli as a way to break with the colonial political order and to prevent the metropoles from making legitimate claims on citizens born in the new countries. Jus soli was encoded in the Constitution of Brazil in 1824, of Venezuela in 1830, of Argentina in 1853. Therefore, most of Latin America was already a jus soli country before the 19th century immigration waves began. Jus soli is still the prevalent rule in the area, even if it is no longer attracting immigrants. Mexico represents a special case where jus soli was also adopted in the 1814 insurgent Constitution, but was then abandoned in 1836, only to come back to stay, in combination with jus sanguinis, with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In his analysis of Mexican immigration, Huntington (2004) has criticized current nationality regulations on the grounds that they represent a "devaluation of citizenship".

a Constitutional Amendment in 1937. Furthermore, in 1997 citizenship acquisition through jus sanguinis was restricted to children of Mexican parents born in Mexico even though, at the same time, Mexican emigrants were allowed to keep their Mexican nationality even after naturalizing abroad. The latter provision was introduced to facilitate the integration of Mexican emigrants in the countries of destination, without severing their bond with their homeland.

## 2.3 The United Kingdom

British nationality law has been deeply affected by its imperial experience. Because of its colonial history, the concept of nationality in the UK was, up to WW2, particularly extensive, since all subjects of the British Empire had equal access to British citizenship simply by establishing residence in the UK. In 1948 six separate forms of citizenship were created (i.e., British Dependent Territories Citizen, British Overseas Citizen, British Subject, British Protected Person, Commonwealth Citizen, Citizen of the Republic of Ireland). Following a postwar wave of colonial immigration, this open-door policy was progressively restricted, even though special status is still attributed to citizens of the British Commonwealth. Redefinitions of national citizenship have been effectively employed, since the 1980s, as a form of selective immigration policy. The 1984 British Nationality Act restricts jus soli by establishing that a child born in the UK qualifies for British citizenship only if at least one parents is a British citizen or resident.

## 2.4 France

The emergence of the nation-state in Continental Europe was the main factor that shaped citizenship law in this area. The revolutionary experience was particularly important for France, where jus sanguinis was first introduced with the 1804 Civil Code and maintained for the entire course of the 19th century, even though military consideration introduced early on elements of jus soli. In order to secure immigrants' children born in France to the draft, in 1889 double jus soli became automatic. After WW2, large-scale immigration, especially from North Africa, raised concern regarding assimilation. Citizenship issues and the rights of immigrants became the object of heated debate in French politics. In 1993 Chirac introduced a restrictive revision to the legislation, that required a formal citizenship request from second-generation immigrants. With the Left regaining

political power in 1997, however, these restrictions were considerably revised, with the automatic assignment of citizenship at age 18 to those immigrants' children born in France who had neither requested, nor declined it. The case of France is frequently compared with Germany. Brubaker (2002) has influentially argued that the different path followed by these countries has been shaped by their cultural difference, with France sticking to its tradition of inclusive, state-constituted nation, and Germany to its exclusive, non-statal, ethnic identity.

## 2.5 Germany

The single most relevant event in the history of German citizenship law is certainly the fall of the Berlin wall, which paved the way for the achievement of stable national borders. Prior to that, the massive guest-worker immigration of the postwar period, mostly from Turkey but also from Southern Europe, had started to put under strong pressure, but to no avail, the original Wilhelminian citizenship law of 1913, which had established strong sanguinis ties with German overseas emigrants. With the foundation of the GDR and the consolidation of the Eastern Block, Germany found itself in the paradoxical situation of having to live with a large population of disenfranchised foreigners born on its soil at home, and at the same time with millions of ethnic Germans living behind the Iron Curtain. Achieving border stability was a decisive factor in pushing Germany towards the long-delayed adoption of jus soli elements. A first step in this direction was the new Foreigner Law in 1990, which turned naturalization from the discretionary exception into the rule. A major overhaul of the legislation, following an intense political struggle, was finally approved in 1999. Jus soli is now the norm in Germany (under the mild requirement that one parent has lived in the country for eight years). In the evaluation of the German experience, other factors that may have delayed the introduction of jus soli are, as previously suggested, the strong ethnic-genealogical character of the German national identity, and the thick nature of the German welfare state. The latter aspect may have played a role in shaping the evolution of citizenship policies in several other European countries and especially the Scandinavian ones, where jus sanguinis was functional to the large past emigration flows, but had recently to adapt to the quickly changing conditions, especially for high-immigration Sweden. As documented by Weil (2001), restricted forms of double jus soli are de facto applied, by now, in the vast majority of European countries, which recently adapted their legislation to the globalization of international

migration and its increasing impact on Europe. In particular, in the entire EU, with the exceptions of Austria, Greece and Luxembourg, access to citizenship by second and third generation is facilitated.

#### 2.6 Decolonization

Postwar decolonization had a major impact on citizenship rules applied around the world, and not only through the indirect impact on the metropolitan countries we previously examined. The vast majority of the African colonies that were subject to civil law countries practicing jus sanguinis stuck to this principle after independence. On the other hand, many former UK colonies rejected the British tradition of jus soli and switched to an often strongly ethnically tinged version of jus sanguinis. For instance, Sierra Leone's 1961 Constitution established that citizenship is transmitted only by descent and only to children whose father and a grandfather were Sierra Leoneans of African-Negro descent. In situations where instability was pushed to an extreme degree by the young age and the arbitrary borders of these countries, and was compounded with deep ethnic division, jus sanguinis tended to prevail as a way to control more easily the formation of national entities. At the same time, however, the associated exclusive notion of ethnic and tribal identity caused enormous problems in countries where colonial rule had left shaky democratic institutions. To these days, ethnic conflict lies at the roots of a chronic manipulation of citizenship rules in favor of one ethnic group over others. The 1964 Congolese Constitution, in an effort to exclude Rwandan immigrants, recognized citizenship only for persons whose parents were members of one of the tribes established within the territory by 1908. In 1981 Mobutu signed a new law on nationality requiring an ancestral connection to the population residing in the territory as far back as 1885. Marginalization and de-facto statelessness of significant strata of the population is the unavoidable outcome of these policies.

## 2.7 The disintegration of the USSR

Another major wave of citizenship law codification followed the disintegration of the USSR. The area had been sealed toward international migration but, as for all empires, there had been considerable migration within. The Soviet Union had occupied Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania in

1940. During the following decades millions of Russians were encouraged to settle in Latvia and Estonia (less so in Lithuania) in order to Russify them. To these days, large Russian-speaking, stateless, sizeable minorities are still present. After independence, the new citizenship laws of these three states reflected this heritage with an emphasis on jus sanguinis as the basis for acquiring citizenship. Lithuania, which was the least affected by Soviet immigration policy, showed the most inclusive, and Latvia the most exclusive attitude toward ethnic Russians. The issue for these states was how to balance a need to reconstitute their national identity around an ethnic model, and a commitment to democratic values with respect to the rights of minorities. Estonian and Latvian laws were sharply criticized by international organizations on the grounds of human rights. In the anticipation of EU integration, these recommendations were indeed fulfilled in the more recent legislation of the Baltics, while most other countries of the area still persist with discriminatory policies. By contrast, for the case of the Russian Federation, the salient fact in shaping current citizenship policy is the perception that many of its citizens are outside its borders, spread around the former regions of the USSR. Again, this perception as a country of emigrants pushes toward the persistence of jus sanguinis as the main principle, even though small concessions to jus soli have been made.

## 3 Related economic literature

This paper represents a first application to the question of citizenship policy of the comparativelegal approach. More generally, it contributes to a research program which has focused on the historical determinants of institutions. Moreover, it adds to research on international migration in a long-term perspective. It is therefore related to several separate branches of the literature.

The historical experience of international migration has recently been the focus of a number of studies, which have addressed both the causes and the consequences of migration flows. O'Rourke (1991), Hatton (1995, 2003), Taylor and Williamson (1997), Hatton and Williamson (1998, 2002) and Chiswick and Hatton (2002) examine world migration over the past four centuries, exploring its determinants as well as its impact on the host countries' labor markets and income distribution. Timmer and Williamson (1998) and Hatton and Williamson (2004b) study the immigration policies enacted in the 19th century. Recent developments in the debate on immigration are surveyed

by Borjas (1994). Within the literature on the historical determinants of institutions, Engerman and Sokoloff (2000) and Acemoglu et al. (2001) do consider colonial migration as one of the factors shaping institutions and in turn economic development. A related stream of the literature has modeled the political economy of migration, with contributions by Razin et al. (2002), Benhabib (1996), and Gradstein and Shiff (2004), while O'Rourke and Sinnott (2003) estimate voters' attitudes towards immigration. None of these papers, however, consider the role of citizenship laws.

The stream of research into which this paper perhaps fits more naturally is the literature on legal theory initiated by La Porta et al. (1998). The basic premise of this research line is the recognition that laws in different countries are adopted or transplanted from a few legal traditions, that the resulting legislative bodies reflect both the influence of the legal origin and the subsequent revision specific to individual countries, and that legal origins matter for a variety of economic issues.<sup>2</sup> Not only we test this theory by estimating the impact of citizenship rules on migration, but also we extend our investigation to the determinants of the dynamic adaptation of such rules. In a related vein, Barro (1999) and Barro and McCleary (2004) study the determinants of the institutions of democracy and state religion.

Recent work by Alesina and Spolaore (1997) and Bolton and Roland (1997) on the optimal determination of the size of nations, and thus state borders, is also relevant to our approach, both because country size in this literature is the same as population size and is potentially influenced by migration and by the legal status of immigrants, and also because borders play an important role on the determination of citizenship rules.

## 4 The data: Citizenship laws of the world

In this section we will present our data set on citizenship laws for the two time periods covered by our investigation: the age of mass migration, i.e., from 1870 to 1910, and the post-WWII period, i.e., from 1950 to 1999. Our empirical strategy and the motivation for focusing on two distinct

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>While La Porta et al. (1998) focus on legal protection of investor rights, legal theories have been tested in other areas, such as labor regulation in Botero et al. (2003) and the quality of government in La Porta et al. (1999). A model by Glaeser and Shleifer (2002) explains the origins of the two main original legal systems.

time periods will be illustrated in the next Section.

## 4.1 The age of mass migration (1870-1910)

The starting point for our investigation is - roughly speaking - the second half of the 19th century. By that time, the process of nation-state formation, and the associated codification effort, were completed in Continental Europe, while at the same time the revolutionary phase was over in those countries that had been the subject of the earlier colonization era. Soon after that, 19th century colonization would have extended the process of transplantation of legal tradition to the rest of the world. By the end of the century most countries of the world had established specific provisions regarding citizenship acquisition within a relatively well-developed legal system, which was exogenously determined with respect to each country's then current socioeconomic structure. For the 1870-1910 period our sample includes 17 countries: 12 current European OECD member countries plus three New World members - Australia, Canada and the US - and two New World nonmembers - Argentina and Brazil. For each country, we established which kind of citizenship law was in place at the beginning of the period under consideration. Since citizenship by the place of one's birth is a tenet of common law while jus sanguinis is associated with civil law, civil law countries were at that stage under a jus sanguinis regime, while jus soli applied in common law countries, with the only notable exceptions of Argentina and Brazil, which had introduced jus soli against a civil law tradition in the revolutionary period.<sup>3</sup> No significant change was introduced to the 1870 citizenship laws during the 40-year period under consideration. It is only after WW2 that the process of adaptation started to materialize.

## 4.2 The post-WWII period (1950-1999)

#### 4.2.1 Citizenship at birth

The main innovative input in our empirical investigation is the creation of a data set covering current citizenship laws around the world. The principal source for the information we codified is a directory compiled by the Investigations Service of the United States Office of Personnel Management in 2001, which provides synopses of the citizenship laws currently practiced in 190

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In the empirical analysis we will also evaluate the impact of the common law heritage.

countries. The sources for this directory were Embassies, the Library of Congress, and the Department of State. We supplemented this information with additional one from the CIA World Factbook (2002), the United Nations High Commission for Refugees (2003) and the survey in Weil (2001).

Starting with citizenship acquisition at birth, which is our principal focus, we attribute to each country an appropriate code for citizenship laws in 2001 and for the citizenship laws at the beginning of the period. We take 1948 as the starting point, even though there were nearly no reforms in citizenship laws around the world after the revolutionary period in Latin America, so that most of the legislation in place in 1948 had actually been developed much earlier. On the other hand, 1948 predates the decolonization phase and the related legislative reforms. We also coded citizenship laws in the intermediate year 1974, which divides the postwar sample in two subperiods of equal length, where the first fully captures the initial phase of postwar decolonization, while the second witnesses the globalization of international migration flows and its impact on Europe. This classification system allows us to capture the evolution of a country's legislation from the original to the current legal code.

For 2001 and 1974 citizenship laws, we construct three groups of countries, ordered by increasing degree of inclusiveness toward immigrants. Inclusiveness is minimal for countries subject to jus sanguinis (Group 1),<sup>4</sup> while it is maximal for countries subject to jus soli (Group 3).<sup>5</sup> Since, for many countries, the current legislation reflects a mix of jus soli and jus sanguinis, we also introduce an intermediate group, Group 2, that includes all countries where elements of jus soli are recognized, albeit in a restrictive form, and coexist with varying degrees of jus sanguinis. For example, a frequent provision that limits jus soli is double jus soli (i.e., automatic citizenship for the children of those immigrants who were also born in the country). Another is the ability, for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In the application of jus sanguinis, countries may differ on some factors, for example on the father's vs. mother's right to transmit citizenship by descent, the requirement of citizenship for one or both parents, the relevance of the marital status of the parents. Most of these factors depend on the interaction between local family law and citizenship law. A common exception to the general principle of jus sanguinis is automatic citizenship attribution to children of unknown parents.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Typically those countries that adopt jus soli combine it with jus sanguinis provisions for the children of their citizens born outside of their territory (although limitations to the ability to transmit citizenship acquired in this manner to the next generation usually apply through, for example, residence requirements).

a child born in a country were jus sanguinis prevails, to acquire citizenship at some later point (e.g., the age of maturity) subject to either residence requirements or application. Moreover, we interpret as an element of jus soli, that justifies the inclusion of a country within Group 2, the existence of a provision that birth in the country matters for naturalization. Since we focus on the degree of inclusiveness of the law towards immigrants, our classification does not emphasize how narrowly in turn jus sanguinis can be specifically applied. Examples of restrictions are generational requirements limiting the principle of citizenship by descent to the first or second generations of individuals born and residing abroad, residence requirements for parents, and the requirement that parents must be citizens other than by descent. Nevertheless, the following discussion will not neglect these aspects.

Turning to citizenship laws in 1948, we identified only two groups, pure jus sanguinis and pure jus soli countries. We also coded countries according to their legal origin. As previously noticed, our original citizenship laws often but not always coincide with the appropriate legal origin of the countries involved, with jus soli being associated with common law and jus sanguinis with civil law. The main exception to this pattern is - as previously mentioned - Latin America, where most countries adopted jus soli during the first half of the 19th century. From the La Porta et al. (1999) legal origin classification, we retained only the two main families of common and civil law. Therefore, we did not distinguish, within the broader civil law tradition, among the French, German, and Scandinavian versions, since they do not present any significant difference for the issue of citizenship. Moreover, while La Porta et al. (1999) introduce a separate class for socialist-law countries, we assigned them to their own class of common or civil law as it prevailed before the communist period.<sup>6</sup>

Our data set includes those 159 countries for which we were able to collect information on both original and current citizenship law, and for which migration data were actually available for the postwar period.<sup>7</sup> We coded citizenship laws as follows. Citizenship laws in 1948 is a dummy variable which is equal to 0 if a country adopts jus sanguinis while it is equal to 1 if a country adopts jus soli. Citizenship laws in 1974 and in 2001 are two ordinal variables which can take

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>In fact all socialist countries in our sample, with the only exception of Myanmar, come from the civil law tradition. Nevertheless, we did also experience, in the empirical analysis, with a socialist country dummy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>For details on migration data see the Data Appendix

three values: 1 if a country belongs to Group 1 (jus sanguinis), 2 if it belongs to Group 2 (mix) and 3 if it belongs to Group 3 (jus soli).<sup>8</sup>

The differential patterns of evolution that citizenship laws generate in 1948, 1974 and 2001 are summarized by the transition matrices in Table 1. The table shows that in 1948 jus soli was the rule in about 42% (i.e., 67 out of 159) of the countries, while jus sanguinis dominated in the remaining 58% (i.e., 92 out of 159). Among the countries that were under jus soli in 1948, we find the United States and Canada, all the Oceanian countries, most of Latin America and, within Africa and Asia, the British colonies. Within Europe, only the UK and Ireland belonged to this group. Therefore, in 1948 jus sanguinis predominated in most of Europe, including its Eastern part, and throughout the world in the countries which were subject to other-than-British colonial rule.

By 1974, 31% of the countries had jus soli, 62% jus sanguinis, and 6% had introduced a mixed regime. As of 2001, 23% of the countries apply jus soli, 55% jus sanguinis, 22% a mixed regime. Among the countries that still adhere to the jus soli principle are the United States, Canada, New Zealand, Ireland (which introduced restrictions to jus soli with a June 2004 referendum). UK and Australia, on the contrary, no longer adhere to this principle. Nevertheless, jus sanguinis still predominates, with 69% of the countries in Africa, 83% in Asia, and 41% (down from 94%) in Europe. Indeed, the growing group where a mix of provisions is applied is particularly well-represented in Europe, with 56% of the European countries including the formerly jus soli United Kingdom.

The table also shows that a large number of countries (37%) have started and ended as jus sanguinis, reflecting a pattern of stability. In other words, it is 68% of the originally jus sanguinis countries that have remained so. By contrast, 25% are steadily jus soli countries: this means that only 48% of the originally jus soli countries have not changed their policies. There is also a sizeable proportion of countries (18%) that have switched from jus soli to sanguinis, by completely eliminating birthplace as a criterion: most of them are former African colonies of the UK, which made this radical choice at independence. To sum up, despite the pressure of international migration, jus sanguinis appears to be relatively more resilient than jus soli, and there is growing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>The variables are ordered according to the degree of inclusiveness of their citizenship policy.

evidence of the emergence of a process of convergence to a soli/sanguinis mix, which affects 22% of the countries (of with 4% converge from jus soli by restricting it, while 18% converge from jus sanguinis by adding jus soli elements) and which intensifies in the second subperiod.

Tables 2 and 3 show summary statistics and pairwise correlations, respectively, for citizenship laws in 2001, 1974, and 1948. The correlation between 2001 and 1948 citizenship laws is 0.39, which points to some persistence as confirmed by the even higher correlation between 1948 and 1974, and 1974 and 2001 laws. Our dummy for a jus soli origin is significantly but not perfectly correlated with the dummy for common law legal origin (0.75).

## 4.2.2 Citizenship by naturalization and dual nationality

Naturalization and dual nationality policies are also relevant to the issues at hand, because to facilitate naturalization for immigrant parents may represent a substitute mechanism to attribute citizenship to children born in jus sanguinis countries. Beside, the general attitude toward inclusiveness revealed by a country's regulation of citizenship at birth is also reflected in its naturalization laws, with jus soli countries traditionally making naturalization much easier, at least for resident aliens. Within jus sanguinis countries, naturalization requirements again tend to be correlated with the revisions introduced for citizenship at birth. Basic rules may include a period of residence, renunciation of other citizenship, familiarity with the language and customs of the country, the availability of adequate means of support. We coded countries on the basis of the number of years of residence required for naturalization as of 2001, by constructing four classes (0-4, 5, 6-14, more than 14 years). Alternative ways to define classes yielded similar conclusions. In our data set 23% of the countries require five years of residence, which can be considered a relatively open attitude, while 48% require more time.

As of 2001, dual nationality was fully recognized in some countries, rejected in others, while in some other cases was in general not recognized but exceptions were allowed. The most common exception is majority divestiture, which involves recognition of dual nationality until the age of maturity for those children of citizens who obtain the nationality of the country of birth. We coded countries accordingly, by creating three groups. Dual nationality is not recognized for Group 1,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>We do not consider naturalization by marriage, since it is heavily dependent on family law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>For naturalization and dual nationality we have information on 140 of our 159 countries.

which includes 31% of the sample, recognized only under some circumstances for Group 2, and fully recognized for Group 3 (27% of the sample).

Combining the information we collected on citizenship at birth, naturalization and dual nationality, i.e., by averaging with equal weights an indexed version of the three classifications just described, we also constructed a general inclusiveness index defined on the 0-1 interval, with maximum inclusiveness being associated with 1. The corrected Cronbach's alpha of the indicator is 0.56, which assures sufficient reliability. Factor analysis indeed confirmed that our three variables share a common variance and are therefore generated by a single factor, which we interpret as degree of inclusiveness. Tables 2 and 3 also show summary statistics and pairwise correlations, respectively, for naturalization and dual citizenship in 2001 and for the inclusiveness index. As reported in Table 3, citizenship laws in 2001 and the inclusiveness index show a 0.77 correlation, while their correlation with dual nationality and naturalization (both indexed) is 0.22 and 0.38, respectively.

#### 4.2.3 Border changes

Border stability has been indicated as a factor that favors the inclusion of jus soli elements, while jus sanguinis may be preferred otherwise. In order to measure the impact of border stability on citizenship laws, we constructed three border change dummies based on data collected from Polity IV (2002). In particular, from the Polity IV variable CHANGE we recorded information on the following four types of events, capable of affecting state borders, starting from the year 1943:<sup>11</sup> State Disintegration (e.g., Yugoslavia in 1991); State Transformation (e.g., Germany 1990, East Germany 1945, West Germany 1945, Russia 1992); State Demise (e.g., Germany 1945, East Germany 1990, West Germany 1990, URSS 1991); and State Creation. This last group of observations is clearly the most numerous since it includes all the new countries gaining independence - and therefore state borders - in the postwar decolonization phase, the new countries formed in Europe after the fall of the Berlin wall, plus a few additional observations not linked to these two waves. Clearly there is substantial overlap among the observations recorded in the Polity IV data set. We adapted these data to our needs by matching them to the 159 countries appearing in our

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Even if we set 1948 as the initial date for our citizenship laws analysis, for border changes we included a few earlier events that fit within the phase of post-colonial independence.

citizenship laws data set. For instance, we count as a single event, occurring to Germany, the state transformation of East and West Germany in 1945 but also the state demise of Germany in the same year. Likewise, we treat as another single event, occurring again to Germany, the state transformation of Germany in 1990 and the state demise of East and West Germany in the same year. On the other hand, the separation of Bangladesh from Pakistan counts for two events, because it concerns two countries which are in our sample. Additional information when necessary was obtained from the CIA (2002). Overall, we distilled 104 events, occurred to 98 countries. On this basis we constructed three border change dummies: decolonization, fall of the Berlin wall, and other types of boundary changes. 50% of the countries in the sample went through a post-colonial redefinition of their borders, of which 87% occurred during the first subperiod, while 11% went through a post-1989 Berlin wall border change and 4% through other types of border changes. <sup>13</sup>

## 5 Empirical strategy

From the brief survey of recent world history illustrated in Section 2, we can reach a few preliminary conclusions. While the adoption of jus soli vs. jus sanguinis can be viewed as exogenous, jus soli appears to fit the needs of the traditional countries of immigration, but only until immigration is desirable and fiscally sustainable, as illustrated by the diverging experience of the US and Australia. The presence of birthplace rights, proxying for civil rights and perhaps welfare benefits, may actually be viewed as a factor that encourages immigration. Where jus sanguinis is the rule, an upsurge of immigration flows, and the consequent rise in the stock of immigrants, pushes toward the introduction of jus soli elements. However, countries which are young, have a strong ethnic identity, and/or perceive their borders as unstable, tend to adhere to jus sanguinis. Democratization tends to promote integration and, as a consequence, jus soli. Therefore, adaptation of the exogenously-adopted rules has to be accounted for.

Table 4 presents a long-term perspective of migration patterns for the 1870-1998 period for 14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>This discrepancy arises because a few countries were subject to more than one border changes during the time period under examination. This is the case for example of Germany, divided in 1945 and reunited in 1990, and of Pakistan and Bangladesh, which went through post-colonial state formation first, and division later.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Examples are the split between Pakistan and Bangladesh, and the unification of Vietnam.

countries, some from the Old and some from the New World. The source is Maddison (2001). The table confirms the magnitude of the early, mass migration wave, with high net flows of migrants for the 1870-1913 period.<sup>14</sup> Migratory movements slow down drastically in the interwar period, to resume in the 1950s. The table also reveals that over the 1870-1913 period jus sanguinis countries display negative migration rates on average while jus soli countries display positive ones.<sup>15</sup> By contrast, after WW2 and the globalization of the migration phenomenon, jus sanguinis countries also become countries of immigration. To sum up, there is clear-cut break between the early mass migration period and the post-WWII period, both in terms of their timing, and in terms of the characteristics of migration flows.

From the comparative legal literature we know that, as long as the main legal traditions can be taken as exogenous, they can be used as regressors to estimate their impact on economic variables. However, if we apply this approach to the specific branch of citizenship law, in order to satisfy the exogeneity assumption we must limit our perspective to the time period which predates the beginning of their change. On the other hand, once the evolution process has started, citizenship laws must be treated as endogenous, and entered in a regression as a dependent variable. Based on these general considerations, we will organize our empirical strategy in two separate steps.

First, for the early, mass migration period, which is historically well-defined and can be safely associated with exogenously-given citizenship laws, we will test through OLS regressions the hypothesis that the citizenship laws in place at the beginning of that period, i.e., 1870, have affected subsequent migration flows. Our empirical investigation on this point is presented in Section 6.

Second, for the postwar period, which has witnessed the process of evolution and adaptation of the original citizenship laws, we will test through an ordered logit model how current citizenship laws are determined, including among the regressors the two main candidate determinants, i.e., the legal tradition and immigration rates, as well as the additional factors previously identified, i.e., border stability, the consolidation of democracy, national culture, and the nature of the welfare state. We will also introduce additional controls such as colonial history, country size, and the general level of economic development, as well as geopolitical dummies which have often

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Chiswick and Hatton (2002) explain the differences among the 1870-1913 mass migration and the previous historical waves of contracted and coercive migration in 1600-1790, and pioneer migration in 1790-1850.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>However, a similar conclusion can be drawn if we divide countries between Western Europe and Western Offshoots.

been found relevant in related research on the determinants of institutions. We will perform this exercise both for the panel and for each cross-section over the two subsamples. This part of the empirical work is in Section 7.

A final consideration concerns the choice of the relevant measure of migration. Ideally, since gross immigration has been suggested as a factor that favors the application of jus soli, while emigration should tighten jus sanguinis provisions, one would want to gauge their importance separately. However, as detailed in the Data Appendix, data availability is a serious constraint, since reliable and complete information is available only for net immigration for the postwar period. On the other hand, migration theory has demonstrated that the same factors that should favor immigration into a country should also discourage emigration from the same country, suggesting a high positive correlation between net and gross immigration, and a high negative correlation between net immigration and emigration.<sup>16</sup> To be noticed is also that the UN definition of migrant covers cases where the decision to migrate is taken freely, and therefore should not include refugees. Often, however, the distinction between these two cases is a blurred one in the data.<sup>17</sup>

# 6 The impact of citizenship laws on migration in the age of mass migration (1870-1910)

## 6.1 Stylized facts

The age that precedes the First World War is usually depicted as the age of free and unrestricted immigration. While Britain was the main source of emigration during the first half of the century, Germany, Scandinavia and then Southern and Eastern Europe joined in during the second half. The main destination was North America, followed by South America and Australasia. Most of the migrants were young, many took advantage of friends and relatives networks, and economic incentives were crucial determinants of their decision. Emigration rates were initially highest for Ireland, which then witnessed a decline from the 1860s. Emigration from Germany also declined

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>In Section 6, we will discuss how for the early period results obtained for net migration are very similar to those for gross migration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Stark (2004) and Hatton and Williamson (2004a) specifically focus on refugees and asylum seekers.

from the 1880s, when Southern Europe started its rise.

Data availability has severely constrained any empirical research on the factors affecting international migration in this period. There are, however, a few notable exceptions. A stream of the literature has focused on emigration from a number of European countries. These studies typically analyze bilateral flows from one source country to one destination, or aggregate migration from a particular source country or to a particular destination. Hatton (1995, 2003) estimates a time-series model of emigration from the United Kingdom and concludes that over the long run emigration was determined largely by the relative wage. Hatton and Williamson (1998, 2002) focus on emigration from 12 European countries for the 1860-1913 period. They explain emigration rates as a function of four key fundamentals: the wage gap, which displays a strong negative effect; the source country birthrate lagged 20 years, as a proxy for adult cohort size, with a large positive effect; the stock of previous emigrants, with a positive effect; and the share of labor in agriculture as a development indicator, with a weak negative effect. With a focus the impact of international migration on income convergence, Taylor and Williamson (1997) collect data on (decade averages of) gross and net migration rates for 17 countries.

We assembled a unique set of data based on the same 17 countries considered by Taylor and Williamson (1997) for the 1870-1910 period. For each country we collected data on (decade averages of) net and gross migration rates, the wage gap with respect to the other countries and the agricultural share, both in decade averages, the young adult share at the beginning of each decade. Our regression analysis therefore adapts the basic specification in Hatton and Williamson (1998), even though we extend their framework to international migration. Moreover, for each country we were also able to establish the original citizenship laws in 1870, as well as their legal origin.

A preliminary look at the data is provided by Table 5, which reports net migration rates (migrants/1,000 population) for the countries in our sample. In principle, jus soli should encourage immigration, by expanding the opportunities of the second generation, while jus sanguinis should do the opposite. Indeed, over the period of interest, jus sanguinis countries display negative migration rates on average, while jus soli countries display positive ones. This holds true despite large differences in the performance of countries within each group (particularly noticeable for Argentina and Ireland). However, the same pattern is replicated and reinforced when we group

countries according to geography, i.e., Old vs. New World, which for that time period implies huge income differences. The following analysis will test if our measure of institutions displays any explanatory power besides that of more conventional factors such as earning differentials, demographic forces, network effects, and the level of development.

Table 6 reports summary statistics and Table 7 the pairwise correlations between the dependent and independent variables. For each variable, the maximum number of observations is 68, since we have 17 countries and four decades, but there are some missing data. To be noticed is the high correlation between the two alternative dependent variables, net and gross migration. Focusing on net migration, it shows a positive and significant correlation with the wage gap, a dummy for Argentina and the New World dummy, and a negative correlation with dummies for Ireland and Italy. The high correlation between the jus soli dummy and the wage gap (0.68) reveals a serious collinearity problem - confirmed by the tests we performed - which could be solved by adding further information which is simply not available for this early period. The correlation between the wage gap and the common law legal origin is even higher, at 0.75, but one should be cautious in suggesting a causation, since a very high correlation (0.65) can also be found between the wage gap and the New World dummy including civil law Argentina and excluding common law Ireland. The correlation between common law and jus soli is 0.77, which is high but far from perfect. To be noticed is also that net migration appears significantly correlated with jus soli, but not with common law.

The three country dummies were inserted to capture the special cases of Ireland, Italy and Argentina. In the Irish case, the extremely high emigration rate in our sample period can be explained by a specific, earlier shock, the great famine of the 1840s, which caused back then the emigration of two million Irish people (see O'Rourke, 1991). This migrant stock constituted a further stimulus to emigrate for the generations to come, through a powerful network effect. Faini and Venturini (1994) document the contrasting experience of Italy, where the emigrant stock effect was more important during the upswing of the emigration life cycle. The peculiarities of the Argentina experience with immigration are narrated by Landes (1998). In colonial times Argentina had an underdeveloped agricultural sector and chronic shortage of manpower, as a result of an unequal land distribution and a restrictive immigration policy. Immigration took off when agriculture was reorganized and developed during the last quarter of the 19th century, so

what is special of Argentina is the positive association between immigration and the agricultural share.

## 6.2 Results

Table 8 reports our OLS regression results with net migration rates as the dependent variable. In the first basic specification, jus soli has a positive but insignificant coefficient, despite its significant pairwise correlation with net migration, and it remains insignificant when the wage gap and the three country dummies are inserted in the second specification, where the dummies all display significant coefficients with the right sign. The coefficient of the wage gap is positive and significant, confirming its crucial role as uncovered in previous studies. Regression 3 displays a more complete specification with all relevant economic determinants, but without the jus soli dummy. Again the wage gap and the three dummies confirm their sign and significance. The agricultural share, which captures the level of development, and the share of the young population, which proxies for the emigration intensive cohort, both have a significant negative impact, as expected. The lagged value of the dependent variable, reflecting network effects, has a positive effect, as expected, but it is insignificant. The next specification, regression 4, adds the jus soli dummy, i.e., the effect of institutions, to the previous regressors, but its coefficient is again insignificant. The only effect of the dummy is to make the coefficient of the wage gap lose significance. The overall pattern for the other regressors is unchanged.

While the wage gap has been recognized as a crucial explanatory variable in international migration regressions for this period, its gradual reduction due to convergence has also been acknowledged and is confirmed within our sample by the decline of its coefficient of variation from 0.47 to 0.40. To correct for its potential endogeneity, in regressions 5 and 6 we replicate 3 and 4 by replacing the wage gap with its lagged value. Its coefficient is always positive and significant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>The positive impact of their interaction could be due to the presence of non-linearities. We also checked directly for non-linear effects of the agricultural share by adding its square to the regression, but the coefficient turned out to be insignificant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Network effects are captured by Hatton and Williamson (1998) with the stock of previous emigrants, an information we do not have.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Brazil is dropped from the sample with lagged migration because we only have the information on its agricultural share in 1870.

and the adjusted  $R^2$  is improved. In regression 6, jus soli even reverses its sign.

Timmer and Williamson (1998) construct an index of immigration policy for the five destination countries included in our sample (Argentina, Australia, Brazil, US, Canada) in the 1860-1930 period. They find that policy tends to become more restrictive as the ratio of unskilled wages over average income declines, i.e., as inequality increases (while other non-economic factors such as xenophobia or racism had no impact). However, their policy index is designed to reflect attitudes toward immigration rather than effectiveness of regulation itself. Hatton and Williamson (2004b) confirm that, until WWI, policy did not change much even though attitudes changed a lot for the worse as a reaction to the fact that migrants tended to be less skilled. Since citizenship policy could be interpreted an immigration policy measure, we tried to clarify the link between our citizenship laws measure and the policy index in Timmer and Williamson (1998), which did not include citizenship laws. However, since no change in citizenship law occurred in the relevant sample, its contribution to policy change - which is the focus of their analysis - would have been absent anyway. Citizenship policy could also be conceptually related to democracy, because of its relationship with suffrage.<sup>21</sup> However, the correlation between the jus soli dummy and the two alternative democracy indicators (democ and parcom, from Polity IV, 2002) in Timmer and Williamson (1998) is limited to 0.34 and 0.37, respectively. Adding any of the indicators to our regressions did not produce any additional insight.

For the same set of regressions we performed sensitivity checks, which confirmed the overall patterns. In a version excluding three outliers identified through the Cook's d test and the leverage test (Norway 1880 and 1890, Canada 1900), the sign, size and significance of the coefficients were unmodified, but the adjusted R<sup>2</sup> jumped up to over 0.80. We also run the analog of regression 1 with jus soli being replaced by common law legal origin, which also displayed a positive but insignificant coefficient. Finally, we also obtained similar results for a set of regressions with gross migration as the dependent variable (where the regressor reflecting the age structure of population was appropriately redefined as an average of the young population in the other countries). All these specifications are not reported for brevity.

Overall, we can conclude that there is no evidence of citizenship laws playing a significant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>The importance of suffrage institutions in general, as a way to attract migrants has been highlighted by Engerman and Sokoloff (2002).

role in explaining the massive migration flows that characterized the early period and confirm to be driven overwhelmingly by income, demographic and developmental differentials. Therefore, the evidence does not support the view that legal rules, and institutions more generally, play a relevant role at least for this specific issue and time period.

## 7 The determinants of citizenship laws in the post-WWII period (1950-1999)

## 7.1 Stylized facts

Table 9 reports summary statistics for all the variables we use in our study of the determinants of citizenship laws in the post-WWII period. Some of the key variables were already described in the data section, while here we also report additional dummy, categorical and continuous variables that we employed in our empirical analysis. The sample considered is a panel version of our data set, which includes two cross-sections of our 159 countries. The first cross-section is composed by country averages over the period 1950-1974 while the second cross-section is composed by country averages over the period 1975-1999. For each cross-section we treat citizenship laws at the end of the period (captured by the variable Current Citizenship Laws, which includes two observations for the laws in 1974 and 2001) as the dependent variable, and citizenship laws in 1948 as an initial condition.

Beside current citizenship laws, the initial 1948 laws and the three border change dummies already described, we introduce first the following geopolitical dummies: British colony, capturing those 49 countries that were British colonies after 1918, Latin America, sub-Saharan Africa, Southern Europe, and small, oil and socialist countries. The net migration rates variable displays a large standard deviation which reflects complex and highly differentiated patterns, which can be explained as follows. Latin America is no longer a land of immigration, while the United States, Canada and Australia still display large immigration rates. Within Europe, and especially Southern Europe, we see a reversal from negative to positive immigration rates, which is very noticeable in the second subperiod. Within Africa, we observe extremely large and volatile in and out flows, which can be linked to major events such as wars and famines. Oil countries

absorb at enormous rates, which are determined both by the small size of the local population, and by the large economic opportunities generated by oil extraction. Very large and volatile in and out flows are observed for the smallest countries (often recently-formed city-states or island-states). The additional explanatory variables which we will employ in our analysis are a measure of democracy, proxies for cultural characteristics such as religion and ethnolinguistic fractionalization, a measure of the size of government activity and quantitative and qualitative development indicators such as income per capita and inequality. Information on the definition and source of each variable is available in the Data Appendix. A further look at the (unreported) summary statistics organized by subsamples (i.e., focusing on the 1950-1974 subsample and on the 1975-1999 subsample separately) reveals that the average net migration rate is much higher for the first subperiod, even though again this pattern hides enormous cross-continent variations. No relevant time variations appear for the other variables.

Pairwise correlations for the variables in the panel are presented in Table 10. Current citizenship laws, the dependent variable, is highly correlated with the initial 1948 laws, while they are only weakly correlated with the common law dummy. Current Citizenship Laws (as well as 1948) laws) are highly correlated with the Latin America dummy, since most of these countries switched to jus soli before 1948. The dependent variable is also significantly correlated with the proportion of Catholics, political rights and the GINI index of inequality. The 1948 laws are significantly positively correlated with the dummy for British colonies (0.51) and for common law (0.65, not reported in the table). Our border change dummies display interesting patterns. Decolonization shows a significant correlation with British Colony, since the British Empire was so extended, and is also associated with low income per capita, the sub-Saharan Africa dummy, ethnolinguistic fractionalization, and political rights. Berlin wall is of course highly correlated with the socialist country dummy (and this correlation is even higher in the second subsample). Democracy tends to be high in rich, Catholic countries, <sup>22</sup> low in highly fractionalized ones. The (unreported) correlation patterns for the two separate subsamples are in line with those for the panel. Overall, the stylized facts we report are in line with previous research and economic intuition. It is also clear that several of our independent variables are closely interrelated and that it may be difficult to

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$ Democracy is also high in Protestant countries, low in Muslim ones. These additional information is not reported.

disentangle their specific effect on the evolution of citizenship laws.

We can now derive a number of hypotheses regarding the potential impact of each factor, starting with legal tradition and immigration. Legal tradition is identified here by the initial citizenship laws in 1948, for whose coefficient we expect a positive sign. We also expect immigration to push a country's legislation toward the inclusion of elements of jus soli. The coefficient of net migration should therefore display a positive sign, even though to reach a consensus over a change in the legislation may often take several decades, and may well follow an initial period in which the natives' reaction to the new immigration reality is less than welcoming: these considerations could weaken and even reverse the impact of migration on the law. Moreover, for each subperiod within the panel, we entered an average of net immigration rates over 25 years, which can hide very important fluctuations. For instance, most European countries showed small or negative, and relatively stable, immigration rates during the first subsample, while they have been experiencing quickly increasing inflows during the second, with most of the revision to the legislation occurring in the past 15 years or so. Therefore, the Southern Europe dummy should display a positive sign because of the relatively low average immigration in the second subperiod. Likewise, Latin America switched to jus soli long before our sample period, so its position is not justified by the current relatively moderate immigration flows and again we should expect a positive sign for the corresponding dummy. The dummy for oil countries should account for the fact that most of them have been experiencing huge immigration inflows which had no impact on their still very restrictive legislation (often based on Islamic family law): this would be confirmed by a negative coefficient for the dummy. Moreover, migration data reveal that countries with a small population tend to have large and erratic migration flows, with a disproportionately small impact on their legislation, so we also expect a negative sign for the small country dummy. Border stability is also perceived as a prerequisite for the introduction of birthrights for the immigrants, since having gone through a recent border change should favor the persistence of jus sanguinis, or its introduction when jus soli was the rule. Therefore, we expect a negative sign for the three border change dummies, even though several of the Berlin wall countries have recently accelerated a reform process leading to more inclusiveness. We also experimented with variables which have been found significant in related research on the determinants of institutions, so we introduced the sub-Saharan Africa dummy and the British colony dummy, both as a possible alternative to decolonization, and therefore with a potential negative effect on inclusiveness. The establishment of a consolidated democracy, viewed as a factor that favors the assimilation of foreigners on an equal rights basis, should exert a positive effect on inclusiveness even though, one again, even in a democratic country exclusionary forces against outsiders may persist for a protracted period of time. The possible impact of cultural factors was proxied by a number of different regressors, in an effort to capture different dimensions of cultural differences.<sup>23</sup> The data will tell us which cultural differences matter and in particular if cultural homogeneity is more conducive to inclusiveness than diversity. The size of government is meant to proxy for the nature of the welfare state, with a thicker, more expensive and more redistributive structure representing an obstacle to the inclusion of immigrants. Finally, the rationale for including per capita GDP and the index of inequality is that a richer, more equal country might be more open to immigration. However, most of these variables tends to be associated with net immigration, and also with democracy and fractionalization, so they are unlikely to add independent explanatory power to a regression.

## 7.2 Results

To estimate the determinants of citizenship laws' evolution we run ordered logit models with current citizenship laws as the dependent variable. The underlying assumption is that a country's degree of inclusiveness toward immigrants is a latent variable. What we measure is an ordered variable taking values 1 to 3 which are increasing in the country's support for inclusiveness. The ordered logit is an appropriate model in this case, since the increasing degree of inclusiveness from jus sanguinis regime to jus soli regime has a progressive nature. The estimates we perform refer both to our panel and to each of the two subsamples we focus on. Our results are presented in Table 11.

Starting with the panel, in the first column we present a basic specification including only the core variables, i.e., the original laws and the net migration rate. While for the original laws the coefficient is significantly positive, as expected, migration shows a negative impact. In the second specification, we add to the core variables the geopolitical dummies previously identified. The jus soli dummy still displays a positive and highly significant coefficient, while the coefficient of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>For the costs and benefits of diversity see for example a recent paper by Ottaviano and Peri (2003).

migration is now positive but insignificant. The coefficient of the dummy for Latin America has the correct, significantly positive sign, while the British colony dummy has a negative impact. While the sub-Saharan dummy is negative but insignificant, its interaction with net migration is significantly negative. The Southern Europe dummy is also positive, but insignificant, and so is the small country dummy, while the socialist and the oil dummies have the correct, significantly negative sign. In the third column, we add to the previous specification the other regressors previously selected, i.e., the three border change dummies, a measure of democracy, the proportion of Catholics, ethnolinguistic factionalization, and the size of government. Border changes were expected to exert a negative effect on inclusiveness. For decolonization and other border changes the coefficient is indeed negative, but insignificant, while for Berlin wall we observe a significantly positive coefficient: however, it turns out that for the estimated sample the only country captured by this dummy is Germany, which did shift from a jus sanguinis regime to a mixed one at the end of the sample, so the sign of the coefficient is consistent with the facts. The only other significant variable if government size, which displays a positive coefficient, contrary to our intuition. This could be explained by the fact that the size of government proxies for European-style, relative inclusive social-democracies, or because many of the countries with extended welfare systems may favor immigration because of their demographic crisis. It turns out, however, that when we run this regression again for a sample limited to rich countries (see below for more details), then government size acquires a significantly negative coefficient, as expected for Western-style democracies. Democracy has a positive but insignificant coefficient, while Catholic and highly fractionalized countries appear to have a positive but insignificant tendency towards inclusiveness.

Turning to the first subsample, the most relevant news is that ethnolinguistic fractionalization now has a significantly positive coefficient. To be noticed is that we did not include for this sample the Southern Europe and the socialist country dummies among the regressors, because during this period these countries did not display any special pattern in this dimension as they consistently maintained jus sanguinis in the face of moderate (and in fact, highly restricted for the socialist countries) immigration.<sup>24</sup> Finally, the second subperiod uncovers three new noticeable tendencies: the impact of the original laws is fading with the time distance, with their coefficient losing significance; democratic countries show a significantly positive tendency towards inclusiveness,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>A version of the regression including these two dummies exhibited perfect predictions problems.

while countries that went through decolonization tends to restrict their regulation. Sub-Saharan Africa and its interaction with migration did not add any significant explanatory power and were therefore dropped for this sample.

Table 12 reports the marginal effects for the most complete specification in Table 11 (i.e., the third one), again for each sample. The marginal effects confirm that coming from a jus soli tradition has a significantly negative effect on the probability of being a jus sanguinis country and a significantly positive effect on the probability of being either a jus soli or a mixed regime country. The results also show that net migration has always a significant positive effect on the probability of being a jus soli country, and a significant negative one on the probability of being a jus sanguinis country (in all samples considered), confirming our predictions. In particular, a unit change in net migration rate increases the probability of being a jus soli country by 5 percentage points while it decreases the probability of being a jus sanguinis country by 6.9 percentage points. The fact that a country is in Latin America has a clear impact on its probability of adopting jus soli: Latin American countries are about 68% more likely to adopt jus soli than other countries. In the second subsample, decolonization has a negative significant effect on the probability of being a jus sanguinis, while political rights exhibit a significant positive effect on the probability that a country is inclusive.

We also studied the direct impact of purely economic variables on citizenship laws evolution, following Timmer and Williamson (1998), who did so for the early period, and O'Rourke and Sinnott (2003), who estimate voters' attitudes towards immigration in 24 countries from a 1995 survey. Contrary to the former, the latter paper finds evidence that non-economic factors such as patriotism and chauvinism are extremely important in determining voters' attitudes towards immigration in the late 20th century, even though economic factors also play a role. For instance, as we move from more equal societies to less equal ones, skilled workers should become increasingly anti-immigration. While our data set does not allow to address these issues in detail, we did experiment with economic variables such as per capita income and inequality, and also the agriculture share and the level of education. When added to the more complete specification of the regressions in Table 11, these variables did not add any explanatory value, which is not surprising given the complex pattern of correlations previously illustrated. When added one by one to the more parsimonious specification including only the core variables and the geopolitical dummies,

per capita income displays a positive coefficient and inequality a negative one, as intuition would suggest, but both coefficients are insignificant and do not affect the others. The coefficients for the agriculture share and the level of education were always insignificant. An alternative way to gauge the importance of economic factors is to divide our sample between rich and poor countries. This exercise suggested that for rich countries the results from Table 11 are broadly confirmed, with a generally higher significance level (e.g., for Catholics and decolonization) and with the importance differences that government expenditures has now a significantly negative coefficient, while sub-Saharan Africa - unsurprisingly - has a significantly positive one. For poor countries, migration becomes an insignificant factor in determining citizenship laws.

A variant of the more extended specification for the regressions in Table 11, where the initial citizenship laws are replaced by the legal origin, yield the following results: the dummy for common law is no longer significant for the panel, and makes the British colony and the Berlin wall dummies lose significance. In the first subperiod legal origin is significant (since the common law dummy is closer to the jus soli dummy for this subsample), while its standard error is very high, and higher than that of original laws, in the second. We also checked the consequences of taking citizenship laws in 1974, rather than in 1948, as the relevant initial condition for the second subsample. While again no substantial difference emerged in the overall results, because of the two zero entries in the transition matrix for 1974-2001 this option created zero cell problems.

We also run an analogous set of regressions for a cross-sectional sample composed by country averages over the period 1950-1999, where we included the more general index of inclusiveness which we described in Section 4 as the dependent variable. Standard OLS were employed in this case, and delivered similar qualitative results confirming that our variable reflecting citizenship at birth does capture a broader set of rules concerning citizenship.

The regressions in Table 11 were also rerun, without changing any result, excluding observations for which migration rates were larger than 20 in absolute value, in order to correct for outliers which can be determined by additional factors that we do not explicitly account for, such as wars, political prosecution, famines, and other environmental disasters. An alternative ordered probit model also delivered very similar qualitative results which are not presented here.

Finally, we repeated the exercise with a generalized order logit model, which allows the covariates to exert a different effect on the probability of moving across categories by relaxing the proportional odds hypothesis on which the standard ordered logit is based. Our (unreported) results for the panel show that the general tendency captured by the ordered logit coefficients in Table 11 is confirmed for the move away from jus sanguinis toward more inclusiveness, while the same covariates tend to have an ambiguous effect on the next move all the way to jus soli. We interpret this as evidence of convergence to a mixed regime, rather than of a drift towards maximum inclusiveness.

Overall, our empirical investigation over a large number of countries for the postwar period confirms that the legal tradition and migration do explain the evolution of citizenship laws towards a higher degree of inclusiveness, but only after controlling for a number of factors which reflect border stability, the level of democracy and the welfare burden, as suggested by political scientists. The influence of cultural factors, at least in the sense of Brubaker (1992), is hard to detect with our proxies, but we do find some evidence that a more ethnolinguistically diverse country like France tends to be more inclusive than a more homogeneous country like Germany. We also found that post-colonial history matters but not necessarily in the direction that legal theory would suggest, because we show that a jus soli/common law transplanted heritage need not be retained after independence.<sup>25</sup>

## 8 Conclusion

We have studied the origin, the impact and the evolution of citizenship laws around the world from the end of the 19th until the turn of the 21th century. For the early, mass migration era, we found that citizenship rules did not contribute to the economic forces that determined it. In the postwar period, citizenship laws are shown to respond endogenously and systematically to migration and the legal tradition, as well as to border stability, the degree of democracy, the welfare burden, cultural factors, and postcolonial developments. At least for this specific branch of the law, some of the premises and of the implications of legal theory are therefore questioned by our results, since we show that, for the early period, the economic impact of the rules is absent and that, for the postwar period, rules cannot be taken as exogenous. We view these results are a first step toward a full understanding of the process of formation of legal rules and its interaction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>Berkowitz et al. (2003) study the transplanting process for legal institutions.

with economic factors as well as other forms of institutions.

Citizenship laws are still changing. One of our plans for future research is to project the future evolution of citizenship policy around the world for the next half century, by using projections of international migration in combination with the available work which has tried to predict the future course of democratization and of border changes.

Another question which we leave for future research is the following. What are the implications of citizenship laws evolution - and the consequent extension of political rights for immigrants - for the welfare state? Continental Europe is historically characterized by a thick welfare state, while only recently it has been experiencing increasing immigration. In this context, the current evolution of the concept of citizenship and the consequent broadening of the voting franchise could introduce a new channel for a further deterioration of public accounts, which calls for further investigation.

Finally, citizenship laws can be viewed as a link, within a legal system, between the public and the private sphere of influence. Many issues that fall within the former - such as commercial law, labor regulation, and government activities - have already been investigated. We plan to extend our methodology to the study of other evolving bodies of the law, such as family law, rules of inheritance, and women's rights.

## DATA APPENDIX

## The age of mass migration (1870-1910)

Migration: We employ the series of decade averages of net and gross immigration rates elaborated by Taylor and Williamson (1997) for 17 countries for the 1870-1910 period, which are based on Ferenczi and Willcox (1929). Historical emigration and immigration data are collected by Mitchell (2003) from 1815 for Europe, the Americas and Africa (but Africa includes only South Africa and Zimbabwe for 1910-1999), from 1843 for Oceania. Asia is not included. His sources are essentially Ferenczi and Willcox (1929). The nature of these statistics varies greatly from country to country and many data are missing. This is the main reason why we used the Taylor and Williamson (1997) data. Common law: The source is La Porta et al. (1999). Wage gap: We compute the wage gap by taking decade averages of the log of the wage ratio, where the

numerator is a country's real wage and the denominator is world average of the other countries' real wages. The source of the wage data is Williamson (1995). **Agricultural share**: We compute decade averages of annual figures obtained through linear interpolation of the available figures. The source is Banks (2001), with the exceptions of Argentina, Ireland and Brazil where data come from Mitchell (2003). **Young population**: For each country, we compute the share of the young (i.e., aged 15-29) population from Census data reported in Mitchell (2003), taking for each decade the Census closer to the year ending in 0. Note the following exceptions: for Ireland the age reported is 15-34, for the Netherlands 10-29 (except in 1900), for Spain 16-30.

## The post-WWII period (1950-1999)

Migration: The data we use are net international migration rates taken from the 2002 UN Common Database. These data are compiled by the UN Population Division, which provides estimates of annual average net immigration rates over five year intervals from 1950, with projections until 2050, for 192 countries. We use the available data for the 1950-1999 period. Information on international immigration and emigration rates was also provided from 1949 to 1995 by the UN Demographic Yearbook Database, compiled by the UN Statistics Division. However, collection was suspended due to the bad quality of the process. They are currently testing a revised questionnaire in order to resume collection starting with 2000 data. The available historical data were not even included in the UN Demographic Yearbook Historical Supplement, 1948-1997 (2000). The OECD (2002) has also been collecting migration data from some members countries since 1980, but the available sample is clearly inadequate for our purposes. Common law: The source is La Porta et al. (1999). Political rights: We use the political rights index (on a seven point scale) from Freedom House (1996) as elaborated by La Porta et al. (1999). Ethnolinguistic fractionalization: We employ a composite index of ethnologuistic fractionalization which includes ELF60 and other 4 indexes. See Easterly and Levine (1997). Catholic share: We report the percentage of Catholics in 1980, taken from La Porta et al. (1999). Government consumption: We take the government share of GDP in current prices from the Penn World Table (2002). GDP per capita: We enter the log of the real GDP per capita at current international prices from the Penn World Table (2002). GINI index: The source is Deininger and Squire (1996). Socialist countries: Information is from La Porta et al. (1999). Oil countries: OPEC countries plus Oman, Angola, Qatar, Barhain, and Brunei. Sub-Saharan Africa, Latin America and Southern European countries: The classification is from UN (2002). British colonies: We count those countries that were British colonies any time after 1918. The source is the Correlates of War 2 Project (2004). Small countries: As in Easterly and Kraay (2000), small countries are defined as those with a population size of less than one million over all available years between 1960 and 1995.

### REFERENCES

- Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S. and Robinson, J.A., 2001, The Colonial Origins of Comparative Development: An Empirical Investigation, American Economic Review 91, 1369-1401.
- Aleinikoff, T. A. and Klusmeyer, D. (eds.), 2001, Citizenship Today: Global Perspectives and Practices, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington.
- Aleinikoff, T. A. and Klusmeyer, D. (eds.), 2000, From Migrants to Citizens: Membership in a Changing World, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington.
- Alesina, A. and Spolaore, E., 1997, On the Number and Size of Nations, Quarterly Journal of Economics 112, 1027-1056.
- Banks, A., (2001), Cross-National Time Series, 1815-1999, Center for Comparative Political Research State University of New York, Binghamton.
- Barro, R.J., 1999, Determinants of Democracy, Journal of Political Economy 107, Part 2, S158-S183.
- Barro, R.J. and McCleary, R.M., 2004, Which Countries Have State Religion?, NBER Working Paper No. 10438.
- Benhabib, J., 1996, On the Political Economy of Migration, European Economic Review 40, 1737-1744.
- Berkowitz, D., Pistor, K. and Richard, J.-F., 2003, Economic Development, Legality, and the Transplant Effect, European Economic Review 47, 165-195.

- Bolton, P. and Roland, G., 1997, The Breakup of Nations: A Political Economy Analysis, Quarterly Journal of Economics 112, 1057-1090.
- Borjas, G., 1994, The Economics of Immigration, Journal of Economic Literature 32, 1667-1717.
- Botero, J., Djankov, S., La Porta, R., Lopes-de-Silanes, F. and Shleifer, A., 2003, The Regulation of Labor, NBER Working Paper No. 9756.
- Brubaker, R., 1992, Citizenship and Nationhood in France and Germany, Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- Central Intelligence Agency, The World Factbook 2002, CIA, Washington.
- Chiswick, B.R. and Hatton, T.J., 2002, International Migration and the Integration of Labor Markets, IZA Discussion Paper 559.
- Correlates of War 2 Project, 2004, Department of Political Science, The Pennsylvania State University.
- Deininger, K. and Squire, L., 1996, A New Data Set Measuring Income Inequality, The World Bank Economic Review 10, 565-91.
- Easterly, W. and Kraay, A., 2000, Small States, Small Problems? Income, Growth, and Volatility in Small States, World Development 28, 2013-2027.
- Easterly, W. and Levine, R., 1997, Africa's Growth Tragedy: Policies and Ethnic Divisions, Quarterly Journal of Economics 112, 1203-1250.
- Engerman, S. and Sokoloff, K.L., 2002, Factor Endowment, Inequality, and Paths of Development Among New World Economies, NBER Working Paper 9259.
- Faini, R. and Venturini, A., 1994, Italian Emigration in the Prewar Period, in Hatton T. and Williamson J. (eds), Migration and the International Labour Market 1850-1913, 72-90, Routledge, London.
- Ferenczi, I. and Willcox, W.F., 1929, 1931, International Migrations, Vols. 1 and 2, National Bureau of Economic Research, New York.

- Freedom House, 1996, Freedom in the World. Political Rights and Civil Liberties. Freedom House, New York.
- Glaeser, E.L., and Shleifer, A., 2002, Legal Origins, Quarterly Journal of Economics 117, 1193-1230.
- Gradstein, M. and Schiff, M., 2004, The Political Economy of Social Exclusion with Implications for Immigration Policy, IZA Discussion Paper No. 1087.
- Hatton, T.J., 2003, Emigration from the UK, 1870-1913 and 1950-1998, IZA Discussion Paper No. 830.
- Hatton, T. J., 1995, A Model of U.K. Emigration, 1870-1913, Review of Economics and Statistics 77, 407-415.
- Hatton, T.J. and Williamson, J.G., 2004a, Refugees, Asylum Seekers and Policy in Europe, IZA Discussion Paper No. 1230.
- Hatton, T.J. and Williamson, J.G., 2004b, International Migration in the Long Run: Positive Selection, Negative Selection and Policy, NBER Working Paper No. 10529.
- Hatton, T.J. and Williamson, J.G., 2002, What Fundamentals Drive World Migration?, CEPR Discussion Paper 3559.
- Hatton, T.J. and Williamson, J.G., 1998, The Age of Mass Migration: Causes and Economic Impact, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Heston, A., Summers, R. and Aten, B., 2002, Penn World Table Version 6.1, Center for International Comparisons at the University of Pennsylvania (CICUP), October.
- Huntington, S.P., 2004, Who Are We: The Challenges to America's National Identity, Simon & Schuster, New York.
- Joppke, C. (ed.), 1998, Challenge to the Nation-State: Immigration in Western Europe and the United States, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Landes, D. S., 1998, The Wealth and Poverty of Nations, Norton, New York.

- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A. and Vishny, R.W., 1999, The Quality of Government, Journal of Law, Economics and Organization 15, 222-279.
- La Porta, R., Lopes-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A. and Vihny, R.W., 1998, Law and Finance, Journal of Political Economy 106, 1113-1155.
- Maddison, A., 2001, The World Economy. A Millennial Perspective, OECD, Paris.
- Marshall, T.S., 1950, Citizenship and Social Class, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Mitchell, B.R., 2003, International Historical Statistics, 1750-2002, Vol. I: Europe, Vol. II: The Americas, Vol. III: Africa, Asia & Oceania, Palgrave Macmillan, New York.
- OECD, 2002, Trends in International Migration, OECD, Paris.
- O'Rourke, K., 1991, Did the Great Irish Famine Matter?, Journal of Economic History 51, 1-22.
- O'Rourke, K. and Sinnott, R., 2003, Migration Flows: Political Economy of Migration and the Empirical Challenge, mimeo.
- Ottaviano, G. and Peri, G., 2003, The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity, mimeo, UC Davis.
- Polity IV, 2002, Political Regime Characteristics and Transitions, 1800-2002, Center for International Development and Conflict Management, University of Maryland.
- Razin, A., Sadka, E. and Swagel, P., 2002, Tax Burden and Migration: A Political Economy Theory and Evidence, Journal of Public Economics 85, 167-190.
- Stark, O., 2004, On the Economics of Refugees Flows, Review of Development Economics 8, 325-329.
- Timmer, A. and Williamson, J.G., 1998, Immigration Policy Prior to the Thirties: Labor Markets, Policy Interaction, and Globalization Backlash, Population and Development Review. 24, 739-771.
- Taylor, A.M., and Williamson J.G., 1997, Convergence in the Age of Mass Migration, European Review of Economic History 1, 27-63.

- UN Common Database, 2002, United Nations, New York.
- UN Demographic Yearbook, Vol. 1949/50 to Vol. 1996, United Nations, New York.
- UN Demographic Yearbook Historical Supplement (1948-1997), 2000, United Nations, New York.
- UN High Commissioner for Refugees, Country of Origin and Legal Information, 2003, United Nations, Geneva.
- United States Office of Personnel Management, Investigations Service, Citizenship Laws of the World, 2001.
- Weil, P., 2001, Access to Citizenship: A Comparison of Twenty-Five Nationality Laws, in Aleinikoff, T. A. and Klusmeyer, D. (eds.), Citizenship Today: Global Perspectives and Practices, 17-35, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington.
- Williamson, J. G., 1995, The Evolution of Global Labor Markets since 1830: Background Evidence and Hypotheses, Explorations in Economic History 32, 141-196.

Table 1
Transition matrices for Citizenship Laws in 2001, 1974, 1948

	Citi	zenship Laws in	2001	
Citizenship Laws in 1948	1	2	3	Total
0	59	28	5	92
1	28	7	32	67
Total	87	35	37	159
	Citi	zenship Laws in	1974	
Citizenship Laws in 1948	1	2	3	Total
0	78	9	5	92
1	21	1	45	67
Total	99	10	50	159
	Citi	zenship Laws in	2001	
Citizenship Laws in 1974	1	2	3	Total
1	79	20	0	99
2	1	9	0	10
3	7	6	37	50
Total	87	35	37	159

Table 2 Summary statistics for Citizenship Laws, Naturalization, Dual Citizenship, Inclusiveness Index and Common Law, 1950-1999

Variable	Obs.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Citizenship Laws in 2001	159	1.686	0.828	1	3
Citizenship Laws in 1974	159	1.692	0.921	1	3
Citizenship Laws in 1948	159	0.421	0.495	0	1
Naturalization in 2001	140	2.457	0.924	1	4
Dual Citizenship in 2001	159	1.956	0.766	1	3
Inclusiveness Index	140	0.443	0.273	0	1
Common Law	159	0.321	0.468	0	1

Table 3
Pairwise correlations between Citizenship Laws, Naturalization, Dual Citizenship,
Inclusiveness Index and Common Law, 1950-1999

	Citizen. Laws in 2001	Citizen. Laws in 1974	Citizen Laws In 1948	Naturalizat. in 2001	Dual Citizen. in 2001	Inclusiv. Index	Common Law
Citizen. Laws in 2001	1						
Citizen. Laws in 1974	0.777*	1					
Citizen. Laws in 1948	0.387*	0.620*	1				
Naturalizat. in 2001	0.379*	0.302*	0.149	1			
Dual Citizen. in 2001	0.218*	0.232*	0.133	0.310*	1		
Inclusiveness Index	0.772*	0.630*	0.307*	0.713*	0.726*	1	
Common Law	0.017	0.290*	0.751*	-0.045	0.022	-0.012	1

Table 4 Net Migration (1,000), 1870-1998

	1870-1913	1914-49	1950-73	1974-98
Jus Sanguinis	-7,581	-2,060	9,914	9,982
France	890	-236	3,630	1,026
Germany	-2,598	-304	7,070	5,911
Italy	-4,459	-1,771	-2,139	1,617
Japan	n.a.	197	-72	-179
Others*	-1414	54	1,425	1,607
Jus Soli	11,441	5,834	12,058	22,376
United Kingdom	-6,415	-1,405	-605	737
Australia	885	673	2033	2151
New Zealand	290	138	247	87
Canada	861	207	2,126	2,680
United States	15,820	6,221	8,257	16,721
Western Europe	-13,996	-3,662	9,381	10,898
Western Offshoots	17,856	7,239	12,663	21,639

<sup>\*</sup>Includes Belgium, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden and Switzerland. Source: Maddison, 2001.

Table 5
Net Migration Rates (migrants/1,000 population), 1870-1910

Jus Sanguinis Countries	-1.92
Belgium	1.50
Denmark	-2.42
France	-0.09
Germany	-0.65
Italy	-6.47
Netherlands	-0.53
Norway	-4.73
Portugal	-0.96
Spain	-1.04
Sweden	-3.78
Jus Soli Countries	2.13
Argentina	10.57
Australia	5.95
Brazil	0.67
Canada	6.23
Great Britain	-2.02
Ireland	-10.12
United States	3.62
Old World	-2.61
New World	5.41

Source: Taylor and Williamson (1997).

Variable	Obs.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Net Migration	68	0.024	6.192	-16.040	19.070
Gross Migration	65	-0.464	8.777	-17.970	25.470
Jus Soli	68	0.412	0.496	0	1
Common Law	68	0.294	0.459	0	1
Wage Gap	68	-0.084	0.432	-0.894	0.767
Young Population	64	27.012	3.273	23.338	35.846
Agricultural Share	55	43.414	15.381	8.900	69.730
Lagged Wage Gap	51	-0.086	0.440	-0.894	0.766
Lagged Net Migration	51	-0.037	5.829	-16.040	19.070
Argentina	68	0.059	0.237	0	1
Ireland	68	0.059	0.237	0	1
Italy	68	0.059	0.237	0	1
New World	68	0.294	0.459	0	1

<sup>§</sup>The panel sample is composed by four cross-sections of 17 countries. Each country observation is a decade average for each of the four decades included in the period 1870-1910. The only exception is Young Population, where we take the value of the Census survey for each decade. For details see the text and the Data Appendix.

	Net Migr.	Gross Migr.	Jus Soli	Comm. Law	Wage Gap	Young Popul.	Agric. Share	Lag. N. Migr.	Lag. W. Gap	Argent.	Ireland	Italy
Net Migration	1											
Gross Migration	0.749*	1										
Jus Soli	0.319*	0.522*	1									
Common Law	0.083	0.183	0.772*	1								
Wage Gap	0.474*	0.582*	0.681*	0.747*	1							
Young Popul.	-0.164	-0.034	0.367*	0.237	0.108	1						
Agric. Share	-0.259	-0.143	-0.408*	-0.395*	-0.487*	-0.157	1					
Lag. Net Migr.	0.665*	0.729*	0.281*	0.053	0.413*	-0.214	-0.261	1				
Lag. Wage Gap	0.496*	0.583*	0.680*	0.760*	0.955*	0.228	-0.555*	0.431*	1			
Argentina	0.477*	0.589*	0.299*	-0.161	0.028	0.020	-0.256	0.452*	0.016	1		
Ireland	-0.458*	-0.317*	0.299*	0.387*	0.005	0.502*	-0.029	-0.533*	-0.003	-0.063	1	
Italy	-0.294*	-0.258*	-0.209	-0.161	-0.426*	0.035	0.370*	-0.226	-0.435*	-0.063	-0.063	1
New World	0.629*	0.826*	0.772*	0.433*	0.648*	0.124	-0.077	0.620*	0.645*	0.387*	-0.161	-0.161

The panel sample is composed by four cross-sections of 17 countries. Each country observation is an average over each of the four decades included in the period 1870-1910. For details see the text and the Data Appendix.

\* significant at 5%.

Dependent variable: Net Migr	ration Rate (1	870-1910)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Jus Soli	3.982	0.556		1.697		-0.517
	[2.839]	[1.796]		[2.609]		[2.016]
Wage Gap		5.465**	3.704**	2.231		
		[2.054]	[1.618]	[3.138]		
Argentina		10.879***	12.175***	11.186***	12.852***	13.157***
		[1.445]	[1.506]	[1.864]	[1.246]	[1.391]
Ireland		-11.887***	-15.924***	-17.734**	-16.094***	-15.539**
		[1.494]	[4.483]	[6.660]	[3.684]	[5.549]
Italy		-3.238**	-8.153**	-9.061**	-7.313**	-6.988*
		[1.330]	[2.873]	[3.857]	[2.716]	[3.779]
Agricultural Share			-1.320**	-1.418*	-1.323**	-1.302**
			[0.570]	[0.681]	[0.480]	[0.550]
Young Population			-1.724**	-1.849*	-1.784**	-1.761**
			[0.762]	[0.877]	[0.638]	[0.718]
Young Pop.*Agric. Share			0.052**	0.056**	0.053**	0.052**
			[0.021]	[0.026]	[0.018]	[0.021]
Lagged Net Migration			0.321	0.305	0.25	0.252
			[0.272]	[0.285]	[0.217]	[0.225]
Lagged Wage Gap					4.917***	5.391*
					[1.413]	[2.843]
Constant	-1.616*	0.502	44.570**	47.170*	45.681**	45.290**
	[0.782]	[0.725]	[20.749]	[23.044]	[17.399]	[18.927]
Observations	68	68	40	40	40	40
Adjusted R-squared	0.09	0.61	0.62	0.62	0.66	0.65

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Pooled OLS estimates. Robust standard errors in brackets assume clustering at country level.

Table 9 Summary statistics (panel data§), 1950-1999

Variable	Obs.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Current Citizenship Laws	318	1.689	0.874	1	3
Citizenship Laws in 1948	318	0.421	0.495	0	1
Net Migration	301	0.157	9.584	-69.708	85.770
Berlin Wall	318	0.053	0.225	0	1
Decolonization	318	0.252	0.435	0	1
Other Border Changes	318	0.022	0.147	0	1
British colony	318	0.308	0.462	0	1
Latin America	318	0.164	0.370	0	1
Sub-Saharan Africa	318	0.264	0.442	0	1
Southern Europe	318	0.044	0.205	0	1
Oil	318	0.088	0.284	0	1
Socialist	318	0.176	0.382	0	1
Small Country	318	0.151	0.359	0	1
Political Rights	318	4.346	2.230	1	7
Ethnolinguistic Fractionalization	266	0.355	0.299	0	0.89
Catholic Share	318	30.821	35.185	0	97.3
Government Consumption	258	19.001	10.407	2.465	60.096
Log GDP per capita	258	7.446	1.243	4.958	10.06
GINI Index	152	40.420	9.832	20.495	62.3
Common Law	318	0.321	0.468	0	1

The panel sample includes two cross-sections of our 159 countries. The first cross-section is composed by country averages over the period 1950-1974 while the second cross-section is composed by country averages over the period 1975-1999. The variable Current Citizenship Laws includes two observations for the laws at the end of each period, i.e., citizenship laws in 1974 for the first period and citizenship laws in 2001 for the second period.

<sup>\*</sup> significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%.

 $\label{eq:table 10} Table~10$  Pairwise correlations among dependent and independent variables (panel data  $^\S$  ), 1950-1999

	Current	Citizen.	Net	Decolo_	Berlin	Other	British	Latin	Sub-	South	Oil	Socialist	Small	Political	Ethno.	Catholic	Govern.	Log	GINI
	Citizen.	Laws	Migr.	nization	Wall	Border	Colony	America		Europe			Country	Rights	Fraction.	Share	Cons.	GDP	Index
	Laws	in 1948				Changes			Africa									Per cap	
Current Citizen. Laws	1																		
Citizen. Laws in 1948	0.509*	1																	
Net Migration	-0.136*	-0.017	1																
Decolonization	-0.059	0.063	0.008	1															
Berlin Wall	-0.075	-0.203*	-0.023	-0.138*	1														
Other Border Changes	-0.069	0.002	0.007	0.061	-0.036	1													
British Colony	0.020	0.506*	0.085	0.288*	-0.159*	0.086	1												
Latin America	0.577*	0.484*	-0.158*	-0.139*	-0.105	-0.066	-0.001	1											
Sub-Saharan Africa	-0.089	-0.078	-0.062	0.310*	-0.142*	-0.090	0.064	-0.265*	1										
Southern Europe	-0.082	-0.183*	-0.038	-0.124*	0.085	-0.032	-0.077	-0.095	-0.129*	1									
Oil	-0.131*	-0.040	0.375*	0.050	-0.074	-0.047	0.081	-0.077	-0.086	-0.067	1								
Socialist	-0.251*	-0.328*	-0.033	-0.173*	0.477*	0.043	-0.273*	-0.160*	-0.277*	0.062	-0.144*	1							
Small Country	0.120*	0.174*	0.005	0.140*	-0.100	-0.003	0.365*	0.099	0.066	-0.005	-0.007	-0.195*	1						
Political Rights	0.308*	0.119*	-0.101	-0.207*	0.038	-0.014	-0.073	0.176*	-0.253*	0.215*	-0.347*	-0.079	0.148*	1					
Ethno. Fraction.	-0.057	0.057	0.041	0.241*	-0.064	-0.091	0.128*	-0.270*	0.634*	-0.169*	0.091	-0.192*	0.017	-0.312*	1				
Catholic Share	0.383*	0.153*	-0.157*	-0.192*	-0.044	-0.107	-0.218*	0.536*	-0.071	0.265*	-0.155*	-0.144*	0.017	0.346*	-0.170*	1			
Govern. Consumpt.	0.003	-0.080	0.027	-0.009	0.230*	0.055	0.136*	-0.064	0.118	-0.068	-0.022	0.175*	-0.014	-0.211*	0.116	-0.122*	1		
Log GDP per cap.	0.038	-0.080	0.192*	-0.522*	0.262*	-0.090	-0.127*	0.027	-0.474*	0.176*	0.075	0.190*	0.120	0.389*	-0.406*	0.082	-0.006	1	
GINI Index	0.244*	0.412*	-0.123	0.034	-0.288*	-0.116	0.165*	0.504*	0.285*	-0.155	-0.006	-0.448*	0.190*	-0.199*	0.23*9	0.244*	0.054	-0.342*	1
Common Law	0.160*	0.751*	0.029	0.176*	-0.163*	0.035	0.650*	-0.049	0.077	-0.148*	0.024	-0.282*	0.237*	0.039	0.239*	-0.253*	-0.020	-0.075	0.082

The panel sample includes two cross-sections of our 159 countries. The first cross-section is composed by country averages over the period 1950-1974 while the second cross-section is composed by country averages over the period 1975-1999. The variable Current Citizenship Laws includes two observations for the laws at the end of each period, i.e., citizenship laws in 1974 for the first period and citizenship laws in 2001 for the second period.

\* significant at 5%.

Table 11
The determinants of citizenship laws, 1950-1999§

		DANIEL		EIDG	TO CETTO CA	MDLE	GE GO	NID GLIDG	AMDLE
	C .	PANEL	<u> </u>	1	T SUBSA		1	ND SUBS	
	Current	Current	Current	Citizen.	Citizen.	Citizen.	Citizen.	Citizen.	Citizen.
	Citizen. Laws	Citizen.	Citizen. Laws	Laws in 1974	Laws in 1974	Laws in 1974	Laws in 2001	Laws in 2001	Laws in 2001
Citizenship Laws in 1948	2.024***	Laws 2.112***	1.945**	2.857***	3.519***	3.547**	1.362***	1.393**	1.204
Ciuzensiiip Laws III 1948									
NI-4 MC - m-4	[0.325]	[0.562]	[0.852]	[0.402]	[0.875]	[1.593]	[0.338]	[0.677]	[0.860]
Net Migration	-0.040*	0.079	0.278*	-0.037	0.075	0.345*	-0.054** [0.027]	0.066	0.164**
Latin America	[0.023]	[0.062] 2.666***	[0.150] 3.337***	[0.035]	[0.060] 2.360**	[0.183] 4.271***	[0.027]	[0.091] 2.993***	[0.075] 3.237***
Latin America									
Dutitish Colony		[0.903] -1.637***	[1.157]		[0.921] -1.921**	[1.524] -2.276		[1.043] -1.798**	[1.131]
Brtitish Colony									-1.514
Cula Calagram Africa		[0.600]	[1.009]		[0.936]	[2.568]		[0.809]	[0.994]
Sub-Saharan Africa		-0.123	-0.094		0.248	0.147			
Dritish Colony *Not Mice		[0.420] -0.075	[0.541] -0.436*		[0.609] -0.056	[0.899] -0.739**		-0.202	-0.328
British Colony*Net Migr.			[0.258]						
Sub-Sah. Africa*Net Migr.		[0.077] -0.139**	-0.339**		[0.062] -0.282*	[0.371] -0.570*		[0.166]	[0.262]
Sub-San. Affica Net Migf.									
South Europe		[0.070] 0.332	[0.159] 0.587		[0.146]	[0.301]		0.473	0.342
South Europe		[0.365]	[0.508]					[0.455]	[0.500]
Socialist		-0.929**	-0.857					-0.711	-1.172
Socialist			[1.195]						[1.296]
Oil		[0.437] -1.466**	-1.881**		-1.123	-2.307**		[0.459] -1.815**	-1.301
Oli		[0.596]	[0.828]		[0.743]	[1.161]		[0.915]	[0.867]
Small Country		0.564	-0.062		0.947	0.443		0.044	-0.680
Sman Country		[0.615]	[0.935]		[0.815]	[1.281]		[0.800]	[1.117]
Political Rights		[0.013]	0.201		[0.013]	0.136		[0.600]	0.367**
Tonucai Rights			[0.145]			[0.302]			[0.179]
Catholic Share			0.002			-0.012			0.008
Caulone Share			[0.007]			[0.013]			[0.006]
Ethno.Fractionalization			1.278			2.478*			0.859
Eumon ractionalization			[0.960]			[1.296]			[0.926]
Government Consumption			0.047**			0.090***			0.057*
Government Consumption			[0.022]			[0.030]			[0.030]
Decolonization			-0.066			-1.176			-1.883*
Becolomization			[0.385]			[1.872]			[1.093]
Berlin Wall			0.843*			[1.072]			0.51
Derim Wan			[0.492]						[0.484]
Other Border Changes			-0.42			0.558			[0.101]
outer Border Changes			[1.377]			[1.461]			
Cut Point 1	1.113***	0.766**	3.193**	1.706***	1.886***	4.033	0.701***	0.305	3.764**
	[0.191]	[0.303]	[1.262]	[0.283]	[0.356]	[2.792]	[0.204]	[0.282]	[1.473]
Cut Point 2	1.967***	1.885***	4.442***	2.186***	2.511***	4.966	1.857***	1.950***	5.496***
	[0.189]	[0.298]	[1.281]	[0.252]	[0.321]	[2.827]	[0.193]	[0.315]	[1.576]
Observations	301	301	225	144	144	102	157	157	123
McFadden's R2	0.13	0.27	0.34	0.25	0.37	0.5	0.07	0.26	0.33
Count R2	0.67	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.83	0.85	0.57	0.68	0.78
Ordared logit astimates Pobu				· .	lo robust st		<u> </u>		

Ordered logit estimates. Robust standard errors in brackets. In the panel sample, robust standard errors assume clustering at country level. The panel refers to the period 1950-1999 (see also footnote to Table 10). The first subsample refers to the period 1950-1974 while the second subsample refers to the period 1975-1999. In the panel, the variable Current Citizenship Laws includes two observations for the laws at the end of each period, i.e., citizenship laws in 1974 for the first period and citizenship laws in 2001 for the second period.

<sup>\*</sup>significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%.

Table 12 Marginal effects, 1950-1999<sup>§</sup>

	F	PANEL		FIRS	T SUBSAMP	LE	SECONI	SUBSAM	PLE
	Jus Sanguinis	Mix	Jus Soli	Jus Sanguinis	Mix	Jus Soli	Jus Sanguinis	Mix	Jus Soli
Citizenship Laws in 1948	-0.449***	0.094*	0.355**	-0.709***	0.057	0.652***	-0.290	0.113	0.176
1	(0.170)	(0.054)	(0.143)	(0.198)	(0.042)	(0.206)	(0.195)	(0.082)	(0.135)
Net Migration	-0.069*	0.019	0.050**	-0.086*	0.017	0.069*	-0.041**	0.018	0.023**
-	(0.038)	(0.015)	(0.025)	(0.046)	(0.014)	(0.036)	(0.019)	(0.012)	(0.010)
Latin America	-0.582***	-0.098	0.680***	-0.672***	-0.108*	0.780***	-0.559***	-0.078	0.637***
	(0.099)	(0.088)	(0.166)	(0.105)	(0.063)	(0.120)	(0.092)	(0.143)	(0.205)
Brtitish Colony	0.394**	-0.142	-0.252**	0.489	-0.138	-0.352	0.360*	-0.180	-0.180*
	(0.197)	(0.099)	(0.110)	(0.408)	(0.139)	(0.277)	(0.210)	(0.133)	(0.096)
Sub-Saharan Africa	0.023	-0.007	-0.017	-0.037	0.007	0.030			
	(0.135)	(0.040)	(0.095)	(0.225)	(0.041)	(0.184)			
British Colony*Net Migration	0.109*	-0.031	-0.078*	0.185**	-0.037	-0.148**	0.082	-0.035	-0.046
	(0.065)	(0.026)	(0.042)	(0.093)	(0.032)	(0.069)	(0.066)	(0.037)	(0.032)
Sub-Sah. Africa*Net Migration.	0.085**	-0.024	-0.061**	0.142*	-0.029	-0.114*			
	(0.040)	(0.017)	(0.027)	(0.075)	(0.022)	(0.062)			
South Europe	-0.142	0.022	0.120				-0.083	0.030	0.053
_	(0.117)	(0.019)	(0.111)				(0.118)	(0.036)	(0.087)
Socialist	0.206	-0.083	-0.123				0.277	-0.159	-0.118
	(0.263)	(0.132)	(0.134)				(0.263)	(0.188)	(0.085)
Oil	0.386***	-0.186**	-0.201***	0.419***	-0.165**	-0.254***	0.300*	-0.178	-0.122*
	(0.118)	(0.079)	(0.058)	(0.127)	(0.073)	(0.076)	(0.172)	(0.117)	(0.068)
Small Country	0.016	-0.004	-0.011	-0.110	0.015	0.095	0.168	-0.086	-0.082
•	(0.234)	(0.070)	(0.163)	(0.311)	(0.024)	(0.291)	(0.268)	(0.167)	(0.104)
Political Rights	-0.050	0.014	0.036	-0.034	0.007	0.027	-0.091**	0.039	0.052**
	(0.036)	(0.013)	(0.026)	(0.075)	(0.016)	(0.060)	(0.045)	(0.030)	(0.022)
Catholic Share	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003	-0.001	-0.002	-0.002	0.001	0.001
	(0.002)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Ethnolinguistic Frationaliz.	-0.319	0.090	0.230	-0.619*	0.124	0.495**	-0.213	0.092	0.121
	(0.240)	(0.079)	(0.173)	(0.324)	(0.102)	(0.252)	(0.231)	(0.103)	(0.137)
Government Consumption	-0.012**	0.003	0.008**	-0.023***	0.005	0.018***	-0.014*	0.006	0.008**
	(0.005)	(0.002)	(0.004)	(0.008)	(0.003)	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.005)	(0.004)
Decolonization	0.017	-0.005	-0.012	0.286	-0.055	-0.231	0.401**	-0.247**	-0.154**
	(0.096)	(0.028)	(0.068)	(0.430)	(0.069)	(0.367)	(0.159)	(0.123)	(0.063)
Berlin Wall	-0.196*	0.015	0.181				-0.122	0.038	0.084
	(0.104)	(0.029)	(0.119)				(0.109)	(0.027)	(0.097)
Other Border Changes	0.104	-0.037	-0.067	-0.137	0.014	0.123			
	(0.335)	(0.141)	(0.194)	(0.345)	(0.016)	(0.346)			

The marginal effects are calculated from the estimated coefficients in Table 11, third column of each sample. They are computed at the mean of our sample. The marginal effect of a dummy variable is calculated as the discrete change in the expected value of the dependent variable as the dummy variable changes from 0 to 1. \* significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%.

# NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

## Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

## Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html http://www.repec.org

## NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries
ETA	2.2004	Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries
PRA	3.2004	Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: Do Privatizations Boost
		Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy  Vistor CINSBURGH and Shlowe WERER. Languages Disapfronchisement in the European Union
ETA ETA	4.2004 5.2004	Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union Romano PIRAS: Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy
CCMP	6.2004	Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal
PRA	7.2004	Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (1xv): Merger Mechanisms
PRA	8.2004	Wolfgang AUSSENEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a
PRA	9.2004	When-Issued Market Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets
		Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER
PRA	10.2004	(lxv): The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions
PRA	11.2004	Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi- Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders
PRA	12.2004	Ohad KADAN (lxv): Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values
PRA	13.2004	Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): Auctions as Coordination Devices
PRA	14.2004	Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers
		Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): Competition and Cooperation in Divisible
PRA	15.2004	Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination
PRA	16.2004	Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions
CCMP	17.2004	Slim Ben YOUSSEF: R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade
NRM	18.2004	Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics
a	40.004	Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: Information and Willingness to
SIEV	19.2004	Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice
NDM	20.2004	Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of
NRM	20.2004	<u>Differentiated Oligopoly</u>
NRM	21.2004	Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists
NRM	22.2004	Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii):
111111		Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development
NRM	23.2004	Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based
	24.2004	Resources in Kenya  Low Logues NOWAK Mondhey SAIII and Prograde M. SCRO (kwii) Towniam. Trade and Domestic Welford
NRM	24.2004	Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare
NRM	25.2004	Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies
NRM	26.2004	Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii): <u>Tourism and</u> Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach
NRM	27.2004	Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (Ixvii): Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports
	28.2004	Nicoletta FERRO: Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework
CSRM		Marian WEBER (lxvi): Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation:
NRM	29.2004	an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest
		Trond BJORNDAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi): Output Substitution in Multi-Species
NRM	30.2004	Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting
aa	21 2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
CCMP	31.2004	Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy
CCMP	32.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA ,Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
CCIVIF		Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy
CTN	33.2004	Wilson PEREZ: Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution
KTHC	34.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities
KTHC	35.2004	Linda CHAIB (lxviii): Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison
11110		, , <u> </u>

KTHC	36.2004	Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (Ixviii): Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of
KTHC	37.2004	<u>Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context</u> <u>Kristine CRANE</u> (lxviii): <u>Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome.</u>
KTHC	38.2004	Naples and Bari Kiflemariam HAMDE (lxviii): Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming
ETA	39.2004	Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm  Alberto CAVALIERE: Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly
PRA	40.2004	Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST: The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental
		Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?  Micheal FINUS (Ixix): International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems
CCMP	41.2004	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
KTHC	42.2004	Francesco CRESPI: Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis
CTN	43.2004	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies  Marco ESCRUMENTA VIII A.P.: Coatal Systematical State S
CTN	44.2004	Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR: Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability  Schooling REPLYCETS and Nicolag CRAVEL (Invi): A presiding Diversity with an Ordinal Nation of Similarity.
NRM	45.2004	Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL (lxvi): Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity:  An Axiomatic Approach  Signa ANTHON and Br. HELLESMARK THORSEN (lavi): Octional Affordation Contracts with Assumption
NRM	46.2004	Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLESMARK THORSEN (lxvi): Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits
NRM	47.2004	John MBURU (lxvi): Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach
		Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE (lxvi): Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural
NRM	48.2004	Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transition al Economy
CCMP	49.2004	Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects
GG	50.2004	Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL: Optimal Disease Eradication
CTN	51.2004	Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG: Simple Priorities and Core
CIN	31.2004	Stability in Hedonic Games
SIEV	52.2004	Francesco RICCI: Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the Theory
SIEV	53.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON: Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?
NRM	54.2004	Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF (lxvi): Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Renaturated Streams
NRM	55.2004	Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN (lxvi): Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and
		Regulatory Choices
NRM	56.2004	Tom DEDEURWAERDERE (lxvi): Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON: The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households
CCMP	57.2004	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CCMP	58.2004	Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN: Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios
NRM	59.2004	Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (lxvii): <u>Using Data Envelopment</u> Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management
NRM	60.2004	Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI (lxvi): Property Rights Conservation and Development: An
CCLAD	c1 2004	Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon  Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a
CCMP	61.2004	Technology-based Climate Protocol
NRM	62.2004	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.
NRM	63.2004	Györgyi BELA, György PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ (lxvi): Conserving Crop Genetic Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis
		E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN (lxvi): The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the
NRM	64.2004	Netherlands
NRM	65.2004	E.C.M. RUIJGROK (lxvi): Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the
ETA	66.2004	Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings
GG	67.2004	Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA: Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary
		Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach
GG	68.2004	Michael FINUS: Modesty Pays: Sometimes!  Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO: The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy
NRM	69.2004	Implications I and Ana BRASAO: Ine Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy
CTN	70.2004	Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT: On Coalition Formation with Heterogeneous Agents
IEM	71.2004	Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Conditional Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants
IEM	72.2004	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER: Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations
CIEV	72 2004	in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns  Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: The Copula Approach to Sample Selection Modelling:
SIEV	73.2004	An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests

		Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND: Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General
CCMP	74.2004	Equilibrium Assessment
ETA	75.2004	Rosella LEVAGGI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Investment in Hospital Care Technology under Different</u>
		Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach Salvador BARBERÀ and Matthew O. JACKSON (lxx): On the Weights of Nations: Assigning Voting Weights in
CTN	76.2004	a Heterogeneous Union
CTN	77.2004	Àlex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DÍAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERÀ and Fernando VEGA-
		REDONDO (lxx): Optimal Information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion
CTN	78.2004	Francis BLOCH and Armando GOMES (lxx): Contracting with Externalities and Outside Options Rabah AMIR, Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Licun XUE (lxx): Merger Performance under Uncertain Efficiency
CTN	79.2004	Gains
CTN	80.2004	Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON (lxx): The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players
CTN	81.2004	Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülya ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO (lxx): <u>Bicameralism and Government Formation</u> Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG QIN and Amnon RAPOPORT (lxx): <u>Potential Maximization</u>
CTN	82.2004	and Coalition Government Formation
CTN	83.2004	Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN (lxx): Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement
CTN	84.2004	Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZÁLEZ (lxx): <u>Economics: An Emerging</u> Small World?
CTN	85.2004	Edward CARTWRIGHT (lxx): Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players
IEM	86.2004	Finn R. FØRSUND and Michael HOEL: Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by
KTHC	87.2004	Hydroelectric Power  Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources, Investment and Long-Term Income
CCMP	88.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI and Claudia KEMFERT: Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey
IEM	89.2004	A. MARKANDYA, S. PEDROSO and D. STREIMIKIENE: Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There
GG	90.2004	Convergence Towards the EU Average?  Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Agreements and Technology Policy
PRA	91.2004	Sergei IZMALKOV (lxv): Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction
KTHC	92.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI: Cities and Cultures
KTHC	93.2004	Massimo DEL GATTO: Agglomeration, Integration, and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading
CCMP	94.2004	<u>Cities. Centralisation versus devolution</u> Pierre-André JOUVET, Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON: Equilibrium with a Market of Permits
CCMP	95.2004	Bob van der ZWAAN and Reyer GERLAGH: Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global
CCIVII	93.2004	Energy Supply
CCMP	96.2004	Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise
CTN	97.2004	Gustavo BERGANTIÑOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA: Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through
CIN	77.2004	the Canonical Form  Siddle orthography DANDY OR ADDITY Average Manday OAV: Porty: Formation and Capitional Paragining in a Model of
CTN	98.2004	Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK: Party Formation and Coalitional Bargaining in a Model of Proportional Representation
GG	99.2004	Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA: The Impact of Surplus
		Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements  Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP: Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence
SIEV	100.2004	from a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents
SIEV	101.2004	Chiara M. TRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP: A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to
NRM	102.2004	Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure  Valentina BOSETTI and David TOMBERLIN: Real Options Analysis of Fishing Fleet Dynamics: A Test
		Alessandra GORIA e Gretel GAMBARELLI: Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability
CCMP	103.2004	in Italy
PRA	104.2004	Massimo FLORIO and Mara GRASSENI: The Missing Shock: The Macroeconomic Impact of British Privatisation
DD A	105 2004	John BENNETT, Saul ESTRIN, James MAW and Giovanni URGA: Privatisation Methods and Economic Growth
PRA	105.2004	in Transition Economies
PRA PRA	106.2004 107.2004	Kira BÖRNER: The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?  Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON: Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets
1101	107.2004	Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo
SIEV	108.2004	MAINARDI: Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by
		<u>Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective</u> <u>Somdeb LAHIRI: The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some</u>
CTN	109.2004	Results
NRM	110.2004	Giuseppe DI VITA: Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look
SIEV	111.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA: Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks:  Evidence from a Three-Country Contingent Valuation Study
KTHC	112.2004	Valeria PAPPONETTI and Dino PINELLI: Scientific Advice to Public Policy-Making
SIEV	113.2004	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Laura ONOFRI: The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior
		and Public Policy Implications  Patrick CAYRADE: Investments in Gas Pipelines and Liquefied Natural Gas Infrastructure What is the Impact
IEM	114.2004	on the Security of Supply?
IEM	115.2004	Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: Oil Security. Short- and Long-Term Policies

IEM	116.2004	Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: Social Costs of Energy Disruptions
IEM	117.2004	Christian EGENHOFER, Kyriakos GIALOGLOU, Giacomo LUCIANI, Maroeska BOOTS, Martin SCHEEPERS, Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA, Anil MARKANDYA and Giorgio VICINI: Market-Based Options
HEM	110 2004	for Security of Energy Supply David FISK: Transport Energy Security. The Unseen Risk?
IEM	118.2004	* **
IEM	119.2004	Giacomo LUCIANI: Security of Supply for Natural Gas Markets. What is it and What is it not?
IEM	120.2004	L.J. de VRIES and R.A. HAKVOORT: The Question of Generation Adequacy in Liberalised Electricity Markets
KTHC	121.2004	Alberto PETRUCCI: Asset Accumulation, Fertility Choice and Nondegenerate Dynamics in a Small Open Economy
NRM	122.2004	Carlo GIUPPONI, Jaroslaw MYSIAK and Anita FASSIO: An Integrated Assessment Framework for Water
INIXIVI	122.2004	Resources Management: A DSS Tool and a Pilot Study Application
NRM	123.2004	Margaretha BREIL, Anita FASSIO, Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Evaluation of Urban Improvement on the Islands of the Venice Lagoon: A Spatially-Distributed Hedonic-Hierarchical Approach
ETA	124.2004	Paul MENSINK: Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement Under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric
		Information: The Differential Tax Revisited  Mayor FARIANO Cabriella CAMARSA Researce DURSI Roberta WALDI Valentina MARIN and Engages a
NRM	125.2004	Mauro FABIANO, Gabriella CAMARSA, Rosanna DURSI, Roberta IVALDI, Valentina MARIN and Francesca PALMISANI: Integrated Environmental Study for Beach Management: A Methodological Approach
PRA	126.2004	Irena GROSFELD and Iraj HASHI: The Emergence of Large Shareholders in Mass Privatized Firms: Evidence from Poland and the Czech Republic
CCMD	127 2004	Maria BERRITTELLA, Andrea BIGANO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: A General Equilibrium
CCMP	127.2004	Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Tourism
CCMP	128.2004	Reyer GERLAGH: A Climate-Change Policy Induced Shift from Innovations in Energy Production to Energy
NRM	129.2004	Savings Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources, Innovation, and Growth
PRA	130.2004	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Mara FACCIO: Reluctant Privatization
		Riccardo SCARPA and Mara THIENE: Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A
SIEV	131.2004	Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation
SIEV	132.2004	Riccardo SCARPA Kenneth G. WILLIS and Melinda ACUTT: Comparing Individual-Specific Benefit Estimates
	132.2004	for Public Goods: Finite Versus Continuous Mixing in Logit Models
IEM	133.2004	Santiago J. RUBIO: On Capturing Oil Rents with a National Excise Tax Revisited
ETA	134.2004	Ascensión ANDINA DÍAZ: Political Competition when Media Create Candidates' Charisma
SIEV	135.2004	Anna ALBERINI: Robustness of VSL Values from Contingent Valuation Surveys
CCMP	136.2004	Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: Marginal Abatement Cost Curves in General Equilibrium: The Influence of World Energy Prices
ETA	137.2004	Herbert DAWID, Christophe DEISSENBERG and Pavel ŠEVČIK: Cheap Talk, Gullibility, and Welfare in an Environmental Taxation Game
CCMP	138.2004	ZhongXiang ZHANG: The World Bank's Prototype Carbon Fund and China
CCMP	139.2004	Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Time Profile of Climate Change Stabilization Policy
NRM	140.2004	Chiara D'ALPAOS and Michele MORETTO: The Value of Flexibility in the Italian Water Service Sector: A Real Option Analysis
PRA	141.2004	Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts
PRA	142.2004	Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory
PRA	143.2004	and Evidence from Timber Auctions  David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions
PRA	144.2004	Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More
rka	144.2004	Simple Economics  Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of
PRA	145.2004	Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions
PRA	146.2004	Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets
PRA	147.2004	Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price
PRA	148.2004	Auctions  John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions
PRA	149.2004	Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-
IKA	149.2004	Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why
PRA	150.2004	Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions
CCMP	151.2004	Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process
CCMP	152.2004	Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate
		Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model  Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue
PRA	153.2004	Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly
ETA	154.2004	Nicola ACOCELLA, Giovanni Di BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: <u>Is there any Scope for Corporatism in Stabilization Policies?</u>
CTN		T. EVGT04310 1.16.1 1.EDITIO 1 11 T. 1.01 1 01 1 0 0 11 0
CIN	155.2004	Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: An Almost Ideal Sharing Scheme for Coalition Games with
CCMP	155.2004 156.2004	<u>Externalities</u> Cesare DOSI and Michael FINUS: An Almost Ideal Sharing Scheme for Coalition Games with  Externalities  Cesare DOSI and Michael MORETTO: Environmental Innovation, War of Attrition and Investment Grants

CCI ID	155 2004	Valentina BOSETTI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Alessandro LANZA: How Consistent are Alternative Short-Term
CCMP	157.2004	Climate Policies with Long-Term Goals?
ETA	158.2004	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Individual Labor Supply William BROCK and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: Spatial Analysis: Development of Descriptive and Normative
ETA	159.2004	Methods with Applications to Economic-Ecological Modelling
KTHC	160.2004	Alberto PETRUCCI: On the Incidence of a Tax on PureRent with Infinite Horizons
IEM	161.2004	Xavier LABANDEIRA, José M. LABEAGA and Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: Microsimulating the Effects of Household
		Energy Price Changes in Spain
		NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2005
CCMP	1.2005	Stéphane HALLEGATTE: Accounting for Extreme Events in the Economic Assessment of Climate Change
CCMP	2.2005	Qiang WU and Paulo Augusto NUNES: Application of Technological Control Measures on Vehicle Pollution: A Cost-Benefit Analysis in China
CCMP	3.2005	Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON, Maren LAU, Richard S.J. TOL and Yuan ZHOU: A Global Database of Domestic and International Tourist Numbers at National and Subnational Level
CCMP	4.2005	Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: The Impact of Climate on Holiday Destination Choice
ETA	5.2005	Hubert KEMPF: Is Inequality Harmful for the Environment in a Growing Economy?
CCMP	6.2005	Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: The Dynamics of Carbon and Energy Intensity
		in a Model of Endogenous Technical Change  David CALEF and Robert GOBLE: The Allure of Technology: How France and California Promoted Electric
IEM	7.2005	Vehicles to Reduce Urban Air Pollution
ETA	8.2005	Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH: An Empirical Contribution to the Debate on Corruption
CCI ID	0.2007	Democracy and Environmental Policy  Angelo ANTOCI: Environmental Resources Depletion and Interplay Between Negative and Positive Externalities
CCMP	9.2005	in a Growth Model
CTN	10.2005	Frédéric DEROIAN: Cost-Reducing Alliances and Local Spillovers Francesco SINDICO: The GMO Dispute before the WTO: Legal Implications for the Trade and Environment
NRM	11.2005	Debate
KTHC	12.2005	Carla MASSIDDA: Estimating the New Keynesian Phillips Curve for Italian Manufacturing Sectors
KTHC	13.2005	Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: Start-up Entry Strategies: Employer vs. Nonemployer firms  Clara GRAZIANO and Annalisa LUPORINI: Ownership Concentration, Monitoring and Optimal Board
PRCG	14.2005	Structure
CSRM	15.2005	Parashar KULKARNI: Use of Ecolabels in Promoting Exports from Developing Countries to Developed Countries: Lessons from the Indian LeatherFootwear Industry
KTHC	16.2005	Adriana DI LIBERTO, Roberto MURA and Francesco PIGLIARU: How to Measure the Unobservable: A Panel
		Technique for the Analysis of TFP Convergence
KTHC KTHC	17.2005 18.2005	Alireza NAGHAVI: Asymmetric Labor Markets, Southern Wages, and the Location of Firms Alireza NAGHAVI: Strategic Intellectual Property Rights Policy and North-South Technology Transfer
KTHC	19.2005	Mombert HOPPE: Technology Transfer Through Trade
PRCG	20.2005	Roberto ROSON: Platform Competition with Endogenous Multihoming
CCMP	21.2005	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: Regional and Sub-Global Climate Blocs. A Game Theoretic
		Perspective on Bottom-up Climate Regimes Fausto CAVALLARO: An Integrated Multi-Criteria System to Assess Sustainable Energy Options: An
IEM	22.2005	Application of the Promethee Method
CTN	23.2005	Michael FINUS, Pierre v. MOUCHE and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: <u>Uniqueness of Coalitional Equilibria</u>
IEM CTN	24.2005	Wietze LISE: Decomposition of CO2 Emissions over 1980–2003 in Turkey
	25.2005	Somdeb LAHIRI: The Core of Directed Network Problems with Quotas Susanne MENZEL and Riccardo SCARPA: Protection Motivation Theory and Contingent Valuation: Perceived
SIEV	26.2005	Realism, Threat and WTP Estimates for Biodiversity Protection
NRM	27.2005	Massimiliano MAZZANȚI and Anna MONTINI: The Determinants of Residential Water Demand Empirical Evidence for a Panel of Italian Municipalities
CCMP NRM	28.2005 29.2005	Laurent GILOTTE and Michel de LARA: Precautionary Effect and Variations of the Value of Information Paul SARFO-MENSAH: Exportation of Timber in Ghana: The Menace of Illegal Logging Operations
CCMP	30.2005	Andrea BIGANO, Alessandra GORIA, Jacqueline HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: The Effect of Climate
		Change and Extreme Weather Events on Tourism
NRM	31.2005	Maria Angeles GARCIA-VALIÑAS: Decentralization and Environment: An Application to Water Policies Chiara D'ALPAOS, Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: Concession Length and Investment Timing
NRM	32.2005	<u>Flexibility</u>
CCMP	33.2005	Joseph HUBER: Key Environmental Innovations Antoni CALVÓ-ARMENGOL and Rahmi İLKILIÇ (lxxii): Pairwise-Stability and Nash Equilibria in Network
CTN	34.2005	<u>Formation</u>
CTN	35.2005	Francesco FERI (lxxii): Network Formation with Endogenous Decay Frank H. PAGE, Jr. and Myrna H. WOODERS (lxxii): Strategic Basins of Attraction, the Farsighted Core, and
CTN	36.2005	Network Formation Games

CTN	37.2005	Alessandra CASELLA and Nobuyuki HANAKI (lxxii): Information Channels in Labor Markets. On the
CTN		Resilience of Referral Hiring  Matthew O. JACKSON and Alison WATTS (lxxii): Social Games: Matching and the Play of Finitely Repeated
	38.2005	Games Anna BOGOMOLNAIA, Michel LE BRETON, Alexei SAVVATEEV and Shlomo WEBER (lxxii): The Egalitarian
CTN	39.2005	Sharing Rule in Provision of Public Projects
CTN	40.2005	Francesco FERI: Stochastic Stability in Network with Decay
CTN	41.2005	Aart de ZEEUW (lxxii): <u>Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u> C. Martijn van der HEIDE, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH, Ekko C. van IERLAND and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES:
NRM	42.2005	Measuring the Economic Value of Two Habitat Defragmentation Policy Scenarios for the Veluwe, The
		Netherlands
PRCG	43.2005	Carla VIEIRA and Ana Paula SERRA: Abnormal Returns in Privatization Public Offerings: The Case of
rico	43.2003	Portuguese Firms
SIEV	44.2005	Anna ALBERINI, Valentina ZANATTA and Paolo ROSATO: Combining Actual and Contingent Behavior to Estimate the Value of Sports Fishing in the Lagoon of Venice
CTN	45 2005	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: Participation in International Environmental Agreements: The
CTN	45.2005	Role of Timing and Regulation
CCMP	46.2005	Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH: Are EU Environmental Policies Too Demanding for New
IEM	47.2005	Members States?  Matteo MANERA: Modeling Factor Demands with SEM and VAR: An Empirical Comparison
		Olivier TERCIEUX and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (lxx): A Characterization of Stochastically Stable
CTN	48.2005	Networks
CTN	49.2005	Ana MAULEON, José SEMPERE-MONERRIS and Vincent J. VANNETELBOSCH (lxxii): R&D Networks
	.,,	Among Unionized Firms  Could CARRARO Library EVCKMANS and Michael FINUS. Online of Transferred and Restriction Desirious in
CTN	50.2005	Carlo CARRARO, Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: Optimal Transfers and Participation Decisions in International Environmental Agreements
KTHC	51.2005	Valeria GATTAI: From the Theory of the Firm to FDI and Internalisation: A Survey
CCMP	52.2005	Alireza NAGHAVI: Multilateral Environmental Agreements and Trade Obligations: A Theoretical Analysis of
CCIVII	32.2003	the Doha Proposal
SIEV	53.2005	Margaretha BREIL, Gretel GAMBARELLI and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Economic Valuation of On Site Material Damages of High Water on Economic Activities based in the City of Venice: Results from a Dose-Response-
SILV	33.2003	Expert-Based Valuation Approach
ETA	54.2005	Alessandra del BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI, Charles P. HIMMELBERG and Paola ROTA: Investment and Time
LIA	34.2003	to Plan: A Comparison of Structures vs. Equipment in a Panel of Italian Firms
CCMP	55.2005	Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: Emissions Trading, CDM, JI, and More – The Climate Strategy of the EU
ETA	56.2005	Maia DAVID and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNÉ: Environmental Regulation and the Eco-Industry
ETA	57.2005	Alain-Désiré NIMUBONA and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNÉ: The Pigouvian Tax Rule in the Presence of an
LIA	37.2003	Eco-Industry
NRM	58.2005	Helmut KARL, Antje MÖLLER, Ximena MATUS, Edgar GRANDE and Robert KAISER: Environmental
		Innovations: Institutional Impacts on Co-operations for Sustainable Development  Dimitra VOUVAKI and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS (lxxiii): Criteria for Assessing Sustainable
SIEV	59.2005	Development: Theoretical Issues and Empirical Evidence for the Case of Greece
CCMP	60.2005	Andreas LÖSCHEL and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: Impure Public Goods and Technological Interdependencies
PRCG	61.2005	Christoph A. SCHALTEGGER and Benno TORGLER: Trust and Fiscal Performance: A Panel Analysis with
ETA	62.2005	Swiss Data Irene VALSECCHI: A Role for Instructions
		Valentina BOSETTI and Gianni LOCATELLI: A Data Envelopment Analysis Approach to the Assessment of
NRM	63.2005	Natural Parks' Economic Efficiency and Sustainability. The Case of Italian National Parks
SIEV	64.2005	Arianne T. de BLAEIJ, Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH: Modeling 'No-choice'
		Responses in Attribute Based Valuation Surveys Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Alessandra SGOBBI: Applications of Negotiation Theory to Water
CTN	65.2005	Issues
CTN	66.2005	Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Alessandra SGOBBI: Advances in Negotiation Theory:
		Bargaining, Coalitions and Fairness
KTHC	67.2005	Sandra WALLMAN (lxxiv): Network Capital and Social Trust: Pre-Conditions for 'Good' Diversity?  Asimina CHRISTOFOROU (lxxiv): On the Determinants of Social Capital in Greece Compared to Countries of
KTHC	68.2005	the European Union
KTHC	69.2005	Eric M. USLANER (lxxiv): Varieties of Trust
KTHC	70.2005	Thomas P. LYON (lxxiv): Making Capitalism Work: Social Capital and Economic Growth in Italy, 1970-1995
KTHC	71.2005	Graziella BERTOCCHI and Chiara STROZZI (lxxv): Citizenship Laws and International Migration in Historical
		<u>Perspective</u>

- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications" organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4<sup>th</sup> BioEcon Workshop on "Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation" organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities", Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference "The Future of Climate Policy", Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003
- (lxx) This paper was presented at the 9<sup>th</sup> Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004
- (lxxi) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Consip and sponsored by the EU, Rome, September 23-25, 2004
- (lxxii) This paper was presented at the 10<sup>th</sup> Coalition Theory Network Workshop held in Paris, France on 28-29 January 2005 and organised by EUREQua.
- (lxxiii) This paper was presented at the 2nd Workshop on "Inclusive Wealth and Accounting Prices" held in Trieste, Italy on 13-15 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics.
- (lxxiv) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Trust and social capital in multicultural cities" Athens, January 19-20, 2004
- (lxxv) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Diversity as a source of growth" Rome November  $18-19,\,2004$

2004 SERIES

**CCMP** Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KTHC Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

**CSRM** Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRA Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

**CTN** Coalition Theory Network

**2005 SERIES** 

CCMP Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti )

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KTHC Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

**CSRM** Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

**PRCG** Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

**ETA** Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

CTN Coalition Theory Network