

Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games

Dinko Dimitrov, Peter Borm
Ruud Hendrickx and Shao Chin Sung

NOTA DI LAVORO 51.2004

MARCH 2004

CTN – Coalition Theory Network

Dinko Dimitrov, Peter Borm and Ruud Hendrickx, *Center and Department of Econometrics and
Operations Research, Tilburg University*

Shao Chin Sung, *School of Information Science, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and
Technology*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=XXXXXX>

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games

Summary

In this paper we study hedonic games where each player views every other player either as a friend or as an enemy. Two simple priority criteria for comparison of coalitions are suggested, and the corresponding preference restrictions based on appreciation of friends and aversion to enemies are considered. It turns out that the first domain restriction guarantees non-emptiness of the strong core and the second domain restriction ensures non-emptiness of the weak core of the corresponding hedonic games. Moreover, an element of the strong core under friends appreciation can be found in polynomial time, while finding an element of the weak core under enemies aversion is NP-hard. We examine also the relationship between our domain restrictions and some sufficient conditions for non-emptiness of the core already known in the literature.

Keywords: Additive separability, Coalition formation, Core stability, Hedonic games, NP-completeness, Priority

JEL Classification: C71, A14, D20

The authors would like to thank Yongsheng Xu for helpful comments. Dinko Dimitrov gratefully acknowledges financial support from a Marie Curie Research Fellowship of the European Community programme "Improving the Human Research Potential and the Socio-Economic Knowledge Base" under contract number HPMF-CT-2002-02121.

Address for correspondence:

Dinko Dimitrov
Center and Department of Econometrics and Operations Research
Tilburg University
P.O. Box 90153
5000 LE Tilburg
The Netherlands
E-mail: d.a.dimitrov@uvt.nl

1 Introduction

The study of the hedonic aspect of coalition formation goes back to Drèze and Greenberg (1980) who stress the dependence of a player's utility on the composition of members of her coalition. The formal model of a hedonic game was introduced by Banerjee, Konishi and Sönmez (2001) and Bogomolnaia and Jackson (2002). In their work, the focus on the identity of the members of a coalition determines the structure of the game: the latter consists of a preference ranking, for each player, over the coalitions that player may belong to.

Despite the simplicity of the model, it turned out that the question of the existence of a *core stable partition*, that is, a partition of the set of all players for which there is no group of individuals who can all be better off by forming a new deviating coalition, does not have an easy answer. In this paper we restrict ourselves to hedonic games with *separable preferences*, i.e. games where the effect of a given player on another player's preferences is the same, regardless of which coalition the latter player is a member of. In such games every player partitions the society into desirable and undesirable coalitional partners (friends and enemies, respectively), and the division between friends and enemies guides the ordering of coalitions in the sense that adding a friend leads to a more preferable coalition, while adding an enemy leads to a less preferable coalition.

As shown by Banerjee, Konishi and Sönmez (2001), non-emptiness of the core is not guaranteed even if one restricts separability to *additive separability* (players' preferences are representable by an additive separable utility function) and imposes in addition *symmetry* (i.e. the players have the same reciprocal values for each other). For an excellent study of the role of symmetric additive separable preferences for non-emptiness of the core of a hedonic game the reader is referred to Burani and Zwicker (2003).

In this paper we impose neither symmetry nor mutuality (i.e. the friendship among players is always mutual) on players' preferences. However, we restrict the domain of additive separable preferences by assuming that each player uses a simple priority criterion when comparing coalitions she may belong to. As a result, the class of additive separable preferences based on *appreciation of friends* and the class of additive separable preferences based on *aversion to enemies* are considered. The first preference domain corresponds to a situation in which every player in the game has very strong friends and very weak enemies: when comparing two coalitions she may belong to, a player who appreciates her friends pays attention first to the friends in either coalition. The coalition that contains more friends is declared by the player as better than the other, and if the two coalitions have the same number of friends, then the coalition with less enemies wins the comparison. The second preference domain displays a situation in which every player has very strong enemies and very weak friends, i.e. a player who is averse to her enemies looks first at the enemies in either coalition. The coalition that contains less enemies is declared by the player as better than the other, and if the two coalitions have the same number of enemies, then the number of friends is decisive for the comparison. Notice that both restrictions allow for indifferences in the corresponding rankings over coalitions.

It turns out that friends appreciation is a sufficient condition for non-emptiness of the *strong* core and that enemies aversion guarantees non-emptiness of the *weak* core of the corresponding hedonic games. In addition, we show that an element of the strong core under friends appreciation can be found in polynomial time, while finding an element of the weak core under enemies aversion is NP-hard.

This paper serves three purposes. The first is providing positive results on the proposed preference domains: when the players are averse to their enemies a weak core stable coalition structure exists, and when the players appreciate their friends even the strong core is non-empty. The second is that our domain restrictions can be seen as specific extensions of the rudimentary information about one's opinion over the single players (viewed either as homogeneous enemies or as homogeneous friends) to an ordering over coalitions. Cechlárová and Romero-Medina (2001) propose a different way for extending preferences over single individuals to preferences over coalitions (that coincide with her preferences over the most attractive member in the corresponding coalitions) and show that the existence of a strong core stable partition of the players into coalitions is not guaranteed when indifferences are allowed. In contrast, we allow for indifferences and report a positive result on the preference domain based on appreciation of friends. Our third purpose is the analysis of the computational complexity of the problem for finding a core stable partition in hedonic games. For general hedonic games this problem is shown to be NP-hard; moreover, if one imposes anonymity (the players pay attention only to the size of the corresponding coalitions) the problem remains NP-hard even when only strict preferences are allowed (cf. Ballester (2003)). Cechlárová and Hajduková (2002) study the computational complexity for finding a strong core element for the specific extension

of the preferences over individuals to preferences over coalitions proposed by Ceclárová and Romero-Medina (2001) and show that when ties are included the problem is NP-hard. Our domain restriction based on appreciation of friends allows for indifferences and it can be seen also as an attempt to conciliate additive separability with a weaker notion of anonymity (only the number of friends and the number of enemies count). We show that a strong core element can be found in polynomial time.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 introduces the formal model of a hedonic game and presents the formal definitions of our domain restrictions. We introduce the notions of an internally stable coalition and of a deviation stable collection of coalitions as our basic analytical tools in Section 3, and relate them to core stability. The set of internally stable coalitions has a special structure when restricting the preferences to friends appreciation or to enemies aversion. This special structure is studied in Section 4 and Section 5, respectively, where we present our core existence proofs as well. Section 6 is devoted to the computational complexity for finding a core stable element for hedonic games in our domains. In Section 7 we briefly comment on the relationship between the domain restriction based on appreciation of friends and the domain restrictions proposed by Ceclárová and Romero-Medina (2001) since the latter restrictions are also shown to give rise to strong core stable hedonic games. We consider also the relationship between the domain restriction based on aversion to enemies to other sufficient conditions shown to guarantee weak core stability of the corresponding games like the weak top coalition property of Banerjee, Konishi and Sönmez (2001) and the ordinal balancedness condition of Bogomolnaia and Jackson (2002).

2 Preliminaries

Consider a finite set of players $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A *coalition* is a non-empty subset of N . For each player $i \in N$, we denote by $\mathcal{N}_i = \{X \subseteq N \mid i \in X\}$ the collection of all coalitions containing i . A collection \mathcal{C} of coalitions is called a *coalition structure* if \mathcal{C} is a partition of N , i.e. the coalitions in \mathcal{C} are pairwise disjoint and $\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C = N$. By \mathbf{C}^N we denote the set of all coalition structures of N . For each coalition structure $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ and each player $i \in N$, by $\mathcal{C}(i)$ we denote the coalition in \mathcal{C} which contains i , i.e. $\{\mathcal{C}(i)\} = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{N}_i$.

We assume that each player $i \in N$ is endowed with a preference \succeq_i over \mathcal{N}_i , i.e. a binary relation over \mathcal{N}_i which is reflexive, complete, and transitive. We denote by $P = (\succeq_1, \succeq_2, \dots, \succeq_n)$ a profile of preferences \succeq_i for all $i \in N$, and by \mathcal{P} the set of all preference profiles. Moreover, we assume that the preference of each player $i \in N$ over coalition structures is *purely hedonic*, i.e. it is completely characterized by \succeq_i in such a way that, for each $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in \mathbf{C}^N$, player i weakly prefers \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}' if and only if $\mathcal{C}(i) \succeq_i \mathcal{C}'(i)$.

A *hedonic game* is a pair (N, P) consisting of a finite set N of players and a preference profile $P \in \mathcal{P}$. This paper is devoted to the question whether there exists a coalition structure $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ which is stable in some sense. The corresponding stability notions are given in Section 3.

We now specify the preference domains that will be considered. For each $i \in N$, we let $G_i := G(\succeq_i) = \{j \in N : \{i, j\} \succeq_i \{i\}\}$ be the set of friends of player i , and its complement $B_i = N \setminus G_i$ the set of enemies of player i . Notice that, from $\{i\} \succeq_i \{i\}$, we have $i \in G_i$ for each $i \in N$. The next definition suggests two ways of how each player i ranks the sets in \mathcal{N}_i depending on the numbers of her friends and enemies.

Definition 1 Let $P = (\succeq_1, \succeq_2, \dots, \succeq_n) \in \mathcal{P}$ be a profile of players' prefer-

ences.

- We say that P is based on **appreciation of friends** if, for all $i \in N$ and all $X, Y \in \mathcal{N}_i$,

$$X \succeq_i Y \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} |X \cap G_i| > |Y \cap G_i| \\ \text{or} \\ |X \cap G_i| = |Y \cap G_i| \text{ and } |X \cap B_i| \leq |Y \cap B_i|. \end{cases}$$

- We say that P is based on **aversion to enemies** if, for all $i \in N$ and all $X, Y \in \mathcal{N}_i$,

$$X \succeq_i Y \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} |X \cap B_i| < |Y \cap B_i| \\ \text{or} \\ |X \cap B_i| = |Y \cap B_i| \text{ and } |X \cap G_i| \geq |Y \cap G_i|. \end{cases}$$

Thus, if the preference profile is based on appreciation of friends, we have a priority for friends when comparing two coalitions. If the preference profile is based on aversion to enemies, each player looks first at her enemies when comparing two coalitions. In the following, the set of all preference profiles based on appreciation of friends is denoted by \mathcal{P}^f , and the set of all preference profiles based on aversion to enemies is denoted by \mathcal{P}^e .

It is not difficult to see that if players' preferences are induced by either way suggested by Definition 1, then each player i will be equipped with a preference relation over \mathcal{N}_i with G_i being its top and $B_i \cup \{i\}$ being its bottom. The next example illustrates this point.

Example 1 Let $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $G_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $G_2 = \{2\}$, $G_3 = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let $P = (\succeq_1, \succeq_2, \succeq_3) \in \mathcal{P}$.

- If $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, then
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_1 is $\{1, 2\} \succ_1 \{1, 2, 3\} \succ_1 \{1\} \succ_1 \{1, 3\}$,
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_2 is $\{2\} \succ_2 \{1, 2\} \sim_2 \{2, 3\} \succ_2 \{1, 2, 3\}$, and
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_3 is $\{1, 2, 3\} \succ_3 \{1, 3\} \sim_3 \{2, 3\} \succ_3 \{3\}$.
- If $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$, then
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_1 is $\{1, 2\} \succ_1 \{1\} \succ_1 \{1, 2, 3\} \succ_1 \{1, 3\}$,
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_2 is $\{2\} \succ_2 \{1, 2\} \sim_2 \{2, 3\} \succ_2 \{1, 2, 3\}$, and
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_3 is $\{1, 2, 3\} \succ_3 \{1, 3\} \sim_3 \{2, 3\} \succ_3 \{3\}$.

In fact, the preference profiles based on appreciation of friends and the preference profiles based on aversion to enemies belong to a more general class of preference profiles, namely the class of additive separable preferences.

Definition 2 A profile $P \in \mathcal{P}$ of players' preferences is **additive separable** if, for all $i \in N$, there exists a function $v_i : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{N}_i$,

$$X \succeq_i Y \Leftrightarrow \sum_{j \in X} v_i(j) \geq \sum_{j \in Y} v_i(j).$$

We denote the set of all additive separable preferences by \mathcal{P}^{as} . For the preference profile $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$ in Example 1, one can take $v_1(1) = v_1(2) = v_2(2) = v_3(1) = v_3(2) = v_3(3) = 3$ and $v_1(3) = v_2(1) = v_2(3) = -1$. For the preference profile $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ in the same example the choice can be $v_1(1) = v_1(2) = v_2(2) = v_3(1) = v_3(2) = v_3(3) = 1$ and $v_1(3) = v_2(1) = v_2(3) = -3$. More generally, when $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, one can take, for each $i \in N$, $v_i(j) = n$ if $j \in G_i$, and $v_i(j) = -1$ otherwise; when $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$, one can take, for each $i \in N$, $v_i(j) = 1$ if $j \in G_i$, and $v_i(j) = -n$ otherwise. Therefore, we have $(\mathcal{P}^f \cup \mathcal{P}^e) \subset \mathcal{P}^{as}$.

All additive separable preference profiles are also separable. A profile $P \in \mathcal{P}$ of players' preferences is *separable* if, for every player $i \in N$ there is

a partition (G_i, B_i) of N such that for every $j \in N$ and $X \in \mathcal{N}_i$ with $j \notin X$, we have $[X \cup \{j\} \succeq_i X \Leftrightarrow j \in G_i]$ and $[X \cup \{j\} \preceq_i X \Leftrightarrow j \in B_i]$. We denote the set of all separable preferences by \mathcal{P}^s . Hence, the relation among \mathcal{P}^f , \mathcal{P}^e , \mathcal{P}^{as} , and \mathcal{P}^s is as follows:

$$(\mathcal{P}^f \cup \mathcal{P}^e) \subset \mathcal{P}^{as} \subset \mathcal{P}^s \subset \mathcal{P}.$$

3 Core stability and internal stability

In this section, we introduce the concepts of *weak and strong core stability* and our main analytic tool, namely the concept of *internal stability*.

By \mathbf{D}^N we denote the set of all collections of disjoint non-empty coalitions. For each $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N$ and for each $i \in \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(i)$ the coalition in \mathcal{D} containing i , i.e. $\{\mathcal{D}(i)\} = \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{N}_i$. Notice that the empty collection of coalitions belongs to \mathbf{D}^N , i.e. $\emptyset \in \mathbf{D}^N$. Observe further that each coalition structure is also a collection of non-empty disjoint coalitions, and thus, $\mathbf{C}^N \subseteq \mathbf{D}^N$.

Definition 3 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $X \subseteq N$ and $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N$.

- We say that X is a **strong deviation** from \mathcal{D} if $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$, and $X \succ_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ for each $i \in X$.
- We say that X is a **weak deviation** from \mathcal{D} if $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$, $X \succeq_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ for each $i \in X$, and $X \succ_j \mathcal{D}(j)$ for at least one $j \in X$.

By using these notions, we define now weak and strong core stability.

Definition 4 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$.

- We say that \mathcal{C} is **weak core stable** if a strong deviation from \mathcal{C} does not exist.

- We say that \mathcal{C} is **strong core stable** if a weak deviation from \mathcal{C} does not exist.

Notice that $\{X\} \in \mathbf{D}^N$ for every non-empty coalition $X \subseteq N$. Similar to the notion of core stability for coalition structures, we define below the notion of internal stability for coalitions.

Definition 5 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$.

- We say that X is **weak internally stable** if there is no $Y \subseteq X$ which is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$.
- We say that X is **strong internally stable** if there is no $Y \subseteq X$ which is a weak deviation from $\{X\}$.

We denote by $W(N, P)$ the collection of all weak internally stable coalitions, and by $S(N, P)$ the collection of all strong internally stable coalitions. Observe that, for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and every $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$, we have $\mathcal{C} \subseteq W(N, P)$ if \mathcal{C} is weak core stable, and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq S(N, P)$ if \mathcal{C} is strong core stable.

Recall that each \succeq_i is reflexive, complete and transitive, i.e. the strict preference \succ_i of each player $i \in N$ is also transitive. Having this in mind, the following lemma shows some kinds of transitivity in terms of deviations, which provides a connection between core stability and internal stability.

Lemma 1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N$, and $X, Y \subseteq N$.

- If X is a strong deviation from \mathcal{D} , and if Y is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$, then Y is also a strong deviation from \mathcal{D} .
- If X is a weak deviation from \mathcal{D} , and if Y is a weak deviation from $\{X\}$, then Y is also a weak deviation from \mathcal{D} .

Proof. Suppose X is a strong deviation from \mathcal{D} , and Y is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$. Then, we have $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X \subseteq \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$. Since each \succeq_i is reflexive, Y is a proper subcoalition of X , i.e. $Y \subset X$. From $X \succ_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ and $Y \succ_i X$ for each $i \in Y$, we have $Y \succ_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ for each $i \in Y$. Therefore, Y is a strong deviation from \mathcal{D} .

Suppose X is a weak deviation from \mathcal{D} , and Y is a weak deviation from $\{X\}$. Again, we have $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X \subseteq \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$ and $Y \subset X$. From $X \succeq_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ and $Y \succeq_i X$ for each $i \in Y$, we have $Y \succeq_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ for each $i \in Y$. Moreover, there exists a $j \in Y$ such that $Y \succ_j X$. By combining with $X \succeq_j \mathcal{D}(j)$, we have $Y \succ_j \mathcal{D}(j)$. Therefore, Y is a weak deviation from \mathcal{D} . ■

Lemma 2 *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$.*

- *If $X \notin W(N, P)$, then there exists a strong deviation Y from $\{X\}$ such that $Y \in W(N, P)$.*
- *If $X \notin S(N, P)$, then there exists a weak deviation Y from $\{X\}$ such that $Y \in S(N, P)$.*

Proof. Suppose $X \notin W(N, P)$ and every strong deviation Y from $\{X\}$ is such that $Y \notin W(N, P)$. Let Y be a strong deviation from $\{X\}$ with the smallest cardinality. Notice that $Y \neq \emptyset$, and by assumption, $Y \notin W(N, P)$. Thus, there exists a strong deviation Z from $\{Y\}$. From Lemma 1, Z is also a strong deviation from $\{X\}$. However, since each \succeq_i is reflexive, every strong deviation Z from $\{Y\}$ must be a non-empty proper subcoalition of Y , i.e. $\emptyset \neq Z \subset Y$. Thus, we have $0 < |Z| < |Y|$, which contradicts the assumption that Y is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$ with the smallest cardinality. Therefore, there exists a strong deviation Y from $\{X\}$ such that $Y \in W(N, R)$ if $X \notin W(N, P)$. By a similar argument, one can show that there exists a weak deviation Y from $\{X\}$ such that $Y \in S(N, R)$ if $X \notin S(N, P)$. ■

From Lemma 2, we obtain the following characterizations of core stability in terms of internal stability.

Theorem 1 *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. For every $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$,*

- *\mathcal{C} is weak core stable if and only if there does not exist any strong deviation from \mathcal{C} which is weak internally stable,*
- *\mathcal{C} is strong core stable if and only if there does not exist any weak deviation from \mathcal{C} which is strong internally stable.*

This is a very useful theorem because, when weak (strong) core stability is under consideration, the theorem allows us to concentrate only on strong (weak) deviations which are weak (strong) internally stable.

In Sections 4 and 5, we show that a strong core stable coalition structure exists for each $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, and a weak core stable coalition structure exists for each $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$. By summing up the above arguments, we come to the following concepts, which allow us to provide constructive existence proofs.

Definition 6 *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N$.*

- *We say that \mathcal{D} is **weak deviation stable** if, for each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ with $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, there does not exist any strong deviation X from \mathcal{C} such that $X \in W(N, P)$ and $X \cap (\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D) \neq \emptyset$.*
- *We say that \mathcal{D} is **strong deviation stable** if, for each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ with $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, there does not exist any weak deviation X from \mathcal{C} such that $X \in S(N, P)$ and $X \cap (\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D) \neq \emptyset$.*

Observe that, by definition, for each $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N$, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq W(N, P)$ if \mathcal{D} is weak deviation stable, and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq S(N, P)$ if \mathcal{D} is strong deviation stable. Moreover,

from Theorem 1, the following corollary can be obtained immediately.

Corollary 1 *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. For every $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$,*

- *\mathcal{C} is weak core stable if and only if \mathcal{C} is weak deviation stable, and*
- *\mathcal{C} is strong core stable if and only if \mathcal{C} is strong deviation stable.*

A sketch of our constructions of core stable coalition structures in the following sections looks as follows:

- start with the empty collection of coalitions, which is weak (and also strong) deviation stable;
- construct a new weak (strong) deviation stable collection of disjoint non-empty coalitions by including a weak (strong) internally stable coalition, and repeat this until a coalition structure (a partition of N) is obtained.

So that, from Corollary 1, we will finally obtain a weak (strong) core stable coalition structure.

4 Appreciation of friends

In this section, we show the strong core stability of all hedonic games with preference profiles belonging to \mathcal{P}^f .

Let us first show a characterization of strong internally stable coalitions (Lemma 3) and the structure of the collection $S(N, P)$ of all strong internally stable coalitions (Lemma 4).

Let $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$, and $i \in X$. For each positive integer t , let $G_i^t(X) \subseteq X$ be a set defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G_i^1(X) &= G_i \cap X, \\ G_i^{t+1}(X) &= \bigcup_{j \in G_i^t(X)} (G_j \cap X). \end{aligned}$$

Let $G_i^*(X) = G_i^t(X)$ for a positive integer t such that $G_i^t(X) = G_i^{t+1}(X)$. Notice that $G_i^m(X) = G_i^{m+1}(X)$ for each $X \subseteq N$ and each $i \in X$. Also notice that $G_i^*(X) \subseteq X$, and $G_i^*(Y) \subseteq G_i^*(X)$ for each $Y \subseteq X$.

The following lemma provides a characterization of strong internally stable coalitions.

Lemma 3 *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$ and $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$. Then, $X \in S(N, P)$ if and only if $G_i^*(X) = X$ for each $i \in X$.*

Proof. Suppose $X \in S(N, P)$ and there exists $i \in X$ such that $G_i^*(X) \neq X$. Since $G_i^*(X) \subseteq X$ for each $i \in X$, we have $X \setminus G_i^*(X) \neq \emptyset$. Because $|X \cap G_j| = |G_i^*(X) \cap G_j|$ for each $j \in G_i^*(X)$ and since $X \setminus G_i^*(X)$ is non-empty, we have $|X \cap B_j| > |G_i^*(X) \cap B_j|$ for each $j \in G_i^*(X)$. Therefore, from $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, we have $G_i^*(X) \succ_j X$ for each $j \in G_i^*(X)$. That is, $G_i^*(X)$ is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$, and is also a weak deviation from $\{X\}$. This contradicts the assumption that $X \in S(N, P)$.

Suppose $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$ and is such that $G_i^*(X) = X$ for each $i \in X$. Let $Y \subset X$ be a non-empty proper subcoalition of X . Then, we have $G_i^*(X) \setminus Y \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in Y$, and thus, there exists a $j \in Y$ such that $G_j \cap (X \setminus Y) \neq \emptyset$. That is, $|X \cap G_j| > |Y \cap G_j|$, and from $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, we have $X \succ_j Y$ for some $j \in Y$. It follows that there is no subcoalition of X which is a weak deviation from $\{X\}$. Therefore, we have $X \in S(N, P)$. ■

Next, we show a useful property of the set of all strong internally stable coalitions.

Lemma 4 *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$. If $X, Y \in S(N, P)$ with $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, then $X \cup Y \in S(N, P)$.*

Proof. Suppose $X, Y \in S(N, P)$ with $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. From Lemma 3, it suffices to show that $G_i^*(X \cup Y) = X \cup Y$ for each $i \in X \cup Y$. Recall that we have, for each non-empty $Z \subseteq N$ and each $i \in Z$, $G_i^*(Z) \subseteq Z$, and $G_i^*(Z) \subseteq G_i^*(Z')$ if $Z \subseteq Z'$. Thus $G_i^*(X) \cup G_i^*(Y) \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y) \subseteq X \cup Y$ for each $i \in X \cup Y$. In the following, we show that $X \cup Y \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$ for each $i \in X \cup Y$.

Let $i \in X \cap Y$. By assumption, $G_i^*(X) = X$ and $G_i^*(Y) = Y$, and thus, we have $X \cup Y = G_i^*(X) \cup G_i^*(Y) \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$ for each $i \in X \cap Y$.

Let $i \in X \setminus Y$. By assumption, $G_i^*(X) = X$, and thus, $X \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$. Let $j \in X \cap Y$. Notice that such a j exists by assumption. Then $j \in G_i^*(X \cup Y)$, and by definition $G_j^*(X \cup Y) \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$. Since $Y = G_j^*(Y) \subseteq G_j^*(X \cup Y)$, we have $Y \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$. Thus, $X \cup Y \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$ for each $i \in X \setminus Y$. By the same argument, one can show that $X \cup Y \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$ for each $i \in Y \setminus X$.

Now we can conclude that $X \cup Y \in S(N, P)$ if $X, Y \in S(N, P)$ with $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, and the proof is completed. ■

Having described, for games with preference profiles belonging to \mathcal{P}^f , a characterization of strong internally stable coalitions and the structure of the collection $S(N, P)$, we redirect our attention to the problem of core stability of such games.

For each $M \subseteq N$, we denote by $S^M(N, P)$ the collection of all strong internally stable coalitions which are subsets of M , i.e. $S^M(N, P) = \{X \in S(N, P) \mid X \subseteq M\}$. Let $GS^M(N, P)$ be the collection of all largest coalitions among coalitions belonging to $S^M(N, P)$, i.e. $GS^M(N, P) = \{X \in$

$S^M(N, P) \mid |X| \geq |Y| \text{ for all } Y \in S^M(N, P)\}$. Obviously, $S^N(N, P) = S(N, P)$. Notice that $\{i\} \in S^M(N, P)$ for each $i \in M$. Hence, $S^M(N, P)$ and $GS^M(N, P)$ are non-empty whenever M is non-empty.

The following proposition suggests a way for extending a strong deviation stable collection of disjoint coalitions for $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$.

Proposition 1 *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N \setminus \mathbf{C}^N$, and $M = N \setminus (\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D)$. If \mathcal{D} is strong deviation stable, then $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is strong deviation stable for each $D' \in GS^M(N, P)$.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{D} is strong deviation stable and let $D' \in GS^M(N, P)$. Observe that $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\} \in \mathbf{D}^N$ and $D' \in GS^M(N, P) \subseteq S^M(N, P) \subseteq S(N, P)$. In the following we show that $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is strong deviation stable.

Since \mathcal{D} is strong deviation stable, every $X \in S(N, P)$ with $X \cap \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D \neq \emptyset$ cannot be a weak deviation from any $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ such that $(\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Thus, a strong internally stable coalition X is a weak deviation from some $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ such that $(\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ only if $X \subseteq M$. Let $X \in S(N, P)$ with $X \subseteq M$ and $X \cap D' \neq \emptyset$. From $D' \in S(N, P)$ and Lemma 4, we have $X \cup D' \in S(N, P)$. Since $X, D' \subseteq M$, we have $X \cup D' \in S^M(N, P)$. Moreover, if $X \subseteq D'$, then, from $D' \in S(N, P)$, X cannot be a weak deviation from $\{D'\}$, and thus X cannot be a weak deviation from any $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ such that $(\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Then, if $X \not\subseteq D'$ we have $|X \cup D'| > |D'|$, which contradicts $D' \in GS^M(N, P)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is strong deviation stable. ■

Our main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 2 *For each $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, a strong core stable coalition structure exists.*

Proof. Extend the empty collection of coalitions (which is strong deviation stable) to a strong deviation stable coalition structure of N in the way suggested by Proposition 1. Then, from Corollary 1, such a coalition structure

is strong core stable. ■

5 Aversion to enemies

The way of proving the existence of a core stable partition for the case of appreciation of friends can be used also for the case of aversion to enemies.

First, we show a characterization of weak internally stable coalitions when $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$.

Lemma 5 *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ and $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$. Then, $X \in W(N, P)$ if and only if $G_i^1(X) = X$ for each $i \in X$.*

Proof. If $X \subseteq N$ with $X \cap B_i \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in X$, then, from $\{i\} \succ_i X$, $\{i\}$ is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$, i.e. $X \notin W(N, P)$. Thus, $X \subseteq G_i$ for each $i \in X$ if $X \in W(N, P)$. Obviously, $G_i^1(X) = X$ if $X \subseteq G_i$.

Suppose $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$ is such that $G_i^1(X) = X$ for each $i \in X$. Let $Y \subset X$ be a non-empty proper subcoalition of X . Then, we have $|X \cap B_i| = |Y \cap B_i| = 0$ and $|X \cap G_i| > |Y \cap G_i|$ for each $i \in Y$, i.e. $X \succ_i Y$. Thus, there is no subcoalition of X is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$, i.e. $X \in W(N, P)$.

■

In other words, $W(N, P)$ is the collection of all non-empty coalitions of players in N such that, for each of these coalitions, the players like each other under preference profile $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$.

As analogy to $S^M(N, P)$ and $GS^M(N, P)$, we define $W^M(N, P) = \{X \in W(N, P) \mid X \subseteq M\}$ and $GW^M(N, P) = \{X \in W^M(N, P) \mid |X| \geq |Y| \text{ for all } Y \in W^M(N, P)\}$ for each $M \subseteq N$. Again, $W^M(N, P)$ and $GW^M(N, P)$ are non-empty whenever M is non-empty.

The following proposition suggests a way for extending a weak deviation stable collection of disjoint coalitions for $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$.

Proposition 2 *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$, $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N \setminus \mathbf{C}^N$, and $M = N \setminus (\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D)$. Then, if \mathcal{D} is weak deviation stable, then $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is weak deviation stable for each $D' \in GW^M(N, P)$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 1. Again, observe that $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\} \in \mathbf{D}^N$ and $D' \in GW^M(N, P) \subseteq W^M(N, P) \subseteq W(N, P)$. In the following we show that $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is weak deviation stable.

Since \mathcal{D} is weak deviation stable, every $X \in W(N, P)$ with $X \cap \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D \neq \emptyset$ cannot be a strong deviation from any $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ such that $(\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Thus, a weak internally stable coalition X is a strong deviation from some $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ such that $(\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ only if $X \subseteq M$. Let $X \in S(N, P)$ with $X \subseteq M$ and $X \cap D' \neq \emptyset$. From Lemma 5, we have $|X \cap B_i| = |D' \cap B_i| = 0$ for each $i \in X \cap D'$. Then, if $|X| \leq |D'|$, then $D' \succeq_i X$ for each $i \in X \cap D'$, and thus, X cannot be a strong deviation for any $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ with $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Moreover, from $D' \in GW^M(N, P)$, we have $|X| \leq |D'|$ for every $X \in W^M(N, P)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is weak deviation stable. ■

With the help of this proposition, we are able to present our main result in this section.

Theorem 3 *For each $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$, a weak core stable coalition structure exists.*

Proof. Extend the empty collection of coalitions (which is weak deviation stable) to a weak deviation stable coalition structure of N in the way suggested by Proposition 2. Then, from Corollary 1, such a coalition structure is weak core stable. ■

The next example shows that the strong core may be empty when the players are averse to their enemies.

Example 2 Consider $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$ under aversion to enemies with $G_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $G_2 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $G_3 = \{2, 3\}$. Clearly, the candidates for strong stable coalition structures should be weak stable as well. As it can be easily seen, the game has two weak core stable elements: $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$ and $\{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$. Notice that $\{2, 3\}$ and $\{1, 2\}$ are weak stable deviations from $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$ and $\{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$, respectively. Therefore, no strong core stable coalition structure exists.

6 Computational complexity

In this section, the computational complexity for finding core stable coalition structures is considered.

We start with a lemma for the case of aversion to enemies.

Lemma 6 For every $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ and every $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$, $GW(N, P) \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ if \mathcal{C} is weak core stable.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ be such that $GW(N, P) \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$, and let $X \in GW(N, P)$. Then, we have $|X| > |\mathcal{C}(i)|$ for each $i \in X$. From Lemma 5, $X \subseteq G_i$ for each $i \in X$, i.e. $|X \cap B_i| = 0$ for each $i \in X$. Thus, $X \succ_i \mathcal{C}(i)$ for each $i \in X$, i.e. X is a strong deviation from \mathcal{C} . Therefore, \mathcal{C} is not weak core stable. ■

This lemma allows us to formulate our result on computational complexity for finding *any* weak core stable partition in hedonic games with enemy averse preferences.

Theorem 4 When $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$, the problem of finding a weak core stable coalition structure is NP-hard.

Proof. The NP-hardness is shown by reduction from the Maximum Clique Problem, which is known to be NP-hard.

Maximum Clique Problem: For a given undirected graph $H = (V, E)$, find a clique with the maximum cardinality in H , where a clique K in H is a subset of V such that $\{i, j\} \in E$ for every $i, j \in K$ with $i \neq j$.

Let H be an undirected graph. Without loss of generality, we assume that $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Consider the hedonic game (N, P) with $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ such that $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $G_i = \{i\} \cup \{j \in N \mid \{i, j\} \in E\}$ for each $i \in N$. Notice that, from Lemma 5, for each $X \subseteq N$, $X \in W(N, P)$ if and only if X is a clique in H , and $GW(N, P)$ is the set of all cliques with the maximum cardinality. Then, from Lemma 6, to each weak core stable coalition structure belongs at least one clique X with the maximum cardinality. This implies that the problem of finding weak core stable coalition structure when $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ is at least as difficult as finding a clique with the maximum cardinality in an undirected graph. Therefore, the problem of finding a weak core stable coalition structure when $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ is NP-hard. ■

Remark 1 Notice that, from the proof of Theorem 4, the problem of finding a weak core stable coalition structure when $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ remains NP-hard even if the mutuality condition “ $j \in G_i$ if and only if $i \in G_j$ ” is imposed.

In the following, we show that when $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, a strong core stable coalition structure can be found in polynomial time, by taking a graph theoretical approach.

Theorem 5 When $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, a strong core stable coalition structure can be found in polynomial time.

Proof. Let $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, and let $H_{(N, P)} = (V, E)$ be a directed graph such that $V = N$ and $E = \{\{i, j\} \in N \times N \mid i \neq j, j \in G_i\}$. Observe that, from the definition of G_i^* (in Section 4), for every $X \subseteq N$ and $i, j \in X$, we have $j \in G_i^*(X)$ if and only if there exists a sequence

k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m for some $m \geq 1$ such that $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m \in X$ and $k_{\ell+1} \in G_{k_\ell}$ for each $1 \leq \ell \leq m-1$. Thus, $j \in G_i^*(X)$ if and only if there exists a directed path from i to j via vertices belonging to X . From Lemma 3, we have $X \in S(N, P)$ if and only if, for each $i, j \in X$ with $i \neq j$, there exist directed paths via vertices belonging to X from i to j and from j to i . That is, $X \in S(N, P)$ if and only if the induced subgraph of $H_{(N, P)}$ by X is strongly connected, where the induced subgraph H_X of $H_{(N, P)}$ by X is the directed graph such that $V_X = X$ and $E_X = E \cap (X \times X)$. Moreover, $X \in GS(N, P)$ if and only if the induced subgraph of $H_{(N, P)}$ by X is a strongly connected component in $H_{(N, P)}$ with the largest number of vertices. From Theorem 2, a strong core stable coalition structure can be found by repeating the following algorithm:

- Set $M := N$ and $\mathcal{C} := \emptyset$.
- Repeat the following until $M = \emptyset$:
 - Find a set $X \subseteq M$ such that the induced subgraph of $H_{(M, P)}$ by X is a strongly connected component in $H_{(M, P)}$ with the largest number of vertices.
 - Set $M := M \setminus X$ and $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C} \cup \{X\}$.
- Return \mathcal{C} .

Notice that the ‘‘Repeat’’ loop runs at most n times. Moreover, an algorithm for finding all strongly connected components of a directed graph (i.e. a strong decomposition of a directed graph) is proposed by Tarjan (1972), which has running time $O(n^2)$. Therefore, a strong core stable coalition structure can be found in $O(n^3)$ time. ■

7 Comparisons

Let us now consider the relationship between our domain restrictions and some other properties that have been shown to guarantee existence of core stable coalition structures.

We start with the strong core stability for the case of appreciation of friends. Up till now, strong core stability of hedonic games has been shown to exist only on the two preference domains proposed by Cechlárová and Romero-Medina (2001). These authors study hedonic games in which the ranking over coalitions for each player is guided either by her most preferred member of the group or by her least preferred member of the group. However, these authors show strong core existence only for the case when the preferences of the players over single members are strict, which, in turn, excludes the possibility of indifferences when coalitions are compared. Moreover, Cechlárová and Romero-Medina (2001) provide an example of a game with an empty strong core when ties are incorporated in the preference domain. In contrast, we do allow for indifferences and show strong core existence.

Consider now the weak core stability for the case of aversion to enemies. We first construct an example of a hedonic game with such kind of preferences. After that we introduce two sufficient conditions for non-emptiness of the weak core already known in the literature (the weak top coalition property of Banerjee, Konishi and Sönmez (2001) and the ordinal balancedness condition of Bogomolnaia and Jackson (2002)) and show that the constructed hedonic game satisfies none of them.

Every player in the next example is indifferent among coalitions on the same row and, for each $i \in N$, the top row corresponds to G_i and the bottom

row corresponds to $B_i \cup \{i\}$.

Example 3 Let $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and the preferences of the players be as follows:

1	2	3
1235	123	234
123, 125, 135	12, 23	23, 34
12, 13, 15	2	3
1	1234, 1235	1234, 2345
12345	124, 125, 234, 235	123, 134, 235, 345
1234, 1245, 1345	24, 25	13, 35
124, 134, 145	12345	12345
14	1245, 2345	1235, 1345
	245	135
	4	5
	345	1345
	34, 45	345, 145, 135
	4	15, 35, 45
	1345, 2345	5
	134, 145, 234, 245	12345
	14, 24	2345, 1245, 1235
	12345	125, 235, 245
	1234, 1245	25
	124	

We first consider the weak top coalition property.

Definition 7 (*Banerjee, Konishi, and Sönmez (2001)*) Given a hedonic game

(N, P) and a player set $V \subseteq N$, a coalition $S \subseteq V$ is a **weak top coalition** for V if S has an ordered partition $\{S^1, \dots, S^\ell\}$ such that

- (1) $S \succeq_i T$ for all $i \in S^1$ and all $T \subseteq V$ with $i \in T$, and
- (2) $T \succ_i S \Rightarrow T \cap (\bigcup_{m < k} S^m) \neq \emptyset$ for all $k > 1$, all $i \in S^k$, and all $T \subseteq V$ with $i \in T$.

A hedonic game satisfies the **weak top coalition property** if every player set has a weak top coalition.

In order to see that the game in Example 3 does not satisfy this property, let's consider the player set $V = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Notice that no one of the singletons can be a weak top coalition for V because it is not a top coalition for V (i.e. it does not satisfy (1) in Definition 7). The same reason rules out all partitions of candidates for a weak top coalition that have a singleton at the first place. Because two of the players in V (1 and 2) prefer $\{1, 2, 3\}$ to every doubleton consisting of players of V , all partitions of candidates for a weak top coalition that have a doubleton at the first place are ruled out as well. The whole set V can not be a weak top coalition for itself because, let's say, $\{3\} \succ_3 \{1, 2, 3\}$. Hence, V has no weak top coalition, i.e. the game does not satisfy the weak top coalition property.

As we will see the same game does not satisfy the ordinal balancedness condition either.

Definition 8 (*Scarf (1967), Bogomolnaia and Jackson (2002)*) A family \mathcal{B} of coalitions is called **balanced** if there exists a vector of positive weights d_C , such that for each player $i \in N$, $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{B}: i \in C} d_C = 1$. A hedonic game (N, P) is **ordinally balanced** if for each balanced family of coalitions \mathcal{B} there exists a partition of N such that for each $i \in N$ there exists a coalition $C \in \mathcal{B}$, $C \in \mathcal{N}_i$ such that $\mathcal{C}(i) \succeq_i C$.

In other words, if we would like to check whether a game is ordinally balanced we have to find a partition of N to each balanced family of coalitions, such that every player in that partition is weakly better off in comparison to her worst situation in the corresponding balanced family.

For the game in Example 3, let us take the following balanced family with a balanced weight $1/2$ for each coalition: $\mathcal{B} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}, \{4, 5\}, \{1, 5\}\}$. Notice that, given \mathcal{B} , all players do not like to remain single in a partition. Observe further that player 2 can be better off in a partition (in comparison to her worst situation in \mathcal{B}) if and only if that partition contains one of the coalitions $\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}$. Hence, the possible candidates for a partition are: $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4, 5\}\}$, which is not liked by player 3; or $\{\{2, 3\}, \{1, 4, 5\}\}$, which is not liked by player 1; or $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\}$, which is not liked again by player 3. Hence, for the balanced family of coalitions \mathcal{B} there is no suitable partition of N , i.e. the game is not ordinally balanced.

References

- [1] Ballester, C. (2003): NP-completeness in hedonic games, Mimeo, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- [2] Banerjee, S., H. Konishi, and T. Sönmez (2001): Core in a simple coalition formation game, *Social Choice and Welfare* 18, 135-153.
- [3] Bogomolnaia, A. and M. O. Jackson (2002): The stability of hedonic coalition structures, *Games and Economic Behavior* 38, 201-230.

- [4] Burani, N. and W. S. Zwicker (2003): Coalition formation games with separable preferences, *Mathematical Social Sciences* 45, 27-52.
- [5] Cechlárová, K. and J. Hajduková (2002): Computational complexity of stable partitions with B-preferences, *International Journal of Game Theory* 31, 353-364.
- [6] Cechlárová, K. and A. Romero-Medina (2001): Stability in coalition formation games, *International Journal of Game Theory* 29, 487-494.
- [7] Dréze, J. and J. Greenberg (1980): Hedonic coalitions: optimality and stability, *Econometrica* 48, 987-1003.
- [8] Scarf, H. (1967): The core of an N -person game, *Econometrica* 35, 50-69.
- [9] Tarjan, R. E. (1972): Depth-first search and linear graph algorithms, *SIAM Journal of Computing* 1(2), 146-160.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2003

PRIV	1.2003	<i>Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO</i> : <u>Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues</u>
PRIV	2.2003	<i>Ibolya SCHINDELE</i> : <u>Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review</u>
PRIV	3.2003	<i>Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity Market</u>
CLIM	4.2003	<i>Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM</i> : <u>Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of Government Commitment</u>
KNOW	5.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition</u>
ETA	6.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO</i> : <u>Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model</u>
SIEV	7.2003	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI</i> : <u>The Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment: Evidence from Surveys of Developers</u>
NRM	8.2003	<i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyner GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u>
CLIM	9.2003	<i>A. CAPARRÓS, J.-C. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game with Asymmetric Information</u>
KNOW	10.2003	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI</i> : <u>School Quality and Family Background in Italy</u>
CLIM	11.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI</i> : <u>Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of Climate Change Policy Analysis</u>
KNOW	12.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.)</i> : <u>Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art</u>
KNOW	13.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix)</i> : <u>Bio-Ecological Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures</u>
KNOW	14.2003	<i>Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix)</i> : <u>Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and Future Trajectories</u>
KNOW	15.2003	<i>Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix)</i> : <u>Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life</u>
KNOW	16.2003	<i>Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix)</i> : <u>Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia</u>
KNOW	17.2003	<i>Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix)</i> : <u>A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community</u>
KNOW	18.2003	<i>Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix)</i> : <u>Managing Diversity in a Globalizing World</u>
Coalition		
Theory	19.2003	<i>Sergio CURRARINI</i> : <u>On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities</u>
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	<i>Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx)</i> : <u>Monopoly with Resale</u>
PRIV	21.2003	<i>Claudio MEZZETTI (lx)</i> : <u>Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition</u>
PRIV	22.2003	<i>Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx)</i> : <u>Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions</u>
PRIV	23.2003	<i>David ETTINGER (lx)</i> : <u>Bidding among Friends and Enemies</u>
PRIV	24.2003	<i>Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx)</i> : <u>Auction Design without Commitment</u>
PRIV	25.2003	<i>Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx)</i> : <u>Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions</u>
PRIV	26.2003	<i>Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx)</i> : <u>Rationing in IPOs</u>
PRIV	27.2003	<i>Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAIEV (lx)</i> : <u>Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes</u>
PRIV	28.2003	<i>Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx)</i> : <u>Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts</u>
PRIV	29.2003	<i>TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx)</i> : <u>Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment</u>
PRIV	30.2003	<i>Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx)</i> : <u>Auctions with Financial Externalities</u>
ETA	31.2003	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games</u>
KNOW	32.2003	<i>Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty</u>
PRIV	33.2003	<i>Philippe QUIRION</i> : <u>Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?</u>
KNOW	34.2003	<i>Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL</i> : <u>On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis</u>
ETA	35.2003	<i>Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA</i> : <u>Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation</u>

GG	36.2003	<i>Matthieu GLACHANT</i> : <u>Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats</u>
PRIV	37.2003	<i>Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI</i> : <u>Postprivatization Corporate Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection</u>
CLIM	38.2003	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers</u>
KNOW	39.2003	<i>Slim BEN YOUSSEF</i> : <u>Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade</u>
CTN	40.2003	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI</i> : <u>Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations</u>
KNOW	41.2003	<i>Sonia OREFFICE</i> : <u>Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply</u>
KNOW	42.2003	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON</i> : <u>On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing Biotechnologies</u>
ETA	43.2003	<i>Giorgio Busetti and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US</u>
CLIM	44.2003	<i>Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES</i> : <u>The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its Effectiveness</u>
PRIV	45.2003	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization</u>
SIEV	46.2003	<i>Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH</i> : <u>Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste Disposal Methods</u>
ETA	47.2003	<i>Jens HORBACH</i> : <u>Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical Results for Germany</u>
CLIM	48.2003	<i>Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS</i> : <u>The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing</u>
CLIM	49.2003	<i>Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER</i> : <u>Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits</u>
CTN	50.2003	<i>László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS</i> (Ixi): <u>The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension</u>
CTN	51.2003	<i>Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (Ixi): <u>Allocation Rules for Network Games</u>
CTN	52.2003	<i>Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH</i> (Ixi): <u>Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation</u>
CTN	53.2003	<i>Fernando VEGA-REDONDO</i> (Ixi): <u>Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach</u>
CTN	54.2003	<i>Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF</i> (Ixi): <u>On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation</u>
CTN	55.2003	<i>Tajji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI</i> (Ixi): <u>Free Trade Networks</u>
CTN	56.2003	<i>Halis Murat YILDIZ</i> (Ixi): <u>National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization</u>
CTN	57.2003	<i>Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH</i> (Ixi): <u>An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International Environmental Agreements</u>
KNOW	58.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research</u>
KNOW	59.2003	<i>Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>Special Interests and Technological Change</u>
ETA	60.2003	<i>Ronnie SCHÖB</i> : <u>The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey</u>
CLIM	61.2003	<i>Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK</i> : <u>Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game</u>
GG	62.2003	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u>
SIEV	63.2003	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI</i> : <u>Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy</u>
CLIM	64.2003	<i>Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS</i> : <u>Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures</u>
SIEV	65.2003	<i>Edi DEFRANCESCO</i> : <u>The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy</u>
SIEV	66.2003	<i>Klaus CONRAD</i> : <u>Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment</u>
SIEV	67.2003	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ</i> : <u>Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise</u>
CLIM	68.2003	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG</i> : <u>Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target</u>
KNOW	69.2003	<i>David FRANTZ</i> (Iix): <u>Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation</u>
KNOW	70.2003	<i>Ercole SORI</i> (Iix): <u>Mapping Diversity in Social History</u>
KNOW	71.2003	<i>Ljiljana DERU SIMIC</i> (Ixi): <u>What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?</u>
KNOW	72.2003	<i>Natalya V. TARANOVA</i> (Ixi): <u>The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case</u>
KNOW	73.2003	<i>Kristine CRANE</i> (Ixi): <u>The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of Globalisation and Migration</u>
KNOW	74.2003	<i>Kazuma MATOBA</i> (Ixi): <u>Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication</u>
KNOW	75.2003	<i>Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA</i> (Ixi): <u>Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese in Portugal</u>
KNOW	76.2003	<i>Sandra WALLMAN</i> (Ixi): <u>The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems</u>
KNOW	77.2003	<i>Richard PEARCE</i> (Ixi): <u>A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities</u>
KNOW	78.2003	<i>Vincent MERK</i> (Ixi): <u>Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the Dilemmas</u>
KNOW	79.2003	<i>Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>Child Labor and Resistance to Change</u>
ETA	80.2003	<i>Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA</i> : <u>Investment Size and Firm's Value under Profit Sharing Regulation</u>

IEM	81.2003	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Oil and Product Dynamics in International Petroleum Markets</u></i>
CLIM	82.2003	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: <u>Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against Environmental Regulation</u></i>
CLIM	83.2003	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA: <u>Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?</u></i>
CLIM	84.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: <u>Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes</u></i>
NRM	85.2003	<i>Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How Fast are the Tourism Countries Growing? The cross-country evidence</u></i>
KNOW	86.2003	<i>Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: <u>The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks for the Mezzogiorno</u></i>
SIEV	87.2003	<i>Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: <u>Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources. How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments</u></i>
CLIM	88.2003	<i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of Global Warming</u></i>
CLIM	89.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Economic Development and Environmental Protection</u></i>
CLIM	90.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?</u></i>
CLIM	91.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: <u>Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries</u></i>
IEM	92.2003	<i>A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: <u>The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy Policy: The Case of Russia</u></i>
ETA	93.2003	<i>Andrea BELTRATTI: <u>Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium</u></i>
CTN	94.2003	<i>Parkash CHANDER: <u>The γ-Core and Coalition Formation</u></i>
IEM	95.2003	<i>Matteo MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: <u>Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption Using Principal Components</u></i>
IEM	96.2003	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA, Margherita GRASSO and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Long-run Models of Oil Stock Prices</u></i>
CTN	97.2003	<i>Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES, and D. Marc KILGOUR: <u>Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process Matters</u></i>
KNOW	98.2003	<i>John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (Ixxiii): <u>Anti-Racist Policies in France. From Ideological and Historical Schemes to Socio-Political Realities</u></i>
KNOW	99.2003	<i>Richard THOMPSON FORD (Ixxiii): <u>Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue</u></i>
KNOW	100.2003	<i>Alaknanda PATEL (Ixxiii): <u>Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities</u></i>
KNOW	101.2003	<i>David MAY (Ixxiii): <u>The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood</u></i>
KNOW	102.2003	<i>Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (Ixxiii) : <u>Municipal Reform on the Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City</u></i>
CLIM	103.2003	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime</u></i>
CLIM	104.2003	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in International Climate Agreements</u></i>
CLIM	105.2003	<i>Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: <u>Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy</u></i>
NRM	106.2003	<i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests</u></i>
NRM	107.2003	<i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries</u></i>
SIEV	108.2003	<i>Sara ANIYAR (Ixiv): <u>Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example</u></i>
SIEV	109.2003	<i>Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER (Ixiv): <u>Evaluating Projects and Assessing Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies</u></i>
NRM	110.2003	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA (Ixiv): <u>Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries</u></i>
NRM	111.2003	<i>Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (Ixiv): <u>Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands</u></i>
ETA	112.2003	<i>Jack GOODY (Ixiv): <u>Globalisation, Population and Ecology</u></i>
CTN	113.2003	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: <u>Endogenous Minimum Participation in International Environmental Treaties</u></i>
CTN	114.2003	<i>Guillaume HAERINGER and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Decentralized Job Matching</u></i>
CTN	115.2003	<i>Hideo KONISHI and M. Utku UNVER: <u>Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems</u></i>
CTN	116.2003	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem</u></i>
CTN	117.2003	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem</u></i>
CTN	118.2003	<i>Marita LAUKKANEN: <u>Transboundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty</u></i>
CTN	119.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary Games with Incomplete Information: Some First Results</u></i>
CTN	120.2003	<i>Gianluigi VERNASCA: <u>Dynamic Price Competition with Price Adjustment Costs and Product Differentiation</u></i>
CTN	121.2003	<i>Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SELTEN: <u>Social Conformity in Games with Many Players</u></i>
CTN	122.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>On Equilibrium in Pure Strategies in Games with Many Players</u></i>
CTN	123.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many Players</u></i>
	1000	Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: <u>One Thousand Working Papers</u>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	<i>Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and So₂ Emissions in Selected European Countries</u></i>
ETA	2.2004	<i>Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u></i>
PRA	3.2004	<i>Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u></i>
ETA	4.2004	<i>Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u></i>
ETA	5.2004	<i>Romano PIRAS: <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u></i>
CCMP	6.2004	<i>Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO₂-Taxation Optimal</u></i>
PRA	7.2004	<i>Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): <u>Merger Mechanisms</u></i>
PRA	8.2004	<i>Wolfgang AUSSENEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u></i>
PRA	9.2004	<i>Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u></i>
PRA	10.2004	<i>Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u></i>
PRA	11.2004	<i>Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u></i>
PRA	12.2004	<i>Ohad KADAN (lxv): <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u></i>
PRA	13.2004	<i>Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u></i>
PRA	14.2004	<i>Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u></i>
PRA	15.2004	<i>Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u></i>
PRA	16.2004	<i>Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u></i>
CCMP	17.2004	<i>Slim Ben YOUSSEF: <u>R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u></i>
NRM	18.2004	<i>Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics</u></i>
SIEV	19.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: <u>Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice</u></i>
NRM	20.2004	<i>Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): <u>Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of Differentiated Oligopoly</u></i>
NRM	21.2004	<i>Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u></i>
NRM	22.2004	<i>Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii): <u>Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development</u></i>
NRM	23.2004	<i>Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based Resources in Kenya</u></i>
NRM	24.2004	<i>Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): <u>Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare</u></i>
NRM	25.2004	<i>Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): <u>Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies</u></i>
NRM	26.2004	<i>Juan Luis Eugenio-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii): <u>Tourism and Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach</u></i>
NRM	27.2004	<i>Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): <u>Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports</u></i>
CSRM	28.2004	<i>Nicoletta FERRO: <u>Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business, a Descriptive Framework</u></i>
NRM	29.2004	<i>Marian WEBER (lxvi): <u>Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation: an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest</u></i>
NRM	30.2004	<i>Trond BJORN DAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi): <u>Output Substitution in Multi-Species Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting</u></i>
CCMP	31.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic System (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy</u></i>
CCMP	32.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic System (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy</u></i>
CTN	33.2004	<i>Wilson PEREZ: <u>Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution</u></i>
KTHC	34.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): <u>The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities</u></i>
KTHC	35.2004	<i>Linda CHAIB (lxviii): <u>Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison</u></i>
KTHC	36.2004	<i>Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (lxviii): <u>Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context</u></i>
KTHC	37.2004	<i>Kristine CRANE (lxviii): <u>Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome, Naples and Bari</u></i>
KTHC	38.2004	<i>Kiflemariam HAMDE (lxviii): <u>Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm</u></i>
ETA	39.2004	<i>Alberto CAVALIERE: <u>Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly</u></i>
PRA	40.2004	<i>Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST: <u>The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?</u></i>

CCMP	41.2004	<i>Micheal FINUS</i> (Ixix): <u>International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems</u>
KTHC	42.2004	<i>Francesco CRESPI</i> : <u>Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis</u>
CTN	43.2004	<i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI</i> : <u>Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies</u>
CTN	44.2004	<i>Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR</i> : <u>Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability</u>
NRM	45.2004	<i>Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL</i> (Ixvi): <u>Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity: An Axiomatic Approach</u>
NRM	46.2004	<i>Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLESMARK THORSEN</i> (Ixvi): <u>Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits</u>
NRM	47.2004	<i>John MBURU</i> (Ixvi): <u>Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach</u>
NRM	48.2004	<i>Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE</i> (Ixvi): <u>Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transitional Economy</u>
CCMP	49.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON</i> : <u>The EU Emissions Trading Scheme Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects</u>
GG	50.2004	<i>Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Optimal Disease Eradication</u>
CTN	51.2004	<i>Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG</i> : <u>Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games</u>

- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Mapping Diversity”, Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002
- (lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003
- (lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities”, The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- (lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities”, Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- (lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on “Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics”, organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics - ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei – FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003
- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities”, Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference “The Future of Climate Policy”, Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003

2003 SERIES

CLIM	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSR	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>

2004 SERIES

CCMP	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KTHC	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSR	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRA	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>