

Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation

Riccardo Scarpa and Mara Thiene

NOTA DI LAVORO 131.2004

NOVEMBER 2004

SIEV – Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

Riccardo Scarpa, University of York Mara Thiene, University of Padua

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://ssrn.com/abstract=615641

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: www.feem.it, e-mail: working.papers@feem.it

Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation

Summary

Practitioners of outdoor sports, such as rock-climbers, are likely to exhibit preference heterogeneity that depends on the 'keenness' with which such sports are practiced. Such an intuition is born out in at least one study using latent class discrete choice modelling (Provencher et al. 2002). Preference heterogeneity has a reflection on the population's structure of recreational values assigned to rock-climbing destinations, to their attributes and ultimately to land management policies addressing such attributes.

In this study such hypothesis is tested on a panel of destination choices by a sample of rock-climbers members of the Veneto Chapter of the Italian Alpine Club. Preliminary estimates of latent-class (finite-mixing) specifications provided evidence that intensity of participation explained heterogeneity in taste. This motivated our splitting of the sample in a 'high' and a 'low' intensity of participation sub-samples, each of which is in turn analysed for the presence of endogenous preference classes using latent-class random utility based approaches. We find evidence in support of the hypothesis that there are at least four statistically well-defined classes in each sub-sample, thereby revealing a considerable richness in the structure of preference, which would otherwise be unobservable in more conventional approaches. From the model estimates, we first focus on the derivation of posterior individual specific welfare measures for some key destination attributes, and then for a welfare neutral land management policy. One emerging feature is the strong evidence of multi-modal distribution of values, a feature that is more difficult to capture when preference heterogeneity is modelled by other means. The results also show how the proposed policy is progressive in terms of benefit distribution in the sample, and that the distribution of individual welfare changes shows markedly different patterns between high and low demand sub-samples.

Keywords: Travel cost model, Preference heterogeneity, Non-market valuation, Random utility model, Latent class analysis, Rock-climbing, Destination choice modelling

JEL Classification: Q26, H41

Address for correspondence:

Riccardo Scarpa Environment Department University of York York YO10 5DD UK Phone: +44 01904 434 791 Fax: +44 01904 432 998 E-mail: rs24@york.ac.uk

1. Introduction

Investigations of taste heterogeneity in destination choice models of recreation have shown the presence of substantial taste variation amongst natural resource users. The modelling of such taste distributions has relied on continuous distributions (Train 1998, Chen and Cosslett 1998, Breffle and Morey 2000) or finite ones (Provencher et al. 2002, Shonkwiler and Shaw 2003). While mixed logit affords an undoubtedly elegant approach to the representation of preference variation, it is not without shortcomings in terms of complexity of both, modelling choices and estimation. For example, it is often difficult to identify an empirically tractable distribution of taste adequate for treating "lumpy" preferences. Such preferences manifest themselves via multi-modal empirical distribution of taste intensities (see Hensher and Greene 2002 for a discussion of other shortcomings). However, some progress has been made towards the treatment of indifference using more flexible continuous forms, (e.g. in the work by Train and Sonnier 2003). The finite-mixture (or latent class) approach based on endogenous segmentation appears promising for its ease of estimation and for its intuitive interpretation and communication to policy makers. This approach endogenously assigns individuals to classes with identical preferences and estimates the probability of membership to each class along with their respective preference weights. The definition of the correct number of classes, however, is somewhat arbitrary as there are no exact statistical tests capable of discriminating across competing hypotheses.

Other applications of finite mixing/latent class models (LCM) in environmental economics include a number of papers in which authors report on the use of the technique on stated-preference data (Boxall and Adamovicz 2002, Scarpa *et al.* 2003, Scarpa *et al.* 2004).

In this paper we report on our use of the latent class approach to identify the number of classes with homogeneous preference in a large sample of destination choices by rockclimbers, and their relative probability of membership to each class. Rock climbing is a rapidly expanding outdoor activity and has been the focus of recent recreation demand studies in the U.S. and the U.K. (Shaw and Jakus 1996, Hanley *et al.* 2001, 2002, Grijalva *et al.* 2002a, 2002b, Hanley and Wright 2003). This is the first study, as far as we know, that uses finite mixing approaches to model discrete-choices of destination in mountain recreation. Because such an approach generates parameter estimates for the utility function of each latent class, we only present a selection of estimates, to avoid overwhelming the reader with too much information without a straightforward interpretation. We are careful, however, to describe the relevant details of our analytical approach. More details on the broad strategy behind the results presented here are available from the authors.

In this study we found posterior welfare measures of high policy interest, hence we focus on their derivation and on their distribution in the sample at hand. In particular, we have two objectives. First, to examine the richness of patterns of posterior marginal benefit distributions associated with each attribute in the destination choice models, and contrast such patterns across the two sub-samples with some common sense expectations. The second objective is to illustrate the consequences of preference heterogeneity in the distribution of benefits and costs associated with a policy aimed at changing the current availability of climbing routes as well as the quality of access to the single climbs by charging an access fee that counter-balances the beneficial effects in the sample. In particular, we focus on a policy that would be easily implemented by the local authorities in the Alps. The analysis illustrates how incorporating preference heterogeneity in the model allows clear identification of losers and winners in the sample. In our application it emerges that the policy is progressive, redistributing benefits from high income to low-income members of the sample. This also is a

novel focus, since with few exceptions (e.g. Hutchinson *et al.* 2003), travel cost applications tend to ignore equity effects, and concentrate on efficiency issues.

The remainder of the paper is divided as follows. In the next section we briefly review the use of finite mixing random utility models in environmental economics. Section 3 describes the data and the behavioural rationale for taste heterogeneity underpinning and motivating this study. Section 4 addresses some econometric issues, while the results of the analysis are discussed in section 5. Section 6 concludes.

2 Finite-mixing in random utility models

2.1 Travel cost applications

Recent applications of finite-mixing include two travel cost studies of recreational site choice (Provencher *et al.* 2002 and Shonkwiler and Shaw 2003). Provencher *et al.* 2002 assumed class membership to be conditional on individual characteristics (age and experience) and captured the dynamic of choice by means of a serially correlated error structure across the sequence of one individual's choices. They concluded that there was some evidence of time dependence across choices and that finite-mixing (as they called it) is a convenient and intuitive alternative to mixed logit, especially in terms of computational cost. They found evidence for three separate preference groups, but they did not find evidence of a group of "seasoned veterans" that behave differently from others, which had been their expectation at the outset.

Shonkwiler and Shaw 2003, also assumed class membership to be conditional on individual characteristics, and emphasized how the two-group models they estimated displayed different marginal utility of income, which is otherwise more cumbersome to account for. They suggested that this could be an elegant, yet uncomplicated way to allow for non-linear preferences for money. This has implications in the valuation of attributes, which differ across groups. They also, like us in this paper, discussed the potential use of posterior probabilities, although they did not use them in the derivation of their welfare estimates.

2.2 Choice model applications

A number of recent stated-preference applications used LCMs. These, amongst others, include Boxall and Adamovicz (2002), who investigated using factor analysis to determine the motivational determinants of trips to wilderness, and built individual-specific factor loadings that were then used as determinants in the class membership equation. Their analysis supported the existence of four classes with homogeneous preferences and consequently a much richer interpretation than a conventional multinomial logit model.

Hensher and Greene (2003) used a dataset on choice of road types in New Zealand and systematically contrasted the merits of mixed logit with those of latent class modelling. Comparisons were carried out in terms of choice elasticities, distributions of predicted choice probabilities and changes in absolute choice shares. Based on their results they concluded that no unambiguous recommendation could be made as to the superiority of any of the two approaches, although they found strong statistical support for the LCM approach with three preference classes.

Scarpa *et al.* 2003, used LCM analysis as an accessory to a more conventional conditional heterogeneity multinomial logit analysis of the choice of piglet breeds, in an effort to value an indigenous pig breed in Yucatan on a sample of households. They found evidence for two distinct preference groups, using membership probability equations including various individual specific co-variates.

None of the stated or revealed preference studies above focussed on the derivation of individual welfare measures conditional on the observed pattern of choice. This, we feel, is a

useful feature to expand upon in this study, because it further adds to the merits and additional insights that finite mixing can contribute to the treatment of taste heterogeneity.

3 Alpine rock climbing: the data and rationale for taste heterogeneity

3.1 The North-East Alps.

We investigate destination choices of one-day trips across eighteen mountain groups in the North-East Alps (Figure 1), which are quite diverse from both a morphological and rock-climbing perspective.

The destinations include the following:

- 1. Vette Feltrine, M. Sole,
- 2. P. Dolomiti Pasubio
- 3. Consiglio-Alpago
- 4. Altipiano Asiago
- 5. M.Grappa
- 6. Lessini-M.Baldo
- 7. Antelio
- 8. Pelmo
- 9. Tofane-Cristallo
- 10. Duranno-Cima Preti
- 11. Sorapiss
- 12. Agner-Pale San Lucano
- 13. Tamer-S.Sebastiano
- 14. Marmarole
- 15. Tre Cime-Cadini
- 16. Civetta-Moiazza
- 17. Pale di S.Martino
- 18. Marmolada

Within this list two broad geographically determined groups have been generally distinguished. Destinations 1-6 belong to the pre-Alps, which are mountains with gentler slopes and lower peaks separating the plane from the proper Alps. Because of their closeness to the main urban centres, and the presence of relatively difficult hiking routes – even though the length of the access paths is limited – the pre-Alps are the final destination of many local day trips.

Destinations 7-18 are in the North-East Alps, in the mountain chain of the Dolomites, which is an extended rocky area mostly made of dolomite rocks. This rare and distinguished rock type is geologically well-defined as it originates from coral reefs. Mountains made of this rock are scenically attractive, as they tend to show orange-pink reflections at sunset and under cloudy skies. These create those dramatic scenes for which the Dolomites are well-known the world over.

3.2 Sample and its characteristics.

Because a great fraction of the Italian rock-climbers belong to the CAI¹, and our interest was to characterize preferences of local users able to take day-trips, rather than longer holidays, the sampling frame was based on the list of members of the Veneto chapter of CAI. This type of membership is quite popular across regular mountain users, such as the like of rock-climbers,

¹ Some previous studies highlighted that about 25% of the day trips in the studied area were completed by CAI members.

as the Club provides a great deal of locally relevant services, such as training courses, activity maps, regularly updated guides, rescue services etc.

The data for the study was collected with a survey from a sample of 528 members who reported on their mountain visits for the year 1999. The total number of climbing day-trips the sample reported upon is 8,787. Figure 2 reports a histogram of trip distribution in the sample.

Data were collected using a questionnaire. Typically a group of respondents were handed the questionnaire during a debriefing session in which they were given an explanation of how to interpret several questions. Then, each member of the group would fill in the questionnaire on their own. Respondents were asked questions about their mountaineering abilities and experience, whether they attended mountaineering training courses; regularly trained in cliffs and indoor climbing walls; inception of their hiking activities in the Alps; other activities practised such as ski-mountaineering etc.

They were also asked the total number of day trips made in the last twelve months to each of the abovementioned 18 sites. Finally, they provided socio-economic information about the state of their households. Round-trip distances from place of residence to each of the destinations in the choice set were calculated using the software package "Strade d'Italia e d'Europa". This data were used to estimate the individual travel cost for each trip. Because of the high potential for recall errors, the notion of asking the sequence of choices was foregone.

Distance costs were converted into monetary values in euros (\in). Each reported visit was a "one day trip", as customary for this form of local outdoor recreation. Usually in Italy, there is no cost attributed to travel time, mainly because the opportunity to produce extra-income during spare time is very limited. So, the opportunity cost of time is assumed to be zero. This may well be one of the main limitations of this study, which can be improved upon by using a framework similar to that developed by Shaw (1992), or by Feather and Shaw (1999).

The definition of a complete set of substitute destinations for this kind of recreation is a thorny issue to resolve. However, in this instance, because of the proximity of these sites to the place of residence of the interviewed sample, as well as the relatively similar nature of the climbs available at each site, we believe it is safe to assume that all the 18 destinations are substitutes. Although this choice set is certainly not exhaustive, experts estimate that less than five percent of climbing trips are taken to other non-listed destinations.

Only 13.41 percent of the respondents are women, the sample average of age is 37.63 (st. dev. 14), and that of years of experience in climbing is 10 (st. dev. 9.8). Most of respondents ended education at the high-school level (56%) and almost 13% graduated from university programs. The average income is in excess of $22,500 \in$. The average family size is 3.1 people.

3.3 Attributes of destinations.

The attributes included in the estimation were mostly coded according to expert knowledge of the climbing features offered by the above destinations. These included:

1. Severity of the mountain environment

This index represents the degree of severity of the alpine environment on the climbs of the mountain group. It ranges from 1 to 3.

2. Difficulty of the climbing routes (df_climbs)

This is an index ranging from 1 to 4 and related to the technical difficulty of the climbs. By and large each destination offers the opportunity to climb at various degrees of difficulty. This index reflects the prevalence of a particular degree over another.

3. Number of equipped alpine shelters (shelters)

This is the number of alpine shelters available at each destination. Alpine shelters are equipped to protect ramblers from the sudden changes in weather that are often experienced in the Alps and to allow them to sleep overnight. They are also used as landmarks and reference points for rescue teams. The number of shelters varies from a minimum of 2 shelters (Site 10) and a maximum of 26 (Site 18).

4. Presence of climbing routes (P_climbs)

This is an index ranging from 1 to 5 and reflective of the relative density of viable climbing routes accessible at each destination.

5. Quality of access to the climbing site (access)

This attempts to capture not only the time between parking places and the climbs at a given mountain group, but also the difficulty in terms of the terrain over which climbers need to walk before reaching the climbs. The index ranges from 1 (poorly accessible) to 3 (very accessible), with 2 as intermediate.

Finally, estimated round trip travel cost (cost) from place of residence to destination was also included in the indirect utility function.

3.4 Rationale for taste heterogeneity.

After a preliminary data analysis it was decided that the econometric analysis would be focussed on two sub-samples, one with high demand intensity (D-high) and another with low demand intensity (D-low). It is hence worthwhile developing some rationale for the expected differences in taste amongst these groups and within them, at least for some features. Independent of the intensity of demand, two broad categories of climbers can be identified:

- 1) traditional alpine climbers
- 2) and free-climbers.

The first broad group of climbers tend to go climbing in classic routes and quite often at the end of a tracking trip, which is an integral part of the alpine "experience". Such climbs are relatively more difficult from the technical perspective with few fixed bolts, in relatively unmarked climbs, and require good experience and intuition to guess the best way up. Independent of the destination of choice, traditional alpine climbers also tend to choose longer climbs, which exposes them for longer periods to potential weather changes and overall represent higher risk ventures.

The second broad group includes climbers more inclined to develop a different category of techniques. Free-climbers have a lower risk aversion and tend to choose much better marked and shorter climbs. These are in some respect analogous to outdoor climbing gyms. This group tends to shun high severity climbs.

In both groups there are various degrees of experience, ranging from beginners to veterans, as well as different degrees of keenness and hence intensity of demand.

From discussion in focus groups and with experts some further relevant remarks were collected. Keen rock-climbers are more frequently found in D-high, but within this group coexist at least two distinct attitudes to climbing. Many high demand climbers, such as free climbers, may just want to reach a given climb and engage in climbing. To them this activity is similar to going to the gym or the swimming pool: they want to reach the chosen destination, perform the climb, to enjoy it and then return. For lack of a better term, we call this group *focussed* D-high, because they focus on the climbing experience alone, with relative disregard of other alpine activities.

However, it is well-known that other keen climbers see climbing quite differently. Some, perhaps a minority, regard it as an integral part of an old-fashioned trip to the Alps, which inevitably includes a long stroll to get away from the crowds, to submerge oneself in the beauty of the alpine experience and to enjoy the solitude that this affords. We refer to this group as *alpine* D-high. The members of the D-low group are more difficult to separate a-priori into distinct sub-groups. The consensus seems to be that all the above-mentioned forms of behaviour coexist in this group, but in a less polarised fashion, and accompanied by many others, so as to altogether form a more heterogeneous group of "less-keen" climbers.

3.5 Attributes of climbing destinations.

In what follows we outline the attributes of the destinations employed in the LCM as determinant of choice.

Severity of the environment and difficulty of climbs.

Generally speaking, in both D-high and D-low groups these attributes should be perceived as undesirable, although it is expected that in the former group there should be more variation in taste for both attributes. Such variation would accommodate the adjustment to severity brought about by the frequent practice distinguishing this group, as well as the keenness to increasingly – or occasionally – challenge oneself with unusually difficult climbs. This is expected from specialised demand by members of the *focused* D-high group, such as keen free-climbers or extreme alpine climbers. D-low free-climbers, however, include climbers with a large variation of skills, some of whom will shun high difficulty climbs, and others who actively seek them. This makes the development of a clear-cut expectation on taste controversial, and reduces it to a matter of empirical investigation.

Numbers of alpine shelters.

Alpine shelters are important protection points and should generally be regarded as a non-negative attribute in the indirect utility from the choice of destination for climbing trips by all climbers, regardless of the activity they wish to undertake in the mountains. They are quite valuable to traditional alpine climbers, who often need a good day's walk to get closer to classic climbing routes, and hence sleep in shelters overnight. However, for free-climbers in both the D-high and the D-low groups the presence of shelters is totally irrelevant, or even not appealing to those who want to escape crowds, as the presence of shelters inevitably attracts other alpine visitors apart from climbers.

Ease of access and number of climbs.

These attributes are likely to be perceived differently by both sub-samples, but also by their subgroups for a variety of reasons. Both D-high and D-low climbers may have good reasons to find the number of climbs at a destination either desirable or undesirable. To freeclimbers ease of access, as well as the number of climbs accessible from a given destination are valuable features. However, alpine climbers may choose more frequently sites with difficult access to the climbs to get away from congestion. To this type of climbers the experience of getting to the pinnacle of the climb is as enjoyable as the walk to the base of it, and ease of access may be perceived as a "bad" because of its association with potential congestion.

A benefit-neutral policy for the sample.

The Italian Alps have a long history of management, which results in a very high level of local knowledge and traditional use of the land for recreation. Traditionally, the troops recruitment of the Italian Alpine Corp was based on the national draft and conscripts during the draft period had to maintain many of the Alpine tracking routes and pathways. Other local organisations, such as CAI, have been also in charge of maintenance of climbing routes for example. With the recent abolition of the national military service it is envisaged that the cost and logistics of maintenance will be shifted to local authorities that in turn, will need extra revenue for the up-keep. For such a reason it is of interest to obtain estimates of welfare change from recreationists of all types, including climbers. In this study we focus on increasing the number of climbing routes in those few destinations with very low current supply ($P_climbs = 1$). We also focus on increasing the ease of access to climbing routes, so as to bring all sites to an index value of 3 for this attribute.

Both actions are a realistic target for local authorities. The first policy component will provide more local supply of routes, thereby diversifying the attraction of each destination; the second policy component will make it easier to reach currently less accessible climbing locations by providing closer parking sites and better mountain tracks.

4 Econometric issues

The derivation of the latent class logit model is based on a membership probability equation, and on a alternative choice probability equation, both of which turn out to have a convenient logit formulation when two independent Gumbel-distributed error components are used.

The membership equation explains the probabilistic assignment into a number of C classes, where C is exogenously defined and outside the space of estimable parameters. Given membership to class c, the choice probability equation explaining the mechanics of probabilistic choice across alternatives in each choice occasion is based on a conventional random utility framework of the multinomial logit:

$$\Pr(i \mid c) = \frac{\exp(v_i)}{\sum_{j \in J} \exp(v_j)} = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_i \boldsymbol{\beta})}{\sum_{j \in J} \exp(\mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta})}$$

where the scale parameter of the Gumbel error distribution is normalised and omitted.

In the results we choose to present here, we have adopted the approach documented in Hensher and Greene (2003), which is conveniently applicable using Nlogit version 3.

Briefly, the specification we present does not include any socio-economic covariate as a determinant of the membership probability specification. Indeed, the whole range of socio-economic covariates available was used and none was found of them to be significant at the conventional values for the membership equation. The only variable that did play a statistically significant role was the number of trips taken, which also had a quadratic effect. So, for the *C* membership probabilities a semi-parametric logit format is assumed:

$$\Pr(n \in c) = \begin{cases} Q_c = \frac{\exp(\alpha_c)}{1 + \sum_{c=2}^{C} \exp(\alpha_c)}, \forall c \neq 1 \\ Q_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{c=2}^{C} \exp(\alpha_c)}, c = 1. \end{cases}$$

This suggested another avenue of investigation. Since the number of rock-climbing trips taken was detected as a significant determinant in membership probabilities, we stratified the sample into two sub-samples with an equivalent number of choices on the basis of the number of trips taken. As threshold value for the definition of the two sub-samples we used 20 trips per year. At this threshold the significance of "trips taken" disappeared as a determinant of class membership in each sub-sample. The low demand intensity group (D-low) contains

379 rock climbers with a total of 4,124 rock-climbing trips in 1999 (sub-sample average 13.11, st. dev. 4.49). The high demand intensity group (D-high) includes 149 rock-climbers with a total of 4,663 rock-climbing trips in 1999 (sub-sample average 33.21, st. dev. 8.07). In all other measurable socio-economic characteristics the two groups are very similar. The D-high have an average of only 2 years more experience in rock-climbing, 11.13 percent of whom are women, versus 16 percent of the D-low group.

We therefore proceeded by estimating a series of models in each sub-sample, focussing on a set of destination attributes that we assessed to be of relevance to this type of mountain recreation.

4.1 Number of groups with different preferences

The number of groups with different preferences is not part of the maximization process from which the parameter estimates are derived. In other words it is outside the space of the estimable parameters. The conventional specification tests used for maximum likelihood estimates (likelihood ratio, Lagrange multipliers and Wald tests) are not valid in this context because they do not satisfy the regularity conditions for a limiting chi-square distribution under the null. This because the parameter values under the null are at the boundary of the parameter space.

Resampling from the empirical distribution is feasible but very impractical because of the computational complexity it involves (p. 91 Wedel and Kamakura 2000). As a guidance some authors have used a variety of information criteria $C = -2\ln L + J \kappa$ where $\ln L$ is the log-likelihood of the model at convergence, *J* is the number of estimated parameters in the model, and κ is a penalty constant.

For $\kappa = 2$ we obtain the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC); for $\kappa = \ln(N+1)$ we obtain the *consistent* AIC (cnAIC); for $\kappa = \ln(N)$ we obtain the Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC), which by construction is very similar to the cnAIC. Finally, for $\kappa = 2+2(J+1)(J+2)/(N-J-2)$ we have the *corrected* AIC (crAIC) (Hurvich and Tsai 1989), which increases the penalty for the number of extra parameters estimated.

However, these criteria also fail some of the regularity conditions under the null for a valid test under the null (Leroux, 1992). The AIC is reported to over-estimate the number of groups, while the BIC does not do this, asymptotically, although in small sample sizes it tends to favour too few groups (McLachlan and Peel 2000).

Furthermore, as the number of classes increases the significance of parameter estimates in the utility function gradually decreases, especially in classes with low probability of membership. Therefore the chosen number of classes must also account for significance of parameter estimates and be tempered by the analyst's own judgment on the meaningfulness of the parameter signs.

4.2 Derivation of posterior estimates from LCM models

Consider a population with *C* preference classes and a sequence of *T* observed choices per individual *n* over *J* destination alternatives. Given a sequence of choices by the same individual and conditional on belonging to a given preference group or class *c*, say for example class *A*, the joint logit probability of a sequence of destination choices T(n) is:

1)
$$P_{T(n)} \mid A = \prod_{t(n)=1}^{T(n)} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_{t(n)}\boldsymbol{\beta}_A)}{\sum_{j=1}^{18} \exp(\mathbf{x}_j\boldsymbol{\beta}_A)}$$

With the individual probability of membership to a group c defined as Q_c one can derive the unconditional probability of the sequence of destination choices T(n) for the individual n by taking the expectation over all the c classes:

2)
$$\Pr(T(n)) = \sum_{c=1}^{C} Q_c P_{T(n)} \mid A = \sum_{c=1}^{C} Q_c \prod_{t(n)=1}^{T(n)} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_{t(n)} \boldsymbol{\beta}_c)}{\sum_{j=1}^{18} \exp(\mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_c)},$$

where in this study the C = 2, 3, 4 and 5.

A posterior estimate of the individual-specific class probability can be obtained given the observed sequence of T(n) choices and using Bayes' formula:

3)
$$Q_{c}^{*} = \Pr(n \in c) | y_{T(n)}, \mathbf{x}_{T(n)} = \frac{Q_{c} \prod_{t(n)=1}^{T(n)} \Pr_{jtm} | c}{\sum_{c=1}^{C} Q_{c} \prod_{t(n)=1}^{T(n)} \Pr_{jtm} | c} = \frac{Q_{c} \prod_{t(n)=1}^{T(n)} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_{t(n)} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{c})}{\sum_{j=1}^{18} \exp(\mathbf{x}_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{c})}}{\sum_{c=1}^{C} Q_{c} \prod_{t(n)=1}^{T(n)} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_{t(n)} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{c})}{\sum_{j=1}^{18} \exp(\mathbf{x}_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{c})}},$$

where $y_{T(n)}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{T(n)}$ are, respectively, the observed choices and the attributes of the chosen climbing destinations.

Given this set of individual-specific probabilities of membership in each preferencegroup c, one can derive individual-specific posterior estimates of the marginal *WTP* for attribute k (Haab and McConnell 2000) as:

4)
$$\widehat{WTP}_n = \sum_{c=1}^C Q_{nc}^* \left(-\frac{\beta_k}{\beta_{\mathfrak{S}}}\right)_c,$$

where β_{\in} is the marginal utility of money, as measured by the travel cost parameter estimate.

Similarly, posterior estimates of welfare changes from policies aimed at improving some of the destination attributes from the status-quo \mathbf{x}^0 to some ex-post \mathbf{x}^1 condition can be derived as:

5)
$$\widehat{CS}_n = \sum_{c=1}^{C} \mathcal{Q}_{nc}^* \frac{1}{\beta_c \in} \left\{ \ln \left[\sum_{j=1}^{18} \exp(\boldsymbol{\beta}_c \cdot \mathbf{x}^1) \right] - \ln \left[\sum_{j=1}^{18} \exp(\boldsymbol{\beta}_c \cdot \mathbf{x}^0) \right] \right\}$$

In our case we find it of interest to focus on the sample distribution of welfare changes for what we define a "sample-sustained" policy. This is a policy which brings about some changes in terms of destination attributes, but also paid for by an access fee for climbing h^* such that the following condition is satisfied:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \widehat{CS}_n = 0$$

This value is found by employing a root-searching algorithm over the space of h, and it represents the "optimal" entrance fee, in the sense that at the sample level benefits are perfectly balanced by costs. A kernel plot of this distribution of estimates is then readily interpretable as a means to identify winners and losers in the policy in question, because it is centred on zero.

4.3 Reporting and explaining posterior welfare estimates for the sample

We illustrate the differences across the sample distribution of welfare estimates by means of kernel plots. The kernel smoothing techniques are often used for this purpose and provide a means to visually compare distributions. We use a normal kernel with optimal bandwidth using the SM routine in the free-source statistical software R, which is documented in Bowman and Azzalini (1997).

Further, we use simple OLS regressions to explore how the posterior welfare estimates obtained are related to socio-economic covariates. Note that the same covariates were used as determinants of probability of group memberships in the preliminary analysis and failed to show significance. We expected to find some effects that validate the approach, at least in terms of some important determinant of value, such as income, and years of experience as climbers.

5 Results and discussion

The values for selected information criteria of different preference-groups are reported in Table 1 and are consistent with the hypothesis that there are at least 4 classes with satisfactory parameter estimates, in both statistical and theoretical terms. The model with 5 classes is statistically preferred according to the various information criteria in either sub-group, but the pattern of estimates it produces is difficult to interpret in the high demand sub-sample, along with many statistically insignificant estimates in the low demand sub-samples. We hence decide to present and discuss the models with 4 classes, which we call *HA*, *HB*, *HC* and *HD* in the high demand sub-sample, and *LA*, *LB*, *LC* and *LD* in the low demand sub-sample. Such estimates are presented in Tables 2 and 3. Notice that because only difference matters in RUMs, to ease comparisons across groups preferences we report the marginal rates of substitution (part-worths) with respect to travel cost in squared brackets below the asymptotic *z*-value of each parameter estimates.

$$MRS(k,Cost) = \gamma = -\frac{\beta_k}{\beta_{\epsilon}}$$

in some cases this is also a measure of WTP for a unit change in the attribute (Haab and McConnell, 2002; page 223).

Further, to ease comparison across the utility estimates of sub-samples we define two measures of immediate interpretability:

1) The weighted average of the MRS:

$$\gamma_w = \sum_{c=1}^4 \gamma_c Q_c$$

We propose to interpret this measure as a central tendency measure for the intensity of preferences in the sub-sample for the given site attribute.

2) And a measure of absolute diversity across MRS values of groups:

$$\Omega = \sum_{c=1}^{4} \left| \gamma_c - \gamma_w \right|$$

We propose to interpret this measure as one of dispersion of the intensity of preferences in the sub-sample for the given site attribute.

5.1 Preference classes for D-high

As discussed above, we expected the D-high sub-sample (keen climbers) to show a structure of preference markedly different from that observed in the D-low one. These are hardened climbers, real enthusiasts, who dedicate much of their leisure time to climbing and related activities. The estimates for this sub-sample are reported in Table 2.

The proportions across the four preference classes are fairly balanced. The two largest are *HA* and *HB* with each representing about 30%, followed by a quarter of the sample in *HC* and the remainder (15.7%) in *HD*.

All classes dislike sites with high indices for the severity of the environment, although they show quite a range of intensities of taste (between -0.04 and -0.57), with a weighted value for the part-worth of -0.317.

With the exception of *HC*, whose members mildly dislike it, all classes are quite uniformly attracted to challenging destinations.

Two classes (*HB* and *HD*) find the presence of equipped shelters similarly attractive, while a third (*HD*) is nearly indifferent to it and members of *HA* show mild dislike. Freeclimbers do not make a great use of these sheds, which are mainly there for ramblers and trekkers. It is not surprising that – especially in the high demand sub-sample – these play a little role in the utility function, with a weighted average of part-worth of only 0.008.

The preference intensity for the number of climbing routes varies a great deal across classes, with the lowest probability class showing lack of significance for this attribute. It would seem that some types of keen climbers want more choice than others, when it comes down to routes.

Finally, access is quite important to all classes, again with the exception of the smallest class *HD*. Incidentally, this is the same class in which we find indifference for the number of climbs and for the severity of the climbing environment, along with high values for alpine shelters. We speculate that this small class (only 16%) is perhaps representative of the old-fashioned, hard-way alpine climbers, for whom a relatively challenging climb is often seen as the prize at the end of an arduous walk, during which shelters are welcome, in case the weather takes a bad turn, or simply as a convenient logistic for an overnight stay.

The other classes are more difficult to identify with a given stereotype, although perhaps they tend to conform more with the "free-climbing" type, yet they clearly show marked differences in preference intensity for site attributes and marginal utility of income.

5.2 Preference classes for the D-low sub-sample

The estimates for this sub-sample are reported in Table 3. We do not have clear expectations for the structure of preferences, except that we think they should be quite different across classes, and on average, also from the weighted values of the high-demand sub-sample. Members of the low-demand sub-sample are not necessarily novices to climbing, but they certainly are much less committed and perhaps more diverse in the way they choose destinations, which should reflect in the trade-off of attributes.

All classes seem to have a low appreciation for the number of shelters, with a weighted MRS of 0.005 and a dispersion factor of only 0.05. No class enjoys severe environment, but the range of intensities varies from a -0.22 to 1.46, by a factor of nearly seven. Preferences for all other attributes are quite varied too. On average, numbers of shelters and ease of access matter less than in the D-high sub-sample, while aversion to severe environment is stronger and the presence of climbs matters more. Notice that with regards to the difficulty of climbs the D-low results show a higher averaged part-worth value than the D-high, albeit with a higher dispersion parameter. This is consistent with the hypothesis that higher demand does not necessarily imply greater climbing expertise and/or proficiency.

The shares of the four preference classes of this sub-sample are not as balanced as in the high-demand one. The largest group is LA with a membership probability of 37%, followed by LB with a quarter of the sample, about 23% is in LC, and 15% in LD. The latter is the class showing highest aversion to severity of the environment and indifference to the difficulty of climbs. This is the only class showing aversion to the number of shelters, along with the highest appreciation for both the number of climbs and ease of access to climbing sites. We speculate that such a distinctive combination of taste intensities sets this class apart as a group of climbers who like climbing as a separate activity from the enjoyment of the alpine

environment. Members of this class seem to travel to a site and delve into climbing, and prefer to do this efficiently, possibly in sites with many alternative climbing routes and with unchallenging alpine environment.

Members of class *LB* seem to enjoy more than any other class the challenge of difficult climbs, but they quite strongly dislike severe environments, and – similarly to members in class *LA*, the largest class – are indifferent to ease of access and number of shelters, while they find the number of climbs in the destination site quite attractive. In contrast, class *LA* object least to the severity of environment and show indifference to the difficulty of the climbs.

Finally, in class *LC* members seem to mildly object to severity and feel quite attracted to the difficulty of the climbs, but are virtually indifferent to other site attributes.

5.3 Posterior distribution of marginal welfare changes

Figures 3 to 7 report the kernel plots of these distributions of values, contrasting the D-high and the D-low results. It is apparent from the plots in Figure 3 that there is substantial sample heterogeneity in the distribution of values for the severity of climbing environment. D-low climbers (continuous line) have a much greater concentration of values around $\notin 2$, with other modal values with much lower densities at $\notin 1$ and $\notin 340$, revealing a quite homogeneous set of values. On the other hand, D-high climbers (dashed line) have a much wider spread of values, with the highest modal values around $\notin 3$, followed by a slightly lower mode around $\notin 1.80$ and another much lower density mode proximate to zero. Such a distribution is consistent with the presence of many free-climbers who typically avoid climbs in severe alpine environments.

A similar contrast is found when comparing the distribution plots in Figure 4, pertaining to the difficulty of the climb. The D-low sub-sample has a much homogeneous distribution of values centred on $\in 1$, with another low-density mode around $\in 3$. More heterogeneity is found in the D-high sub-sample and with better separated clusters, the smaller of which shows a small negative value, indicating that a sizeable component of climbers in this sub-sample do not like sites with challenging climbs. Perhaps these are climbers with low technical skills, such as beginners.

The distribution of values that best shows the difference in patterns between the two sub-samples is perhaps the one concerning the presence of Alpine shelters (Figure 5) and the ease of access to climbs (Figure 7). While both samples includes groups who both like and dislike shelters and ease of access, the D-high group shows a strongly bi-modal distribution, which is consistent with the co-existence of two separate sets of preferences within this sub-sample: traditional alpine climbers and free-climbers.

The number of climbs available at the destination site has a similar value distribution in both sub-samples, as evident from Figure 6.

5.4 Posterior distribution of discrete welfare changes from a local policy

The policy described in section 3.4 involves the raising of attributes valuable to climbers in sites with low values for number of climbs and ease of access. It is therefore to be expected that the overall distribution of values in the two sub-samples is reflective of the patterns in the individual distributions of each attribute (Figure 6 and 7). This is confirmed in Figure 8, where we note that the degree of heterogeneity of preferences in the D-high sub-sample is still quite strong.

In order for the total sample benefits to be zero, the per day-trip access fee h^* was found to be equal to ≤ 2.44 in sub-sample D-low and a significantly higher ≤ 2.83 in sub-sample Dhigh. Despite the relative homogeneity of values in D-low, marked multi-modality is a common feature of the two distributions. Furthermore, the plot in Figure 8 highlights how the distribution of losses and benefits differs across sub-samples. Welfare changes span a restricted range in D-low, while for D-high losses seem substantial and clustered around $\in 3$, while benefits are more spread out, with a modal value of less than $\in 1$.

In table 4 we present the results of a regression of the estimated posterior values for this policy on a selection of socio-economic covariates, so as to check the theoretical validity of the obtained estimates. As can be seen, the dummy variable for low demand is not significant, while the welfare estimates respond negatively and significantly to an increase in the log of income, and to the number of years of experience in climbing, which also show a significant quadratic effect. No significant effect is found for years of experience of outdoor activities in the Alps. We take these results to be consistent with the hypothesis that the proposed policy would be progressive in this sample, providing more benefits to lower income groups; and that experienced climbers would get higher benefits than inexperienced ones, with a decreasing rate (the net effect is positive up to 44 years of experience).

6 Conclusions

In this paper we reported selected results from an extensive data analysis aimed at capturing and rationalising heterogeneity of taste and its consequences in a destination choice model for climbers in the North-East Alps. We used random utility models based on latent class (finite mixing) modelling, a less restrictive preference structure than the one implied by the use of mixed logit models.

We failed to associate class membership with measurable socio-economic co-variates. Only the number of visits (demand intensity) was found to play a statistically significant role in explaining membership to preference classes. Hence, an arbitrary threshold of 20 trips per year was used to separate the large sample into low- and high demand-intensity sub-samples. Each of these was then used to estimate latent class models where as determinants of site selection we used site attributes relevant for climbers. In each sub-sample we found evidence of 4 classes of statistically well-defined preferences. The signs of the taste parameters made coherent sense within each class, and altogether quite an amount of taste variation was found. Such heterogeneity implied a complex and rich pattern of information in terms of distributions of the posterior individual welfare estimates for climbing attributes of alpine destinations, as well as for policy evaluation.

Some findings are relevant for the wider literature on travel cost models with preference heterogeneity. Firstly, we found evidence that preference heterogeneity takes up substantially different forms between high and low demand users.

Secondly, by focussing on the posterior distribution of welfare measures we found evidence of multi-modality consistent with a-priory expectations. For example, the parameter estimates in the preference classes seem to be consistent with those expected of free-climbers and more traditional alpine climbers. Multi-modal taste distributions are not easily captured by conventional logit models and latent class specifications are instead instrumental to highlight these features.

Thirdly, by focussing on the effects of a policy with neutral welfare change at the sample level we identified winners and losers in the sample and highlighted how the proposed policy package is progressive, with few losers with relatively high welfare losses per choice occasion and many winners, with relatively low losses. Our proposed approach focused on the distributional consequences, rather than simply on efficiency outcomes. When equity matters to policy makers – like in the local authorities managing the North-East Alps – an approach capable of identifying regressive policy outcomes might be deemed superior to one that only dwells on the potential compensation criterion.

Finally, in direct contrast with other approaches accommodating heterogeneous preferences, such as mixed logit, latent class modelling (LCM) does not require any

distributional assumptions about the mixing variable: in a sense LCMs 'let the data speak', thereby providing more robust insights into the data by identifying groups of customers who have high or low preferences for particular 'bundled goods'; and the share of climbers each class represents. Availability of such segmented information is potentially very useful to natural resource managers with high use value for a wide range of purposes.

7 References

- Bowman, A.W. and Azzalini, A. 1997. Applied Smoothing Techniques for Data Analysis: the Kernel Approach with S-Plus Illustrations. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Boxall, P.C., Adamowicz V. L. 2002. Understanding Heterogeneous Preferences in Random Utility Models: The Use of Latent Class Analysis, *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 23(4), 421-446.
- Breffle, W. and E.R. Morey. 2000. Investigating preference heterogeneity in a repeated discrete choice recreation demand model of Atlantic salmon fishing. *Marine Resource Economics*, 15(spring 2000):1-20.
- Chen, H.Z., and S.R. Cosslett. 1998. Environmental quality preference and benefit estimation in multinomial probit models: a simulation approach. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 80(August):512-20
- Feather, P., and Shaw, D. 1999. Estimating the cost of leisure time for recreation demand models. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 38:49-65.
- Grijalva, T.C., Berrens R.P., Bohara A.K., and Shaw W.D. 2002a. Testing the validity of contingent behaviour trip responses, *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 84:401-414.
- Grijalva, T. C. Robert P. Berrens, Alok K. Bohara, Paul M. Jakus; W. Douglass Shaw. 2002b. Valuing the Loss of Rock Climbing Access in Wilderness Areas: A National-Level, Random-Utility Model. Land Economics, 78(1):103-120
- Haab, T.C. and McConnell, K. E. 2002. *Valuing environmental and natural resources*, Edward Elgar Publisher.
- Hanley, N. and Wright R. 2003. Valuing recreational resources using choice experiments: mountaineering in Scotland, Chapter 4 in *The New Economics of Outdoor Recreation.* Edited by N. Hanley, D. Shaw and R. Wright. Pages 59-73. Edward Elgar publisher.
- Hanley, N. Koop, G. Wright R. and Alvarez-Farizo B. 2001. Go climb a mountain: an application of recreation demand models to rock-climbing in Scotland, *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 52(1):36-51.
- Hanley, N., Alvarez-Farizo B. and Shaw, D. W. 2002. Using economic instruments to manage access to rock-climbing sites in the Scottish Highlands, *Land Use Policy*, 19:167-76.
- Hensher, D. and Greene W. 2003. A Latent Class Model for Discrete Choice Analysis: Contrasts with Mixed Logit, *Transportation Research* Part B 37, 681–698.

- Hurvich, M., and Tsai, C. 1989. Regression and time series model selection in small samples.
- Leroux, B.G. 1992. 'Consistent estimation of mixing distribution', Annal of Statistics, 20, 1350-1360.
- McLachlan, G. and Peel D. 2000. Finite mixture models, New York, Jon Wiley and Sons.

Biometrika, 76 (2):297-307.

- Provencher, B., Barenklau, K. Bishop R. C. 2002. A finite mixture logit model of recreational angling with serially correlated random utility, *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, November 2002, 1066-1075.
- Scarpa, R., A. Drucker, S. Anderson, N. Ferraes-Ehuan, V. Gomez, C. R. Risopatron and Rubio-Leonel O. 2003. Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan. *Ecological Economics*, 45(3), 427-443.
- Scarpa, R., K.G. Willis, and Acutt M. 2004. Individual-specific welfare measures for public goods: a latent class approach to residential customers of Yorkshire Water. Chapter 14 in: *Econometrics Informing Natural Resource Management*, by Phoebe Koundouri, Edward Elgar Publisher
- Shaw, D. W. 1992. Searching for the opportunity cost of an individual's time, *Land Economics*, 68:107-15.
- Shaw, D. W., and P. Jakus. 1996. Travel cost models of the demand for rock climbing, Agricultural and Resource Economics Review, October, 25(2)133-142.
- Shonkwiler, J. S. and Shaw W. D. 2003. A finite mixture approach to analyzing income effects in random utility models: reservoir recreation along the Columbia River, Chapter 13 in *The New Economics of Outdoor Recreation*. Edited by N. Hanley, D. Shaw and R. Wright. Pages 242-268. Edward Elgar publisher.
- Train, K. 2003. Discrete Choice Methods with Simulation, Cambridge University Press.
- Train, K. 1998. Recreation demand models with taste differences over people, *Land Economics*, 74(2):230-39.
- Train, K. and Sonnier G. 2003. Mixed logit with bounded distribution of part-worths. Working Paper, Department of Economics, University of California, Berkeley. Forthcoming in Applications of simulation methods in Environmental and Resource Economics, Springer, A. Alberini and R. Scarpa editors.

8 Tables

Low det	mand inter	N = 379			
LCM groups	Log-lik.	Parameters	AIC	cnAIC	crAIC
1	-10719	6	21450	21474	21450
2	-10477	14	20982	21037	20983
3	-10341	21	20724	20807	20727
4	-10287	28	20630	20740	20635
5	-10252	35	20574	20712	20582

	<u>sity</u>	N = 149		
Log-lik.	Parameters	AIC	cnAIC	crAIC
-11194	6	22400	22418	22401
-10699	14	21426	21468	21430
-10522	21	21086	21149	21094
-10443	28	20942	21026	20957
-10365	35	20800	20905	20824
	.og-lik. -11194 -10699 -10522 -10443 -10365	Ind Intensity Log-lik. Parameters -11194 6 -10699 14 -10522 21 -10443 28 -10365 35	Ind mensity IN = 149 Log-lik. Parameters AIC -11194 6 22400 -10699 14 21426 -10522 21 21086 -10443 28 20942 -10365 35 20800	Internative IN = 149 Log-lik. Parameters AIC cnAIC -11194 6 22400 22418 -10699 14 21426 21468 -10522 21 21086 21149 -10443 28 20942 21026 -10365 35 20800 20905

Table 1. Tests for group numbers in latent class models

	Table	2. Model est	imates for hi	gh demand in	tensity samp	ole
N=149		2	I-classes high d	emand intensity		
choices= 4,663			$\ln L = -10,433,$	Adj.R ² =0.224		
	$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{L\!A}$	$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{\scriptscriptstyle LB}$	$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{\scriptscriptstyle LC}$	$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{\scriptscriptstyle LD}$	$\hat{\gamma}_w$	$\hat{\Omega}$
Cost	-2.157 (-33.61)	-1.958 (-62.75)	-0.616 (-20.58)	-2.131 (-37.39)		
Severity	-1.226 (-15.22) [-0.57]	-0.698 (-9.55) [-0.32]	-0.402 (-6.62) [-0.19]	-0.085 (-1.09) [-0.04]	-0.317	0.666
Df_Climbs	0.951 (10.24) [0.44]	0.292 (5.06) [0.13]	-0.100 (-2.37) [-0.05]	0.771 (7.56) [0.36]	0.215	0.709
Shelters	-0.015 (-2.97) [-0.007]	0.042 (14.46) [0.02]	0.00086 (0.75) [4e-4]	0.059 (18.98) [0.03]	0.008	0.053
P_ Climbs	0.728 (14.50) [0.34]	0.170 (7.31) [0.08]	0.519 (21.09) [0.24]	-0.060 (-1.51) [-0.03]	0.179	0.527
Access	0.490 (-9.01) [0.23]	0.561 (16.39) [0.26]	0.207 (6.53) [0.10]	-0.700 (-13.54) [-0.32]	0.117	0.716
Group Probability	0.297 (7.53)	0.294 (7.49)	0.250 (6.62)	0.157 (4.83)		

Asymptotic *z*-values in round brackets, marginal rates of substitution with money in squared brackets

N = 379,		2	-classes low d	emand intensity	,	
choices $= 4,124$			$\ln L = -10,287$, Adj.R ² =0.137		
	$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{{\scriptscriptstyle L\!A}}$	$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{\scriptscriptstyle LB}$	$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{\scriptscriptstyle LC}$	$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{\scriptscriptstyle LD}$	$\hat{\gamma}_w$	$\hat{\Omega}$
Cost	-0.518 (-15.77)	-2.305 (-31.97)	-1.922 (-34.96)	-2.119 (-16.35)		
Severity	-0.225 (-3.78) [-0.10]	-0.761 (-10.68) [-0.35]	-0.335 (-4.06) [-0.15]	-1.455 (-8.47) [-0.67]	-0.359	0.781
Df_Climbs	0.105 (2.13) [0.05]	1.465 (16.78) [0.68]	0.466 (6.17) [0.22]	0.004 (0.40) [0.002]	0.262	0.936
Shelters	0.008 (2.98) [0.004]	0.010 (2.12) [0.0046]	0.069 (15.13) [0.03]	-0.036 (-4.26) [-0.017]	0.005	0.050
P_ Climbs	0.348 (12.82) [0.16]	0.378 (8.67) [0.17]	0.027 (0.79) [0.01]	0.732 (11.12) [0.34]	0.181	0.352
Access	0.115 (3.71) [0.05]	0.049 (1.03) [0.023]	0.191 (4.80) [0.09]	0.290 (3.48) [0.13]	0.077	0.147
Group Probability	0.368 (11.37)	0.246 (8.73)	0.229 (7.64)	0.155 (6.09)		

Table 3. Model estimates for low demand intensity sample

Asymptotic *z*-values in round brackets, marginal rates of substitution with money in squared brackets

	estimate	<i>t</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
Constant	1.790	2.05	0.040
lnINC	-0.505	-2.137	0.033
AlpYrs	-0.012	-0.778	0.437
AlpYrs_SQ	0.00018	0.569	0.570
ClimbYrs	0.037	2.473	0.013
ClimbYrs_SQ	-0.00082	-1.874	0.061
Low-Demand dummy	0.042	0.469	0.639

Table 4. OLS estimates for posterior discrete welfare changesfrom policy implementation on select co-variates

N=528, $R^2=0.023$

9 Figures







Figure 2.



Figure 4. Continuous line: LOW demand. Dashed line: HIGH demand.





Figure 6. Continuous line: LOW demand. Dashed line: HIGH demand.





Figure 8. Continuous line: LOW demand. Dashed line: HIGH demand.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2003

PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues
PRIV	2.2003	<i>Ibolva SCHINDELE</i> : Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity</u> Market
CLIM	4.2003	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of
KNOW	5 2002	Government Commitment
KNUW ET A	5.2003	<i>Rever GERLAGH</i> : <u>Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition</u>
SIEV	7 2003	Eirem CASTELIVOVO. <u>Squeezing ine mierest Rate Sindouring weight with a rivoria Expectations Model</u>
SIEV	7.2003	Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment:
	0.000	Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NKM	8.2003	Elissatos PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u>
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARROS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAIT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
WHOM	10 2002	with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHT. School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI:</i> <u>Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of</u> <u>Climate Change Policy Analysis</u>
KNOW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural
		Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art
KNOW	13.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix): Bio-Ecological
		Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures
KNOW	14.2003	Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and
KNOW	15 2002	<u>Future trajectories</u>
KNOW	15.2003	<i>Tuzin BATCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP</i> (IIX): <u>Diversity in Entrepreneursnip, Etimic and</u>
KNOW	16.2003	Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from
		Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition		
Theory	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Monopoly with Resale
PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (Ix): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
		Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV	22.2003	Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): <u>Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-</u>
		Price Auctions
PRIV	23.2003	David E11INGER (Ix): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV	24.2003	Hannu VARTIAINEN (Ix): Auction Design without Commitment
PRIV	25.2003	Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (Ix): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in
		Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions
PRIV	26.2003	Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (Ix): <u>Rationing in IPOs</u>
PRIV	27.2003	Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (IX): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes
PRIV	28.2003	Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (Ix): <u>Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative</u> Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts
PRIV	29,2003	TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (1x): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
PRIV	30 2003	Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (1): Auctions with Financial Externalities
ETA	31,2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive
2111	51.2005	Externality NTU-Coalition Games
KNOW	32.2003	Michele MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty
PRIV	33.2003	Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?
KNOW	34.2003	Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A
		Treatment Effect Analysis
ETA	35.2003	Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation

GG	36.2003	Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats
PRIV	37.2003	Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate Governance:
		the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection
CLIM	38.2003	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers
KNOW	39.2003	Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade
CTN	40.2003	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations
KNOW	41.2003	Sonia OREFFICE: Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply
KNOW	42.2003	Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing
		Biotechnologies
ETA	43.2003	Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific
		Basin Region, Japan and US
CLIM	44.2003	Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES: The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its
		Effectiveness
PRIV	45.2003	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI: The Political Economy of Privatization
SIEV	46.2003	Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste
		Disposal Methods
ETA	47.2003	Jens HORBACH: Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical
		Results for Germany
CLIM	48.2003	Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS: The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology
		Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing
CLIM	49.2003	Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER: Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting
		Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits
CTN	50.2003	László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (lxi): The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension
CTN	51.2003	Matthew O. JACKSON (lxi): Allocation Rules for Network Games
CTN	52.2003	Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (lxi): Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation
CTN	53.2003	Fernando VEGA-REDONDO (lxi): Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach
CTN	54.2003	Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF (lxi): On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation
CTN	55.2003	Taiii FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI (1xi): Free Trade Networks
CTN	56 2003	Halis Murat YILDIZ (1xi): National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization
CTN	57 2003	Santiago RURIO and Allistair ULPH (lxi): An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International
em	57.2005	Environmental à graements
KNOW	58 2003	Carole MAIGNAN Dino PINFI II and Gianmarco I P. OTTAVIANO: ICT. Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A
KINOW	58.2005	Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Desearch
KNOW	50 2002	Giorgia PELLETTINI and Cigmana LP. OTTAVIANO: Special Interacts and Technological Change
KNUW	39.2003	Glorgio Beller This and Glanmarco I.F. OTTAVIANO: Special Interests and Technological Change
EIA	60.2003	Ronnie SCHOB: Ine Double Dividend Hypotnesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey
CLIM	61.2003	Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel
00	(2,2002	Formation Game
GG	62.2003	Michael FINUS and Biance RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of
OIEM	(2,2002	International Environmental Agreements
SIEV	63.2003	Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy</u>
CLIM	64.2003	Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate</u>
		Policy Architectures
SIEV	65.2003	Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy
SIEV	66.2003	Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment
SIEV	67.2003	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing
		Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise
CLIM	68.2003	ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its
		<u>Kyoto Target</u>
KNOW	69.2003	David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation
KNOW	70.2003	Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History
KNOW	71.2003	Liiliana DERU SIMIC (1xii): What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?
KNOW	72,2003	Natalya V. TARANOVA (1xii) The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural
		Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case
KNOW	73 2003	Kristing CRANF (1xii). The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of
	75.2005	Globalization and Migration
KNOW	74 2003	Macuna MATORA ([vii): Glocal Dialogue, Transformation through Transcultural Communication
KNOW	74.2003	Regular MATODA (IAI). Unical Dialogue- transformation unlogal transformation contraction
IVIO W	15.2005	Catarina (ELIS OLIVEINA (IXII). Infinigrants Entrepreneurial Opportunities. The Case of the Unitese III Dortugal
KNOW	76 2002	<u>1 Ulugai</u> Can dan WALLMAN (Inii), The Dimension of Dimension to a standard and the standard structures
KNUW	/6.2003	Sanara wALLMAN (IXII): <u>The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems</u>
KNOW	77.2003	Richard PEARCE (IXII): <u>A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities</u>
KNOW	78.2003	Vincent MERK (IXII): Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the
		Dilemmas
KNOW	79.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P.OTTAVIANO: Child Labor and Resistance
		to Change
ETA	80.2003	Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA: Investment Size and Firm's Value under
		Profit Sharing Regulation

IEM	81.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Oil and Product Dynamics in International
CI D (02 2002	Petroleum Markets
CLIM	82.2003	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against
CUM	82 2002	Environmental Kegulation
	85.2005	Gluseppe DI VIIA. Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Ruzhels Cuive?
NDM	85 2003	<i>Rever GERLAGH and Whetze LISE</i> . <u>Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes</u>
	85.2005	The cross-country evidence
KNOW	86.2003	Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco LP, OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks
		for the Mezzogiorno
SIEV	87.2003	Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources.
		How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments
CLIM	88.2003	Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of
		<u>Global Warming</u>
CLIM	89.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Economic Development and Environmental Protection
CLIM	90.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?
CLIM	91.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries
IEM	92.2003	A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy
		Policy: The Case of Russia
ETA	93.2003	Andrea BELTRATTI: Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium
CTN	94.2003	Parkash CHANDER: The γ -Core and Coalition Formation
IEM	95.2003	Mattee MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption
IEM	06 2002	Using Principal Components Alegger day LANZA Mattee MANERA Manchenita CRASSO and Maggime CIOVANNINIL Long run Medels of
IEIVI	90.2003	Alessanaro LANZA, Malleo MANEKA, Margnerita GRASSO ana Massimo GIOVANNINI. Long-tuli Models of Oil Stock Prices
CTN	97 2003	Steven I BRAMS Michael A IONES and D Marc KILGOUR: Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process
CIIV	71.2005	Matters
KNOW	98 2003	John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (1xiji): Anti-Racist Policies in France, From Ideological and Historical
	2002	Schemes to Socio-Political Realities
KNOW	99.2003	Richard THOMPSON FORD (1xiji): Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue
KNOW	100.2003	Alaknanda PATEL (lxiii): Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities
KNOW	101.2003	David MAY (lxiii): The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood
KNOW	102.2003	Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (lxiii) : Municipal Reform on the
		Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City
CLIM	103.2003	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime
CLIM	104.2003	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in
		International Climate Agreements
CLIM	105.2003	Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy
NRM	106.2003	Anne Sophie CREPIN (lxiv): Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests
NRM	107.2003	Anne Sophie CREPIN (lxiv): Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries
SIEV	108.2003	Sara ANIYAR (lxiv): Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example
SIEV	109.2003	Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER(lxiv): Evaluating Projects and Assessing
		Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies
NRM	110.2003	Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA(Ixiv): Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries
NKM	111.2003	Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (IXIV): Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands
ETA	112.2003	Jack GOODY (Ixiv): Globalisation, Population and Ecology
CIN	113.2003	Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: Endogenous Minimum Participation in
CTN	114 2002	International Environmental Treaties
CIN	114.2003	Guillaume HAEKINGEK ana Myrna wOODEKS: <u>Decentralized job Matching</u> Brehlung
CIN	115.2003	Hideo KONISHI and M. Uku UNVER: Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems
CIN	116.2003	Somaeb LAHIKI: <u>Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem</u>
CIN	117.2003	Somaeb LAHIKI: <u>Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem</u>
CIN	118.2003	Marita LAUKKANEN: Iranspoundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty
CIN	119.2003	Edward CARI WRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary
CTN	120 2003	<u>Games with Incomplete Information. Some First Results</u>
CTN	120.2003	Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SEI TEN: Social Conformity in Games with Many
CIN	121.2003	Players
CTN	122 2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: On Equilibrium in Pure Strategies in Games with Many Players
CTN	123 2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many
		Players
	1000	Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: One Thousand Working Papers

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries
ETA	2.2004	Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries
PRA	3.2004	Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO. Giovanni FERRI. Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: Do Privatizations Boost
		Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy
ETA	4.2004	Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union
ETA	5.2004	Romano PIRAS: Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy
CCMP	6.2004	Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal
PRA	7.2004	Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): Merger Mechanisms
PKA	8.2004	Wolfgang AUSSENEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (IXV): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a</u> When Issued Market
PRA	9.2004	Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets
PRA	10.2004	Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER
		(lxv): The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions
PRA	11.2004	<i>Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH</i> (lxv): <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-</u> Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders
PRA	12.2004	Ohad KADAN (lxv): Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values
PRA	13.2004	Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): Auctions as Coordination Devices
PRA	14.2004	Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers
PRA	15.2004	Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination
PRA	16.2004	Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions
CCMP	17.2004	Slim Ben YOUSSEF: R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade
NRM	18.2004	Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): Biodiversity and Economic Growth:
CIEV	10 2004	Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics
SIEV	19.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Paolo RUSATO, Alberto LUNGO and Valentina ZANATTA: <u>Information and Willingness to</u> Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice.
NRM	20 2004	<i>Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI</i> (lxvii): Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of
	20.2001	Differentiated Oligopoly
NRM	21.2004	Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists
NRM	22.2004	Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii):
		Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development
NRM	23.2004	Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based
NDM	24 2004	<u>Kesources in Kenya</u> Lean Laggues NOWAK Mondher SAHI Land Pasquale M. SCRO (lyviji): Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare
NRM	24.2004	Piar SHAPEFE (Ixvii): Country Pisk Patings of Small Island Tourism Economies
NKM	25.2004	Juan Luis ELIGENIO MARTÍN Noolia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARRA (lyvii): Tourism and
INKIM	20.2004	Economic Growth in Latin American Countries. A Panel Data Approach
NRM	27.2004	<i>Raúl Hernández MARTÍN</i> (lxvii): Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports
CSRM	28.2004	Nicoletta FERRO: Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework
NRM	29.2004	Marian WEBER (lxvi): Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation:
NDM	20 2004	an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest
INKIN	30.2004	Trond BJORNDAL, Phoede ROUNDOURI and Sean PASCOL (1891). Output Substitution in Multi-Species
CCMD	31 2004	Marzio GALFOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
CCIVIF	51.2001	Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy
CCMP	32.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
		Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy
CTN	33.2004	Wilson PEREZ: Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution
KTHC	34.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence
		from US Cities
KTHC	35.2004	Linda CHAIB (Ixviii): Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison
KTHC	36.2004	Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (Ixviii): Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of
VTUC	37 2004	<u>MULLI-DURINCHY IN KOME. Keading Governance in a Local Context</u> Kristing CRANE (lyviji): Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Citics – Pome
KIHU	57.2004	Name Oranyz (IXVIII). <u>Overning Ingration. minigram Oroups</u> Sublegies in Three Italian Chies – Kollie, Nanles and Bari
КТНС	38.2004	<i>Kiflemariam HAMDE</i> (lxviii): Mind in Africa. Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming
KIIIC		Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm
ETA	39.2004	Alberto CAVALIERE: Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly
PRA	40.2004	Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST: The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental
CCM	41 2004	<u>Poincy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?</u>
CUMP	40.0004	Micheal FlivUS (IXIX): International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems
KTHC	42.2004	Francesco URESPI: Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis

CTN	43.2004	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies
CTN	44.2004	Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR: Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability
NRM	45.2004	Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL (lxvi): Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity:
		An Axiomatic Approach
NRM	46.2004	Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLESMARK THORSEN (lxvi): Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits
NRM	47.2004	John MBURU (lxvi): Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach
NRM	48.2004	Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE (lxvi): Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural
CCMP	49.2004	Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transition al Economy Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows,
	50 0004	<u>Competitiveness Effects</u>
GG	50.2004	Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL: Optimal Disease Eradication
CTN	51.2004	Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG: <u>Simple Priorities and Core</u> Stability in Hedonic Games
SIEV	52.2004	Francesco RICCI: Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the Theory
SIEV	53.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON: Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?
NRM	54.2004	Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF (lxvi): Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Renaturated Streams
NRM	55.2004	Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and</u> Regulatory Choices
NRM	56,2004	Tom DEDEURWAERDERE (1xvi); Bioprospection; From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance
CCMP	57.2004	Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON: The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households
CCMP	58.2004	Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN: Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios
NRM	59.2004	Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (lxvii): Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management
NRM	60.2004	Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI (lxvi): Property Rights Conservation and Development: An Analysis of Extractive Reservation in the Progrition Amagenetic
CCMP	61.2004	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a
NDM	(2 2004	<u>Technology-based Climate Protocol</u>
NRM	62.2004 63.2004	Elissalos PAP I RAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Resource-Abundance and Economic Growin in the U.S.</u> Györayi BELA, Györay PATAKI, Melinda SMALF and Mariann HA IDÚ (Iyyi): Conserving Cron Genetic
	05.2001	Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis
NRM	64.2004	E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN (lxvi): <u>The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the</u> Netherlands
NRM	65.2004	E.C.M. RUIJGROK (Ixvi): <u>Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the</u>
ETA	66 2004	Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS. Uncertainty Aversion Robust Control and Asset Holdings
GG	67.2004	Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA: Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary
GG	68.2004	Michael FINUS: Modesty Pays: Sometimes!
NRM	69.2004	Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO: The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy
CTN	70.2004	Implications Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT: On Coalition Formation with
IEM	71.2004	<u>Heterogeneous Agents</u> Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: <u>Conditional</u>
IEM	72.2004	Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER: Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations
SIEV	73.2004	in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: The Copula Approach to Sample Selection Modelling:
CCMP	74 2004	An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND: Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General
ETA	75.2004	Equilibrium Assessment
EIA	/5.2004	Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach
CTN	76.2004	Salvador BARBERA and Matthew O. JACKSON (lxx): On the Weights of Nations: Assigning Voting Weights in a Heterogeneous Union
CTN	77.2004	Àlex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DÍAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERÀ and Fernando VEGA-
CTN	70 2004	REDONDO (Ixx): Optimal Information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion
CTN	78.2004 79.2004	Rabah AMIR, Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Licun XUE (lxx): Merger Performance under Uncertain Efficiency
0.000	00.000	<u>Gains</u>
CTN CTN	80.2004 81.2004	Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON (lxx): <u>The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players</u> Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülya ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO (lxx): <u>Bicameralism and Government Formation</u>

CTN	82.2004	Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG QIN and Amnon RAPOPORT (lxx): Potential Maximization
		and Coalition Government Formation
CTN	83.2004	Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN (lxx): Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement
CTN	84.2004	Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZÁLEZ (lxx): <u>Economics: An Emerging</u>
		Small World?
CTN	85.2004	Edward CARTWRIGHT (lxx): Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players
IEM	86.2004	Finn R. FØRSUND and Michael HOEL: Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by
		<u>Hydroelectric Power</u>
KTHC	87.2004	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources</u> , Investment and Long-Term Income
CCMP	88.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI and Claudia KEMFERT: Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey
IEM	89.2004	A. MARKANDYA, S. PEDROSO and D. STREIMIKIENE: Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There
~~		Convergence Towards the EU Average?
GG	90.2004	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Agreements and Technology Policy
PKA	91.2004	Serger IZMALKOV (Ixv): <u>Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction</u>
KIHC	92.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERT. <u>Cities and Cunures</u>
KTHC	93.2004	Massimo DEL GATTO: Agglomeration, Integration, and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading Cities, Centralisation versus devolution
CCMP	94 2004	Pierre-André JOUVET. Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON. Equilibrium with a Market of Permits
CCMP	95 2004	Bob van der ZWAAN and Rever GERLAGH [•] Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global
cenn	95.2001	Energy Supply
CCMP	96.2004	Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: Economy-Wide Estimates of
		the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise
CTN	97.2004	Gustavo BERGANTIÑOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA: Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through
		the Canonical Form
CTN	98.2004	Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK: Party Formation and Coalitional Bargaining in a Model of
		Proportional Representation
GG	99.2004	Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA: The Impact of Surplus
		Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements
SIEV	100.2004	Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP: Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence
OIEV.	101 2004	trom a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents
SIEV	101.2004	Chiara M. IRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP: <u>A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to</u>
NDM	102 2004	Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure Valenting POSETTL and David TOMPERIAN Pool Options Analysis of Fishing Float Dynamics: A Test
CCMP	102.2004	Alassandra GORIA a Gretal CAMBARELLI: Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability
CCIVII	105.2004	in Italy
PRA	104 2004	Massimo FLORIO and Mara GRASSENI: The Missing Shock: The Macroeconomic Impact of British
1101	101.2001	Privatisation
PRA	105.2004	John BENNETT, Saul ESTRIN, James MAW and Giovanni URGA [•] Privatisation Methods and Economic Growth
		in Transition Economies
PRA	106.2004	<i>Kira BÖRNER</i> : The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?
PRA	107.2004	Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON: Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets
SIEV	108.2004	Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo
		MAINARDI: Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by
		Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective
CTN	109.2004	Somdeb LAHIRI: The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some
		Results
NRM	110.2004	Giuseppe DI VITA: Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look
SIEV	111.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA: Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks:
WTHO	112 2004	Evidence from a Three-Country Contingent Valuation Study
KTHC	112.2004	Valeria PAPPONETTI and Dino PINELLI: Scientific Advice to Public Policy-Making
SIEV	113.2004	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Laura UNOFRI: The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior
IEM	114 2004	and Public Policy Implications Patrick CAVPADE: Investments in Gas Dipolines and Liquefied Natural Gas Infrastructure What is the Impact
IEWI	114.2004	on the Security of Supply?
IEM	115 2004	Valaria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: Oil Security Short- and Long-Term Policies
IEM	116 2004	Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: Social Costs of Energy Disruptions
IEM	117 2004	Christian EGENHOFER, Kyriakos GIALOGLOU, Giacomo LUCIANI, Maroeska BOOTS, Martin SCHEEPERS.
-		Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA, Anil MARKANDYA and Giorgio VICINI: Market-Based Options
		for Security of Energy Supply
IEM	118.2004	David FISK: Transport Energy Security. The Unseen Risk?
IEM	119.2004	Giacomo LUCIANI: Security of Supply for Natural Gas Markets. What is it and What is it not?
IEM	120.2004	L.J. de VRIES and R.A. HAKVOORT: The Question of Generation Adequacy in Liberalised Electricity Markets
KTHC	121.2004	Alberto PETRUCCI: Asset Accumulation, Fertility Choice and Nondegenerate Dynamics in a Small Open
		Economy
NRM	122.2004	Carlo GIUPPONI, Jaroslaw MYSIAK and Anita FASSIO: An Integrated Assessment Framework for Water
		Resources Management: A DSS Tool and a Pilot Study Application
NRM	123.2004	Margaretha BREIL, Anita FASSIO, Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Evaluation of Urban Improvement
		on the Islands of the Venice Lagoon. A Spatially-Distributed Hedonic-Hierarchical Approach

ETA	124.2004	Paul MENSINK: Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement Under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric
		Information: The Differential Tax Revisited
NRM	125.2004	Mauro FABIANO, Gabriella CAMARSA, Rosanna DURSI, Roberta IVALDI, Valentina MARIN and Francesca
		PALMISANI: Integrated Environmental Study for Beach Management: A Methodological Approach
PRA	126.2004	Irena GROSFELD and Iraj HASHI: The Emergence of Large Shareholders in Mass Privatized Firms: Evidence
		from Poland and the Czech Republic
CCMP	127.2004	Maria BERRITTELLA, Andrea BIGANO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>A General Equilibrium</u>
		Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Tourism
CCMP	128.2004	Reyer GERLAGH: A Climate-Change Policy Induced Shift from Innovations in Energy Production to Energy
		Savings
NRM	129.2004	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources, Innovation, and Growth
PRA	130.2004	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Mara FACCIO: Reluctant Privatization
SIEV	131.2004	Riccardo SCARPA and Mara THIENE: Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A
		Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation

(lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002

(lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002

(lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003

(lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities", The Hague, November 7-8, 2002

(lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities", Milan, March 20-21, 2003

(lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics", organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics - ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei – FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003

(lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications" organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003

(lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on "Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation" organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003

(lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003

(lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities", Rome, June 5-6, 2003

(lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference "The Future of Climate Policy", Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003 (lxx) This paper was presented at the 9th Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and

(lxx) This paper was presented at the 9th Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004

2003 SERIES	
CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ЕТА	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	Coalition Theory Network

2004 SERIES	
CCMP	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
КТНС	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRA	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ЕТА	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	Coalition Theory Network