Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many Players

Edward Cartwright and Myrna Wooders

NOTA DI LAVORO 123.2003

DECEMBER 2003

CTN – Coalition Theory Network

Edward Cartwright, Department of Economics, University of Warwick, UK Myrna Wooders, Department of Economics, University of Warwick, UK

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many Players

Summary

Interpret a set of players all playing the same pure strategy and all with similar attributes as a society. Is it consistent with self interested behaviour for a population to organise itself into a relatively small number of societies? In a companion paper we characterised how large ϵ must be, in terms of parameters describing individual games, for an equilibrium to exhibit conformity in pure strategies. In this paper we provide a wide class of games where such conformity is boundedly rational, that is, where can be chosen to be small.

Address for correspondence:

Myrna Wooders
Department of Economics
University of Warwick
Coventry CV4 7AL
United Kingdom

Phone: +44 (0) 24 7652 3055 Fax: +44 (0) 24 7652 3032

E-mail: M. Wooders@warwick.ac.uk

1 Conformity, bounded rationality and equilibrium

Psychologists and experimental economists have confirmed, in numerous experiments, that individuals tend to imitate similar individuals and thus similar individuals tend to conform. There are many reasons why an individual may be influenced by the actions of others, leading to imitation or conformity. He may believe others are better informed (Gale and Rosenthal 1999, Schleifer 2000). In a coordination game with multiple equilibria an individual may benefit from observing and conforming to the actions of others (Ellison and Fudenberg 1995, Young 2001). Or he may be motivated by desires for prestige, popularity or acceptance and a desire to 'fit in' (Bernheim 1994). An individual will typically, however, only be influenced by a certain groups of individuals with whom he identifies (Gross 1996). He may not, for example, imitate people he perceives as less informed than himself or people whose acceptance he does not desire.

We suggest that in games with many players common elements of bounded rational behavior are the use of pure strategies and conformity in the sense that a player is inclined to choose the same strategy as players he perceives as similar to himself. If this is the case, and we take Nash equilibrium as an outcome of fully rational behavior, then the consistency of boundedly rational behavior and rationality requires the existence of an approximate Nash equilibrium exhibiting conformity. Moreover, it is clear that imitation is facilitated by the use of pure strategies.¹ In addition, experimental evidence supports the view that individuals typically do not play mixed strategies (c.f., Friedman 1996). In this paper, we provide existence and conformity results for approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies.

This paper complements and extends, in important respects, previous

¹As observed by Harsanyi (1973), motivating the use of mixed strategies is not always straightforward.

results of Wooders, Cartwright and Selten, WCS, (2002) and Cartwright and Wooders, CW, (2003a). In WCS we treat collections of games with complete information and demonstrate existence of an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies and conformity. The games considered in WCS are all derived from a common, underlying structure. In contrast to the earlier research in WCS, CW treats individual games. Also, CW introduces a new notion of conformity that allows individuals within the same society to adhere to the same social norms – that is, to play the same strategy – while taking on different roles in that society. For example, according to one social norm, in females cook dinner and males mow the lawn. Given an individual game, CW determines bounds, depending on the parameters describing the game, so that if ε is larger than the bounds, an ε -equilibrium exhibiting conformity exists. Roughly, the parameters describing a game are the number of 'player classes' and a measure of the closeness of players within each classes. The novel features of CW are thus the notion of conformity, the notion of player classes in strategic games, and the treatment of individual games rather than games with many players (as in WCS and the prior literature on purification of Nash equilibria).

An important question not addressed in CW is whether, for games with many players - large games - the parameters describing individual games, and thus ε , can be chosen to be small. In this paper we introduce a framework of games with incomplete information and demonstrate conditions under which the numbers of player classes can be chosen to be relatively small while the distance between any two players of the same class is small. Conformity and existence of an approximate equilibrium in pure strategies then follows from our prior results.

To treat a family of games of incomplete information we take as given a set of attributes Ω , a set of player types \mathcal{T} and a set of actions \mathcal{A} . A player's

attribute is assumed to be publicly observable while a player's type, determined by nature, is not. A universal payoff function h details the payoff of a player as a function of his attribute, type and action and the attributes, types and actions of the complementary player set. A universal beliefs function b details the probability distribution over type profiles - players are assumed to have consistent beliefs with respect to this distribution. We refer to the tuple $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, b, h)$ as a non-cooperative pregame. A player set and an attribute function, assigning an attribute to each player, induce, through the pregame, a game.

We provide conditions on a pregame so that all sufficiently large games induced from that pregame have an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies that is consistent with conformity. To formalize the idea of consistency with conformity we introduce the notion of a society. A society is defined as a collection of players who all play the same strategy and who all have attributes in some convex subset of attribute space. A strategy vector induces a partition of the population into societies and, in interpretation, the fewer are the number of societies then the stronger the conformity. In our main result we provide a bound on the number of societies induced that is independent of the number of players. Thus, in large populations societies must also be large.

Following CW we permit an endogenous assignment of roles within a society. A player is assigned a role according to some probability distribution determined by the society and can make his action choice conditional on his role. This approach allows us to model the case where players could be seen as conforming (or belonging to the same society) even though they may perform different actions: Given that players can make action choice conditional on role or type, two players can play the same strategy and yet (because they have been allocated different roles or types) play different

actions.

As well as treating the bounded rationality of conformity in pure strategies we also treat in isolation the bounded rationality of playing pure strategies and the bounded rationality of conformity. In both cases we provide sufficient conditions on a pregame for the existence of an approximate Nash equilibrium satisfying the desired properties - either one in pure strategies or one consistent with conformity.

Elaborating further on the prior literature, WCS provide a family of games with many players for which there exists an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies that partitions the player set into a bounded number of societies. Two limitations of the results due to WCS are: first, it only treats games of perfect information which, amongst other things, does not allow us to model an assignment of roles within a society. Second, the bound on number of societies is proportional to the number of strategies; given that the framework of WCS can be extended to allow a countable set of strategies (see Cartwright and Wooders 2003b) this appears a significant limitation.

In the companion paper CW we consider the bounded rationality of conformity in pure strategies for arbitrary games of incomplete information. This is done by introducing the concept of a (δ, Q) -class game - any finite game is (δ, Q) -class game for some values of δ and Q. Given a (δ, Q) -class game a bound on ε permitting existence of a Bayesian Nash ε -equilibrium in pure strategies consistent with social conformity, is provided as a function of δ and Q. The approach of CW has the advantage of treating individual games and permitting incomplete information. In addition, CW are able to bound the number of societies independently of the number of strategies. CW, however, only put a bound on the ε for which there exists an ε -equilibrium satisfying the desired properties - they do not provide conditions under which the ε is small.

In this paper we address some of the issues that arise from WCS and CW. First, we extend the pregame framework of WCS to permit incomplete information. We then demonstrate a connection between (δ, Q) -class games and games induced from a pregame. This allows us to apply the results of CW and in doing so provide a family of games where the use of pure strategies and conformity can be consistent with individually rational behavior. Further, in the results of this paper, the number of societies is bounded independently of the number of strategies.

We proceed as follows: Section 2 introduces definitions and notation and Section 3 reviews the definition of a (δ, Q) -class game. In Section 4 we treat conformity, in Section 5 we treat pure strategies and in Section 6 we treat conformity in pure strategies. In Section 7 we conclude.

2 Definitions and notation

We begin this section by defining a Bayesian game. The pregame framework is then introduced and we demonstrate how Bayesian games can be induced through a pregame. Next, we consider the strategies available to players in a Bayesian game and discuss expected payoffs. We conclude the section with the definition of a Nash equilibrium.

2.1 A Bayesian Game

A Bayesian game Γ is given by a tuple (N, A, T, g, u) where N is a finite player set, A is a set of action profiles, T is a set of type profiles, g is a probability distribution over type profiles and u is a set of utility functions. We define these components in turn.

Let $N = \{1, ..., n\}$ be a finite player set, let \mathcal{A} denote a finite set of actions and let \mathcal{T} denote a finite set of types. 'Nature' assigns each player a type.

Informed of his own type but not the types of his opponents, each player chooses an action. We say that a game is a game of perfect information if $|\mathcal{T}| = 1$. Let $A \equiv \mathcal{A}^N$ be the set of action profiles and let $T \equiv \mathcal{T}^N$ be the set of type profiles. Given action profile a and type profile t we let a_i and t_i denote respectively the action and type of player $i \in N$.

A player's payoff depends on the attributes, actions and types of players. Formally, in game Γ , for each player $i \in N$ there exists a *utility function* $u_i : A \times T \to \mathbb{R}$. In interpretation $u_i(a,t)$ denotes the payoff of player i if the action profile is a and the type profile t. Let u denote the set of utility functions.

A player, once informed of his own type, selects an action without knowing the types of the other players. A player therefore forms beliefs over the types he expects others to be. These beliefs are represented by a function p_i where $p_i(t_{-i}|t_i)$ denotes the probability that player i assigns to type profile (t_i, t_{-i}) given that i is of type t_i . Throughout we will assume consistent beliefs. Formally, for some probability distribution g over type profiles, we assume:

$$p_i(t_{-i}|t_i) = \frac{g(t_i, t_{-i})}{\sum_{t' \in T_{-i}} g(t_i, t'_{-i})}$$
(1)

for all $i \in N$ and $t_i \in \mathcal{T}^2$.

2.2 Pregames

Let Ω be a compact metric space, called an *attribute space* and let N be a finite player set. A function α mapping from N to Ω is called an *attribute function*. The pair (N,α) is a population. In interpretation, an attribute function ascribes an attribute to each player in a population. Taking as

²We assume that the denominator of (1) is always positive - i.e. there is positive probability that a player $i \in N$ will be of type t_i for each $t_i \in \mathcal{T}$.

given a finite set of actions \mathcal{A} and types \mathcal{T} a population (N, α) induces a Bayesian game $\Gamma(N, \alpha) \equiv (N, A, T, g^{\alpha}, u^{\alpha})$ as we now formalize.

Denote by W the set of all mappings from $\Omega \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{T}$ into \mathbb{Z}_+ , the non-negative integers. A member of W is called a weight function. Given population (N, α) we say that a weight function $w_{\alpha, a, t}$ is relative to action profile a and type profile t if and only if:

$$w_{\alpha,a,t}(\omega,a^l,t^z) = \left| \left\{ i \in N : \alpha(i) = \omega, a_i = a^l \text{ and } t_i = t^z \right\} \right|.$$

Thus, $w(\omega, a^l, t^z)$ denotes the number of players with attribute ω and type t^z who take action a^l .

A universal payoff function h maps $\Omega \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{T} \times W$ into \mathbb{R}_+ , the non-negative real numbers. The function h will determine payoff functions for every game induced by the pregame. Given a population (N, α) , the payoff of a player will depend on his attribute, his action, his type and the weight function induced by the attributes, actions and types of the complementary player set. Formally:

$$u_i^{\alpha}(a,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h(\alpha(i), a_i, t_i, w_{\alpha,a,t}).$$

Denote by D the set of all mappings from $\Omega \times \mathcal{T}$ into \mathbb{Z}_+ . A member of D is called a *type function*. Given population (N, α) we say that type function $d_{\alpha,t}$ is relative to type profile t if:

$$d_{\alpha,t}(\omega, t^z) = |\{i \in N : \alpha(i) = \omega \text{ and } t_i = t^z\}|.$$

Thus, $d_{\alpha,t}(\omega, t^z)$ denotes the number of players with attribute ω and type t^z .³ A universal beliefs function b maps D into [0,1]. The value $b(d_{\alpha,t})$ is interpreted as the probability of type profile t. Formally:

$$g^{\alpha}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b(d_{\alpha,t})$$

³Note that $d_{\alpha,t}$ is a projection of $w_{\alpha,a,t}$ onto $\Omega \times \mathcal{T}$.

where g^{α} is the probability distribution over type profiles induced by b and α . Players are assumed to have consistent beliefs with respect to g^{α} . It is important to realize the differences between functions g^{α} and b. Function g^{α} is defined relative to a population (N, α) and its domain is \mathcal{T}^{N} . Function b, however, is defined independently of any specific game and has domain D.

A pregame is given by a tuple

$$\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, b, h),$$

consisting of a compact metric space Ω of attributes, finite action and type sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{T} , a universal beliefs function $b:D\longrightarrow [0,1]$ and a universal payoff function $h:\Omega\times\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{T}\times W\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. As discussed above we refer to a population (N,α) as inducing, through the pregame, a Bayesian game

$$\Gamma(N,\alpha) \equiv (N,A,T,g^{\alpha},u^{\alpha}).$$

2.3 Strategies and expected payoffs

Take as given a population (N, α) and induced Bayesian game $(N, A, T, g^{\alpha}, u^{\alpha})$. As discussed above, knowing his own type, but not those of his opponents a player chooses an action. A pure strategy details the action a player will take for each type $t^z \in \mathcal{T}$ and is given by a function $s^k : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{A}$ where $s^k(t^z)$ is the action taken by the player if he is of type t^z . Denote the set of pure strategies by \mathcal{S} and let $K = |\mathcal{A}|^{|\mathcal{T}|} = |\mathcal{S}|$ denote the number of pure strategies.

A (mixed) strategy is given by a probability distribution over the set of pure strategies. The set of strategies is denoted by $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$. Given a strategy x we denote by $x(s^k)$ the probability that a player takes pure strategy $s^k \in \mathcal{S}$. We denote by $x(a^l|t^z)$ the probability that a player takes action a^l given that he is of type t^z . Let $\Sigma = \Delta(\mathcal{S})^N$ denote the set of strategy vectors. We

refer to a strategy vector m as degenerate if for all $i \in N$ and $t^z \in \mathcal{T}$ there exists some action a^l for which $m_i(a^l|t^z) = 1$.

We assume that players are motivated by expected payoffs. Given a strategy vector σ , a type $t^z \in \mathcal{T}$ and beliefs about the type profile p_i^{α} the probability that player i puts on the action profile-type profile pair $a = (a_1, ..., a_n)$ and $t = (t_1, ..., t_{i-1}, t^z, t_{i+i}, ..., t_n)$ is given by:

$$\Pr(a, t_{-i}|t^z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_i^{\alpha}(t_{-i}|t^z)\sigma_1(a_1|t_1)...\sigma_i(a_i|t^z)...\sigma_n(a_n|t_n).$$

Thus, given any strategy vector σ , for any type $t^z \in \mathcal{T}$ and any player i of type t^z , the expected payoff of player i can be calculated. Let $U_i^{\alpha}(\cdot|t^z)$: $\Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the expected utility function of player i conditional on his type being t^z where:

$$U_i^{\alpha}(\sigma|t^z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{t_{-i} \in T_{-i}} \Pr(a, t_{-i}|t^z) u_i^{\alpha}(a, t_z, t_{-i}).$$

Denote by EW the set of functions mapping $\Omega \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{T}$ into \mathbb{R}_+ , the non-negative reals. We refer to $ew, eg \in EW$ as expected weight functions. Given a population (N, α) we say that an expected weight function $ew_{\alpha, \sigma}$ is relative to strategy profile σ if and only if:

$$ew_{\alpha,\sigma}(\omega, a^l, t^z) = \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{t \in T} w_{\alpha,a,t}(\omega, a^l, t^z) \Pr(a, t)$$

for all ω, a^l and t^z . Thus, $ew_{\alpha,\sigma}(\omega, a^l, t^z)$ denotes the *expected* number of players of attribute ω who will have type t^z and play action a^l . Note that this expectation is taken before any player is aware of his type.

2.4 Nash equilibrium

The standard definition of a Bayesian Nash equilibrium applies. A strategy vector σ is a Bayesian Nash ε -equilibrium (or informally an approximate

Bayesian Nash equilibrium) if and only if:

$$U_i^{\alpha}(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i}|t^z) \ge U_i^{\alpha}(x, \sigma_{-i}|t^z) - \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in \Delta(S)$, all $t^z \in T$ and for all $i \in N$. We say that a Bayesian Nash ε equilibrium m is a Bayesian Nash ε -equilibrium in pure strategies if m is degenerate.

3 (δ, Q) -class games

Informally, a game $\Gamma(N,\alpha) = (N,A,T,g^{\alpha},u^{\alpha})$ is a (δ,Q) -class game if the population N can be partitioned into Q subsets $N_1,...,N_Q$, called *classes*, where (1) any two players in the same class are ' δ -substitutes' for each other and (2) roughly, the payoff to a player depends only on his own strategy choice and the 'aggregate strategy' of the players in each class. The concept of a (δ,Q) -class game was introduced in CW.

To formally define a (δ, Q) -class game we require notions of approximate substitute players. Take as given a game $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$ and a partition of the player set $\mathcal{N} = \{N_1, ..., N_Q\}$.

Partition \mathcal{N} is a δ_I -interaction substitute partition when: For any two strategy vectors $\sigma^1, \sigma^2 \in \Sigma$ if:

$$\sum_{i \in N_a} \sigma_i^1(s^k) = \sum_{i \in N_a} \sigma_i^2(s^k),$$

for all N_q and all $s^k \in \mathcal{S}$, then:

$$\left| U_i^{\alpha}(x, \sigma_{-i}^1 | t^z) - U_i^{\alpha}(x, \sigma_{-i}^2 | t^z) \right| \le \delta_I$$

for any player $i \in N$ and any strategy $x \in \Delta(S)$.

Informally, \mathcal{N} is a δ_I -interaction substitute partition if a player's payoff changes by at most δ_I when other players of the same class 'exchange' strategies, with his own strategy choice held constant.

Partition \mathcal{N} is a δ_P -individual substitute partition when: For any N_q , for any two players $i, j \in N_q$ and for any strategy vector $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $\sigma_i = \sigma_j$:

$$\left| U_i^{\alpha}(x, \sigma_{-i}|t^z) - U_j^{\alpha}(x, \sigma_{-j}|t^z) \right| \le \delta_P$$

for any strategy $x \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})$.

Informally, \mathcal{N} is a δ_P -individual substitute partition if the payoffs of any two players in the same class, when they both play the same strategy and the strategies of other players are held constant, are within δ_P .

Partition \mathcal{N} is a δ_C -strategy switching partition when: For any two strategy vectors $\sigma^1, \sigma^2 \in \Sigma$ if:

$$\sum_{i \in N_q} \left| \sigma_i^1(s^k) - \sigma_i^2(s^k) \right| \le 1, \tag{2}$$

for all N_q and all $s^k \in \mathcal{S}$ then:

$$\left| U_i^{\alpha}(x, \sigma_{-i}^1 | t^z) - U_i^{\alpha}(x, \sigma_{-i}^2 | t^z) \right| \le \delta_C$$

for any player $i \in N$ and any strategy $x \in \Delta(S)$.

Thus, given a small proportional change in the 'aggregate strategy' of a class, if \mathcal{N} is a δ_C -strategy switching partition then payoffs will change by at most δ_C .

Game $\Gamma(N,\alpha)$ is said to be a $(\delta_I,\delta_P,\delta_C,Q)$ -class game if there exists a partition \mathcal{N} of the player set into Q classes such that \mathcal{N} is a δ_I -interaction substitute partition, a δ_P -individual substitute and a δ_C -strategy switching partition. If $\delta_I,\delta_P,\delta_C\leq \delta$ then we refer to $\Gamma(N,\alpha)$ as a (δ,Q) -class game. Given a (δ,Q) -class game $\Gamma(N,\alpha)$ we refer to a partition \mathcal{N} as a proper partition of the player set into classes if it is a δ -substitute partition and δ -strategy switching partition.

4 Games With Many Players

We will assume throughout a relatively mild continuity property with respect to attributes. This assumption, introduced in WCS, dictates that a player's payoff is relatively invariant to a small perturbation of the attributes of players (including himself). Such an assumption would be satisfied, for example, in a private goods economy where individual preferences depend only on own consumption of commodities. Formally:

Continuity in attributes: Pregame $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, b, h)$ is said to satisfy continuity in attributes when: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any two induced games $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$ and $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$, if, for all $i \in N$,

$$dist(\alpha(i), \overline{\alpha}(i)) < \varepsilon$$

then, for all $i \in N$, all $t^z \in \mathcal{T}$ and any strategy vector $\sigma \in \Sigma$:

$$\left|U_i^{\alpha}(\sigma|t^z) - U_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(\sigma|t^z)\right| < \varepsilon.$$

We note that the assumption of continuity in attributes considers a change in attributes while the strategies are held constant. The assumption comprises essentially two distinct elements: (1) A player should be relatively indifferent to small changes in the attributes of others - this would suggest, amongst other things, that the probability distribution over types is largely unaffected by a small change in the attribute function. (2) Players with similar attributes receive similar payoffs. This later point will clearly have a role to play in demonstrating the existence of δ_P -individual substitute partitions for small values of δ .

4.1 Societies

We define a *society*. Given a game (N, α) and a strategy vector σ we interpret a set of players D as a society if (i) there exists some strategy

 $x \in \Delta(S)$ such that $\sigma_i = x$ for all $i \in D$, and (ii) for any player $i \in N$, if $\alpha(i) \in con(\alpha(D))$ then $i \in D$.⁴ Thus, any two players belonging to a society D must play the same strategy. Furthermore, to any society D we can associate a convex subset Ω_D of attribute space Ω with the properties that any player belonging to D has an attribute in Ω_D while there exists no player who has an attribute in Ω_D that does not belong to D.⁵

We say that a strategy vector σ induces a partition of the player set into Q societies if there exists a Q member partition of the player set $\mathcal{N} = \{N_1, ..., N_Q\}$ such that each subset N_q is a society.

Given a population (N, α) we say that a partition $\mathcal{N} = \{N_1, ..., N_Q\}$ is a partition of (N, α) into convex subsets if there exists a partition $\{\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_Q\}$ of Ω into convex subsets with the property that if $i \in N_q$ then $\alpha(i) \in \Omega_q$ for all $i \in N$.⁶

4.2 Conformity

In this section we demonstrate that for a large family of games we can put a bound Q on the number of societies, where Q is independent of population size, such that any game within this family has an approximate Nash equilibrium that partitions the population into at most Q societies. Note that in this section we make no assumption that players use pure strategies.

We introduce a second assumption:

Risk Neutrality property: We say that a pregame \mathcal{G} satisfies the risk neutrality property when: for any population (N, α) and any two strategy

⁴Where $con(\alpha(D))$ denotes the convex hull of $\alpha(D)$.

⁵This is a stronger notion of conformity than used by WCS. In WCS condition (ii) becomes: for any player $i \in N$ if $\alpha(i) \in int(con(\alpha(D)))$ then $i \in D$ where int(A) denotes the interior of set A.

 $^{^6\}mathrm{Of}$ course the sets Ω_q are required to be only relatively convex since Ω may not be convex.

profiles $\sigma, \overline{\sigma} \in \Sigma^N$ with expected weight functions $ew_{\alpha,\sigma}$ and $ew_{\alpha,\overline{\sigma}}$ respectively, where:

$$ew_{\alpha,\sigma}(\omega, a^l, t^z) = ew_{\alpha,\overline{\sigma}}(\omega, a^l, t^z)$$

for all ω, a^l and t^z , if $\sigma_i = \overline{\sigma}_i$ then:

$$U_i^{\alpha}(\sigma|t^z) = U_i^{\alpha}(\overline{\sigma}|t^z)$$

for any $t^z \in \mathcal{T}$.

The risk neutrality property requires players to be risk neutral with respect to the strategies of others. For example, consider two players i and j who both have attribute ω and consider some other player l. The risk neutrality property dictates that player l should be indifferent as to whether (i) player i plays strategy s^1 and player j plays strategy s^2 , (ii) player j plays strategy s^1 and player j plays strategy s^2 , and (iii) both players choose strategy s^1 and s^2 with probability one half. There are many instances where this assumption would appear mild - we consider one case later.

Before stating our first Theorem, we recall that it follows from Theorem 2 of CW that any $(0,0,\delta,Q(\tau))$ -class Bayesian game has a Bayesian Nash equilibrium (a 0-equilibrium) with the property that any two players in the same class play the same strategy. Our first Theorem demonstrates that for any game induced by a pregame there is a 'near-by' $(0,0,\delta,Q)$ game for some δ and Q. The existence of such games allows us to infer properties of games induced by pregames.

Theorem 1: Consider a pregame $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, b, h)$ that satisfies the risk neutrality property. Given real number $\tau > 0$ there is a real number $Q(\tau)$ such that for any population (N, α) there is another population $(N, \overline{\alpha})$ satisfying $dist(\alpha(i), \overline{\alpha}(i)) < \tau$ for all $i \in N$ and, for some δ , the induced game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ is a $(0, 0, \delta, Q(\tau))$ -class Bayesian game. Furthermore, there exists

a partition \mathcal{N} of N that is both a proper partition into classes for game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ and a partition of (N, α) into convex subsets.

Proof: Partition Ω into convex subsets $\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_Q$ each of diameter less than $\tau > 0$. For each subset Ω_q choose and fix an attribute $\omega_q \in \Omega_q$. Consider an arbitrary game $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$. Define attribute function $\overline{\alpha}$ as follows for all $i \in N$:

$$\overline{\alpha}(i) = \omega_q$$
 if and only if $\alpha(i) \in \Omega_q$.

Clearly $dist(\alpha(i), \overline{\alpha}(i)) < \tau$ for all $i \in N$. For each q, define $N_q = \{i \in N : \overline{\alpha}(i) = \omega_q\}$. We conjecture that the partition $\mathcal{N} \equiv \{N_1, ..., N_Q\}$ satisfies the desired properties. We note that all players of the same class have the same attribute. It is, thus, immediate from the definition of individual substitute partitions that \mathcal{N} is a 0-individual substitute partition for $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$. Also, by the risk neutrality property \mathcal{N} is a 0-interaction substitute partition for $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$. Thus, game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ is a $(0, 0, \delta, Q)$ -class Bayesian game and \mathcal{N} is a proper partition of N. It is immediate that \mathcal{N} partitions (N, α) into convex subsets.

Theorem 1 leads to Proposition 1, a consequence of the above result and Theorem 2 of CW:

Proposition 1: Consider a pregame $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, b, h)$ that satisfies the risk neutrality property and continuity in attributes. Given real number $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists real number $Q_1(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any population (N, α) the induced game $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$ has a Bayesian Nash ε -equilibrium that induces a partition of the player set into $Q_1(\varepsilon)$ societies.

Proof: Given an $\varepsilon > 0$, define $\tau \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. By Theorem 1 there exists real number $Q(\tau)$ such that for any population (N, α) there exists a population $(N, \overline{\alpha})$ such that $\max_{i \in N} \{ dist(\alpha(i), \overline{\alpha}(i)) \} < \tau$ and the induced game

 $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ is a $(0, 0, \delta, Q)$ -substitute Bayesian game for some δ . Further there exists a proper partition of N into classes \mathcal{N} for game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ that is a partition of (N, α) into convex subsets. Theorem 2 of CW states that any $(0, 0, \delta, Q)$ -class Bayesian game has a Bayesian Nash 0-equilibrium m with the property that any two players of the same class play the same strategy. Thus, game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ has a Bayesian Nash 0-equilibrium m with the property that any two players of the same class play the same strategy. By continuity in attributes, for all $i \in N$:

$$\left| U_i^{\alpha}(x, m_{-i}|t^z) - U_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(x, m_{-i}|t^z) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

for all $x \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ and $t^z \in \mathcal{T}$. Thus:

$$U_i^{\alpha}(m_i, m_{-i}|t^z) > U_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(x, m_{-i}|t^z) - \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})$. This completes the proof.

If the number of attributes is finite then we can go further. Of course with a finite number of attributes conformity is less interesting. The following Theorem, also a consequence of Theorem 2 of CW, simply states that there exists a possibly mixed strategy where all players who are identical play the same strategy.

Proposition 2: Consider a pregame \mathcal{G} that satisfies the risk neutrality property and where the number of attributes is a finite integer Q. For any population (N, α) the induced game $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$ has a Bayesian Nash 0-equilibrium that induces a partition of the player set into Q societies.

Proof: Take as given game $\Gamma(N,\alpha)$. Let $\Omega = \{\omega_1, ..., \omega_Q\}$ be the space of attributes and let $\mathcal{N} = \{N_1, ..., N_Q\}$ denote the partition of the player set where $i \in N_q$ if and only if $\alpha(i) = \omega_q$. Partition \mathcal{N} is a 0-interaction substitute partition and a 0-substitute partition. Thus, $\Gamma(N,\overline{\alpha})$ is a $(0,0,\delta,Q)$ -class Bayesian game. Theorem 2 of CW states that any $(0,0,\delta,Q)$ -class

Bayesian game has a Bayesian Nash 0-equilibrium m with the property that any two players of the same class play the same strategy. This completes the proof.

5 Pure Strategy Equilibrium

The risk neutrality property proves insufficient for the existence of an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies. We therefore introduce a stronger large game property. First, taking a population (N, α) as given, we define a metric on the space EW_{α} of expected weight functions:

$$dist2(ew, eg) = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{\omega \in \alpha(N)} \sum_{a^l \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{t^z \in \mathcal{T}} \left| ew(\omega, a^l, t^z) - eg(\omega, a^l, t^z) \right|$$

for any $ew, eg \in EW_{\alpha}$. Thus, two expected weight functions are 'close' if the *expected proportion* of players with each attribute and each type that are playing each action are close.

Large game property: We say that a pregame \mathcal{G} satisfies the large game property when: for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any population (N, α) and any two strategy profiles $\sigma, \overline{\sigma} \in \Sigma^N$ where:

$$dist2(ew_{\alpha,\sigma}, ew_{\alpha,\overline{\sigma}}) < \varepsilon$$

if $\sigma_i = \overline{\sigma}_i$ then:

$$|U_i^{\alpha}(\sigma|t^z) - U_i^{\alpha}(\overline{\sigma}|t^z)| < \varepsilon.$$

for any $t^z \in \mathcal{T}$.

If a pregame satisfies the large game property then we can think of payoff functions as satisfying one principal condition - a player is nearly indifferent to a change in the proportion of players of each attribute and of each type playing each action (provided his own strategy is unchanged); thus, the behavior of no one individual or small group of individuals can have large effects on a player's payoff. This contrasts with the risk neutrality property where one individual can have a large influence.⁷ Risk neutrality is also required to hold under the large game property but an assumption of risk neutrality is mild in this context; with large player sets, finite sets of pure strategies and finite types the law of large numbers dictates that the actual proportion of players playing each action will, with high probability, be close to the expected proportion (Kalai 2002).

We state our second theorem:

Theorem 2: Consider a pregame \mathcal{G} that satisfies the large game property. Given real numbers $\delta > 0$ and $\tau > 0$ there are integers $\eta(\delta, \tau)$ and $Q(\delta, \tau)$ such that for any population (N, α) , where $|N| > \eta(\delta, \tau)$, there exists a similar population $(N, \overline{\alpha})$ with $dist(\alpha(i), \overline{\alpha}(i)) < \tau$ for all $i \in N$ and the induced game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ is a $(\delta, Q(\delta, \tau))$ -substitute Bayesian game. Further there exists a proper partition into classes \mathcal{N} for game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ that is a partition of (N, α) into convex subsets.

Proof: Suppose that the statement of the lemma is false. Then there is some $\overline{\delta} > 0$ and $\overline{\tau} > 0$, such that for any real number \overline{Q} and for each integer ν there is a population (N^{ν}, α^{ν}) where $|N^{\nu}| > \nu$ and such that for no population $(N^{\nu}, \overline{\alpha}^{\nu})$ where $\max_{i \in N} \{dist(\alpha(i), \overline{\alpha}(i))\} < \overline{\tau}$ is the induced game $\Gamma(N^{\nu}, \overline{\alpha}^{\nu})$ a (δ, \overline{Q}) -substitute Bayesian game.

Partition Ω into convex subsets $\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_Q$ each of diameter less than $\overline{\tau}$. To each subset Ω_q choose and fix an attribute ω_q . For each (N^{ν}, α^{ν}) define the attribute function $\overline{\alpha}^{\nu}$ as follows: for all $i \in N^{\nu}$:

$$\overline{\alpha}^{\nu}(i) = \omega_q \text{ if and only if } \alpha(i) \in \Omega_q.$$

⁷This could be the case if there is a unique player with a certain attribute.

Given game $(N^{\nu}, \overline{\alpha}^{\nu})$ let $\mathcal{N}^{\nu} = \{N_{1}^{\nu}, ..., N_{Q}^{\nu}\}$ denote the partition of the player set such that $i \in N_{q}^{\nu}$ if and only if $\overline{\alpha}^{\nu}(i) = \omega_{q}$. We note that the value Q is fixed independently of the game $(N^{\nu}, \overline{\alpha}^{\nu})$. The partition \mathcal{N}^{ν} is a 0-individual substitute partition for all ν and, given the large game property, a $\overline{\delta}$ -interaction substitute partition. Also, for sufficiently large ν , by the large game property, \mathcal{N}^{ν} is a $\overline{\delta}$ -strategy switching partition. Thus, game $\Gamma(N^{\nu}, \overline{\alpha}^{\nu})$ is a (δ, Q) -substitute Bayesian game.

Our third proposition demonstrates the existence of an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies and obtains a purification result as a consequence of the purification result in CW for (δ, Q) class-games.

Proposition 3: Consider a pregame \mathcal{G} that satisfies the large game property and continuity in attributes. Given real number $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists real number $\eta_2(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that any induced game $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$ where $|N| > \eta_2(\varepsilon)$ has a Bayesian Nash ε -equilibrium in pure strategies.

Proof: Let $\delta \equiv \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon$. By Theorem 2 there are real numbers η and Q such that for any population (N, α) , where $|N| > \eta$, there exists a population $(N, \overline{\alpha})$ such that $\max_{i \in N} \{ dist(\alpha(i), \overline{\alpha}(i)) \} < \delta$ and the induced game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ is a (δ, Q) -substitute Bayesian game. Theorem 1 of CW states that any (δ, Q) -class game has a Nash 4δ -equilibrium. Let m be a Nash 4δ -equilibrium of game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$. By continuity in attributes, for all $i \in N$:

$$\left| U_i^{\alpha}(x, m_{-i}|t^z) - U_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(x, m_{-i}|t^z) \right| < \delta.$$

for all $x \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ and $t^z \in \mathcal{T}$. Thus:

$$U_i^{\alpha}(m|t^z) > U_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(x, m_{-i}|t^z) - 6\delta$$

for all $x \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})$. This completes the proof.

6 Conformity in Pure Strategies with Roles

Following the approach of CW we consider the possibility that players may conform in their choice of strategy yet play different actions. The existence of imperfect information permits this as a player's action is conditional on his type. We assume that players can endogenously create imperfect information through an allocation of roles within a society. To simplify the analysis we assume that play 'begins' with a game of perfect information.

Take as given a pregame $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, b, h)$ where $|\mathcal{T}| = 1$. Games induced through this pregame are games of perfect information. Assume that there exists a set of roles $\mathcal{R} = \{r^1, ..., r^K\}$. Consider game $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$. Let $R \equiv \mathcal{R}^N$ be the set of role profiles. Take as given a probability distribution f over the set of role profiles R where f(r) denotes the probability of role profile r. We consider a Bayesian game with endogenous roles $\Gamma(f)(N,\alpha)$. In game $\Gamma(f)(N,\alpha)$ roles are (Harsanyi) types. Thus, roles are randomly allocated to players, a player can make his action choice conditional on his role and makes his choice of action knowing his role but not those of players in the complementary player set. A player's payoff, however, does not depend directly on the role profile. We assume that players have consistent beliefs with respect to the distribution over roles f. Formally, we can define game $\Gamma(f)(N,\alpha) = (N,A,T(f),g^{\alpha}(f),u^{\alpha}(f))$ to satisfy:

- 1. $T(f) \equiv R$,
- 2. for all $r \in R$,

$$g^{\alpha}(f)(r) \equiv f(r)$$

3. $u_i^{\alpha}(f)(a,r) \equiv u_i^{\alpha}(a)$ for all $a \in A, r \in R$ and all $i \in N$.

Condition 1 states that roles are equivalent to types. Condition 2 states that players have consistent beliefs with respect to the distribution of roles.

Condition 3 states that payoffs are not directly effected by the role profile.

We highlight that roles and a probability distribution over role profiles are defined relative to a specific game $\Gamma(N,\alpha)$ rather than a pregame. This reflects the idea that roles are endogenously created within a population. Thus, it is more natural to think of a probability distribution over roles taking as given a specific game $\Gamma(N,\alpha)$. Note that this observation is also reflected in the statement of Proposition 4, to follow.

As discussed further by CW, to retain a notion of society in which players can truly be seen as conforming, assumptions are required on the probability distribution over roles f. For instance, it seems desirable, if players are conforming, that every player should have an equal chance of being each role; if this were not the case then it might be argued that players who are playing the same strategy are not exhibiting the same behaviour. This motivates our first condition.

Within class anonymity: A probability distribution over roles f satisfies within class anonymity if the probability that a player from a class N_q will have role r^k is (a priori) identical for all players belonging to that class. Formally, if $i, j \in N_q$ for some q then:

$$\sum_{r \in R: r_i = r^k} f(r) = \sum_{r \in R: r_i = r^k} f(r)$$

for all $r^k \in \mathcal{R}$.

To motivate the next requirement, consider the example of a male-female household following the roles of 'he goes out to work, she stays home'. For this norm to be successful, it is necessary that no player, knowing the structure of society – the number of players with each role in his or her class – after roles are assigned, wishes to change role assignment. This motivates a second condition.

Within class determination: Given a role profile r let z(r, k, q) be the number of players in class N_q who have role r^k . A probability distribution over roles f is within class determined if for any class N_q and for any two role profiles r and \overline{r} , if f(r), $f(\overline{r}) > 0$ then $z(r, k, q) = z(\overline{r}, k, q)$ for all classes q and for all $r^k \in \mathcal{R}$.

In sum, within class anonymity requires that each player in a class has an equal probability of being allocated each role. Within class determination implies that the number of players who have each role can be known with certainty ex ante - the only uncertainty is who will have each role. These are strong requirements on f. We propose they capture the notion that players in the same class who play the same strategy are conforming to some norm of behavior.

Before stating our final result we introduce one further definition. We use the concept of ex-post Nash equilibrium as introduced by Kalai (2002). Ex-post Nash implies that, knowing the action profile and the type profile, no player has a strong incentive to change her own action. Formally, given population $\Gamma(N,\alpha)$ an action profile, type profile pair a,t is said to be ε ex-Post Nash if for all $i \in N$:

$$u_i^{\alpha}(a,t) \ge u_i^{\alpha}(a^l, a_{-i}, t) - \varepsilon$$

for all $a^l \in \mathcal{A}$. A strategy profile σ is said to be a Bayesian ε ex-Post Nash equilibrium if it yields an ε ex-Post Nash action profile, type profile pair with probability one. If a strategy vector is a Bayesian ex-Post Nash equilibrium then, as discussed further by Kalai (2002), no player would wish to change his action after knowing the types (or roles) and the actions of the other players. The proof is based on that of CW (Theorem 3).

Proposition 4: Consider a pregame \mathcal{G} that satisfies the large game property and continuity in attributes and where $|\mathcal{T}| = 1$. Given a real number

 $\varepsilon > 0$ there are real numbers $\eta_4(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $Q_4(\varepsilon)$ such that for any population (N,α) where $|N| > \eta_4(\varepsilon)$ there exists a probability distribution over role profiles f (that is within class anonymous and determined) with the property that game $\Gamma(f)(N,\alpha)$ has a Bayesian ε ex-Post Nash equilibrium in pure strategies that induces a partition of the player set into at most $Q_4(\varepsilon)$ societies.

Proof: Let $\delta \equiv \frac{1}{12}\varepsilon$. By Theorem 2 there are real numbers η and Q such that for any population (N,α) , where $|N| > \eta$, there exists a population $(N,\overline{\alpha})$ such that $dist(\alpha(i),\overline{\alpha}(i)) < \delta$ for all $i \in N$ and the induced game $\Gamma(N,\overline{\alpha})$ is a (δ,Q) -substitute Bayesian game. Further there exists a proper partition into classes \mathcal{N} for game $\Gamma(N,\overline{\alpha})$ that is a partition of (N,α) into convex subsets.

Theorem 3 of CW states that any given any (δ, Q) -class game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ and proper partition \mathcal{N} there exists a probability distribution over role profiles f (that is within class anonymous and determined) such that $\Gamma(f)(N, \overline{\alpha})$ has a Bayesian 10δ ex-Post Nash equilibrium with the property that every player of the same class plays the same pure strategy. Let m be such an equilibrium of game $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$. By continuity in attributes, for all $i \in N$:

$$\left| U_i^{\alpha}(x, m_{-i}|t^z) - U_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(x, m_{-i}|t^z) \right| < \delta.$$

for all $x \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ and $t^z \in \mathcal{T}$. Thus:

$$U_i^{\alpha}(m_i, m_{-i}|t^z) > U_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(x, m_{-i}|t^z) - 12\delta$$

for all $x \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})$. This completes the proof.

7 Conclusion

In this paper we provide a family of games with many players for which there exists an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies exhibiting conformity. A strategy vector exhibits conformity when the population could be partitioned into a relatively small number of societies - players in the same society play the same strategy and have similar attributes. The existence of roles within a society was permitted, thus allowing the possibility that players play the same strategy and yet perform different actions.

Our results complement and extend those due to WCS and CW. In WCS we also provide a family of games for which there exists an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies exhibiting conformity. The current paper, however, extends that of WCS in considering games of imperfect information. This allows a different interpretation of conformity and of a society. As a consequence we are able to bound the number of societies independently of the number of strategies (in contrast to WCS). In CW we treat individual games and provide a bound on the ε , depending on the parameters describing the game, allowing existence of a Nash ε -equilibrium in pure strategies exhibiting conformity. CW do not, however, demonstrate that in large games this bound, and thus ε , can be taken to be small. This paper applies the results of CW in focussing on large games.

References

- [1] Cartwright, E.J. and M.H. Wooders (2003a) "Bounded rationality in arbitrary games with many players," University of Warwick Department of Economics Working Paper no 672. On-line at http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/economics/research/papers/twerp672-revised.pdf
- [2] Cartwright, E.J. and M.H. Wooders (2003b) "On approximate equilibrium in pure strategies in games with many players," University of Warwick Working Paper (forthcoming).

- [3] Ellison, G. and D. Fudenberg (1995) "Word-of-mouth communication and social learning," Quarterly Journal of Economics 110: 93-125.
- [4] Friedman, D. (1996) "Equilibrium in evolutionary games: Some experimental results," *Economic Journal* 106: 1-25.
- [5] Gale, D. and R. Rosenthal, 1999, "Experimentation, imitation, and strategic stability," *Journal of Economic Theory* 84, 1-40.
- [6] Gross, R. (1996) Psychology. The Science of Mind and Behaviour, Hodder and Stoughton.
- [7] Harsanyi, J.C. (1973) "Games with randomly distributed payoffs: a new rationale for mixed strategy equilibrium points:" *International Journal of Game Theory* 2: 1-23.
- [8] Kalai, E. (2002) "Ex-post stability in large games," Northwestern University Discussion Paper 1351.
- [9] Schleifer, A., (2000) Inefficient Markets, an introduction to behavioural finance, Oxford University Press.
- [10] M. Wooders, E. Cartwright and R. Selten (2002) "Social conformity in games with many players," University of Warwick Department of Economics Working Paper no 589 (revised), on-line at http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/economics/research/papers/2001/.
- [11] Young, H.P. (2001) Individual Strategy and Social Structure, Princeton University Press.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html

http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html http://papers.ssrn.com

SUST	1.2002	K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
ETA	2.2002	Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
WAT	3.2002	<u>Preferences?</u> Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
CLIM	4.2002	Black Sea
		Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International Comparison on European Gasoline Markets
ETA	7.2002	Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Eftichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11
		European Countries
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary
KNOW	12.2002	Policy Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable
1111011	12.2002	Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes:
CLIM	16.2002	Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance
LIA	17.2002	Economy
Coalition	18.2002	László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities
Theory		Laszto A. AOCZI (IIV). The Core in the Presence of Externames
Network		
Coalition	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Theory		Coalitions
Network Coalition	20.2002	
Theory	20.2002	Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Network		
NRM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach
		<u>in Insular Systems</u>
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
CLIM	22 2002	Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
CLIM	23.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech
ETA	24.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
CLIM	25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
CLIM	23.2002	Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
		Change
SUST	27.2002	Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
CHICT	20 2002	Conservation Plans The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
SUST	28.2002	THE ANODA THEIWORK. TOWARDS ARE ARRIVED STRATEGIC ERVIRORMENTAL ASSESSMENT
K N()\\\/		Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Paturns: a Survey of Evidence
KNOW ETA	29.2002 30.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies

NRM	24 2002	
	31.2002	Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
		the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
NRM	32.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
KNOW	33.2002	A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN: Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
KNOW	24.2002	Industries?
KNOW	34.2002	G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: <u>Labor Taxes</u> , Wage Setting and the Relative Wage
CL D.4	25 2002	Effect
CLIM	35.2002	C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from
CL D.4	26 2002	Economic Theory and International Experiences
CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (IV): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (IV): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (IV): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
NRM	40.2002	the Framework of Agenda 2000 S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
INIXIVI	40.2002	under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
INIXIVI	41.2002	Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42.2002	C. OHL (lvi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43.2002	J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (Ivi): Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
CLIM	43.2002	Analysis with MacGEM
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (Ivi): Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary
CLIM	77.2002	Model
ETA	45.2002	P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (Ivi): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
LIII	13.2002	Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (Ivi): A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
Theory		
Network		
	52 2002	
ETA	52. 2002.	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
ETA ETA	52.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. LAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, L.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
ETA ETA	52.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
ETA CLIM	53.2002 54.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty
ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-
ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies
ETA CLIM ETA SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy
CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edit DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna Alberini, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Servi
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iiii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRON: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna Albertin. Patricia RiGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Services of Urban
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna Alberini, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Servi

		
NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
DD IV	72 2002	Irrigation Mel ground OMP AN: The Performance of State Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatived Firms: Empirical
PRIV	73.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical Evidence from Egypt
PRIV	74.2002	Mike BURKART, Fausto PANUNZI and Andrei SHLEIFER: Family Firms
PRIV	75.2002	Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD: Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
1111	70.2002	Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
		Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
		Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?
DD II I	00.2002	Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PRIV	80.2002	Alberto R. PETRUCCI: Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and Wealth Displacement in a Small Open Economy
CLIM	81.2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
CLIM	01.2002	of the Montreal Protocol
PRIV	82.2002	George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization, Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
		Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
		to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: <u>An</u>
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (Iv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
CI D I	06.2002	Misconceptions Response of the second of th
CLIM	86.2002	Pierre COURTOIS: Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
ETA	87.2002	Vito FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (Iviii): Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance
ETA	88.2002	Laurent FRANCKX (Iviii): Environmental Enforcement with Endogenous Ambient Monitoring Timo GOESCHL and Timothy M. SWANSON (Iviii): Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an
ETA	89.2002	evolutionary biological system.
ETA	90.2002	Hans KEIDING (Iviii): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	91.2002	Wietze LISE (Iviii): A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production
DIII	75.2002	Units
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
		Agreements
CLIM	95.2002	Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
		the Netherlands: Perceptions and Reality
CLIM	96.2002	Matthieu GLACHANT: The Political Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Policy
KNOW	97.2002	Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
ET A	00.2002	Thailand Girling FORTE AND MANERA FOR SIGNAL STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
ETA	98.2002	Ganfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
ETA	99.2002	GARCH Models Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul
LIM	100.2002	EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Biodiversity and Globalization
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements – If per capita emission levels matter
ETA	103.2002	Pierre-André JOUVET and Walid OUESLATI: Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous
		Growth Model with Environmental Externality
ETA	104.2002	Anna BOTTASSO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI: Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data
		Evidence on Italy
PRIV	105.2002	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Frank DE JONG, Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolya SCHINDELE: Privatization and
		Stock Market Liquidity
ETA	106.2002	Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (Iviii): Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game
PRIV	107.2002	Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of
DD III	100 2002	Privatisation in Developing Countries Civil and Countries Countries Out Effort as Financial
PRIV	108.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial
PRIV	100 2002	<u>Diversification</u> Alberto CHONG and Florencio LÓPEZ-DE-SILANES: Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the
LIVIA	109.2002	World
PRIV	110.2002	Nandini GUPTA: Partial Privatization and Firm Performance
PRIV	111.2002	François DEGEORGE, Dirk JENTER, Alberto MOEL and Peter TUFANO: Selling Company Shares to
,	111.2002	Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience

DD III	110 2002	A OTCHERT I I I I FOR A CRISTIAN AND A DIT OF THE CONTRACT OF
PRIV	112.2002	Isaac OTCHERE: Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and
PRIV	113.2002	Developing Countries Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI: Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization
PRIV	113.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS: Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets
PRIV	115.2002	D. Teja FLOTHO: A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration
PRIV	116.2002	Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI: Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets:
TRIV	110.2002	The Case of Hungary
PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
	0.200	Market
CLIM	4.2003	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of
		Government Commitment
KNOW	5.2003	Reyer GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA	6.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO: Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model
SIEV	7.2003	Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: The
		Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment:
		Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARRÓS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
		with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of
		Climate Change Policy Analysis
KNOW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural
IZMOW.	12 2002	Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art
KNOW	13.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix): Bio-Ecological
KNOW	14 2002	Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures Madda LONGSENS and Chair STEVAERT (iv). The prior of Diversity within Commission Studies Debates and
KNOW	14.2003	Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and
KNOW	15.2003	Future Trajectories Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix): Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and
KNOW	13.2003	Female Roles in Urban Economic Life
KNOW	16.2003	Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from
IC (O W	10.2003	Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Theory		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Monopoly with Resale
PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
		Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV	22.2003	Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-
		Price Auctions
PRIV	23.2003	David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV	24.2003	Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment
PRIV	25.2003	Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in
DDIX	26 2002	Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Chairting A. PARIOUR and Italian PALON (Inc.). Patienting in IROs.
PRIV PRIV	26.2003 27.2003	Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes
PRIV	28.2003	Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative
TKIV	26.2003	Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts
PRIV	29.2003	TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
PRIV	30.2003	Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities
ETA	31.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive
		Externality NTU-Coalition Games
KNOW	32.2003	Michele MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty
PRIV	33.2003	Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?
KNOW	34.2003	Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A
		Treatment Effect Analysis
ETA	35.2003	Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different
		Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation
GG	36.2003	Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats
PRIV	37.2003	Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate
CT T	20.2002	Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection
CLIM	38.2003	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers

KNOW	39.2003	Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade
CTN	40.2003	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations
KNOW KNOW	41.2003 42.2003	Sonia OREFFICE: Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing
ETA	43.2003	Biotechnologies Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific
		Basin Region, Japan and US
CLIM	44.2003	Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES: The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its Effectiveness
PRIV	45.2003	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI: The Political Economy of Privatization
SIEV	46.2003	Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste Disposal Methods
ETA	47.2003	Jens HORBACH: Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical Results for Germany
CLIM	48.2003	Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS: The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing
CLIM	49.2003	Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER: Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting
CTN	50.2003	Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (lxi): The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension
CTN	51.2003	Matthew O. JACKSON (lxi): Allocation Rules for Network Games
CTN	52.2003	Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (Ixi): Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation
CTN	53.2003	Fernando VEGA-REDONDO (lxi): Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach
CTN	54.2003	Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF (lxi): On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation
CTN	55.2003	Taiji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI (lxi): Free Trade Networks
CTN	56.2003	Halis Murat YILDIZ (1xi): National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization
CTN	57.2003	Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH (lxi): An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International
		Environmental Agreements
KNOW	58.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: <u>ICT</u> , <u>Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research</u>
KNOW	59.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: Special Interests and Technological Change
ETA	60.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes; A Survey
CLIM	61.2003	Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game
GG	62.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements
SIEV	63.2003	Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy
CLIM	64.2003	Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures
SIEV	65.2003	Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy
SIEV	66.2003	Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment
SIEV	67.2003	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing
CLIM	68.2003	Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its
		Kyoto Target
KNOW	69.2003	David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation
KNOW	70.2003	Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History
KNOW	71.2003	Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lxii): What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?
KNOW	72.2003	Natalya V. TARANOVA (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case
KNOW	73.2003	Kristine CRANE (lxii): The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of Globalisation and Migration
KNOW	74.2003	Kazuma MATOBA (lxii): Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication
KNOW	75.2003	Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA (lxii): Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese in
		Portugal
KNOW	76.2003	Sandra WALLMAN (lxii): The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems
KNOW	77.2003	Richard PEARCE (lxii): A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities
KNOW	78.2003	Vincent MERK (lxii): Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the Dilemmas
KNOW	79.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P.OTTAVIANO: Child Labor and Resistance to Change
ETA	80.2003	Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA: Investment Size and Firm's Value under Profit Sharing Regulation
IEM	81.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Oil and Product Dynamics in International Petroleum Markets
CLIM	82.2003	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against Environmental Regulation

CLIM	83.2003	Giuseppe DI VITA: Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?
CLIM	84.2003	Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes
NRM	85.2003	Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: How Fast are the Tourism Countries Growing?
INIXIVI	65.2005	The cross-country evidence
KNOW	86.2003	Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks
KNOW	80.2003	for the Mezzogiorno
SIEV	97 2002	Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources.
SIEV	87.2003	
CLIM	00 2002	How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments
CLIM	88.2003	Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of
CL D.	00.2002	Global Warming
CLIM	89.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Economic Development and Environmental Protection
CLIM	90.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?
CLIM	91.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries
IEM	92.2003	A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy
		Policy: The Case of Russia
ETA	93.2003	Andrea BELTRATTI: Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium
CTN	94.2003	Parkash CHANDER: The γ-Core and Coalition Formation
IEM	95.2003	Matteo MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption
		<u>Using Principal Components</u>
IEM	96.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA, Margherita GRASSO and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Long-run Models of
		Oil Stock Prices
CTN	97.2003	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES, and D. Marc KILGOUR: Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process
		<u>Matters</u>
KNOW	98.2003	John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (Ixiii): Anti-Racist Policies in France. From Ideological and Historical
		Schemes to Socio-Political Realities
KNOW	99.2003	Richard THOMPSON FORD (Ixiii): Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue
KNOW	100.2003	Alaknanda PATEL (lxiii): Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities
KNOW	101.2003	David MAY (lxiii): The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood
KNOW	102.2003	Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (lxiii): Municipal Reform on the
		Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City
CLIM	103.2003	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime
CLIM	104.2003	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in
		International Climate Agreements
CLIM	105.2003	Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy
NRM	106.2003	Anne Sophie CRÉPIN(lxiv): Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests
NRM	107.2003	Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (lxiv): Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries
SIEV	108.2003	Sara ANIYAR (lxiv): Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example
SIEV	109.2003	Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER(lxiv): Evaluating Projects and Assessing
		Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies
NRM	110.2003	Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA(lxiv): Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries
NRM	111.2003	Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (lxiv): Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands
ETA	112.2003	Jack GOODY (lxiv): Globalisation, Population and Ecology
CTN	113.2003	Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: Endogenous Minimum Participation in
		International Environmental Treaties
CTN	114.2003	Guillaume HAERINGER and Myrna WOODERS: Decentralized Job Matching
CTN	115.2003	Hideo KONISHI and M. Utku UNVER: Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems
CTN	116.2003	Somdeb LAHIRI: Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem
CTN	117.2003	Somdeb LAHIRI: Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem
CTN	118.2003	Marita LAUKKANEN: Transboundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty
CTN	119.2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary
		Games with Incomplete Information: Some First Results
CTN	120.2003	Gianluigi VERNASCA: Dynamic Price Competition with Price Adjustment Costs and Product Differentiation
CTN	121.2003	Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SELTEN: Social Conformity in Games with Many
		<u>Players</u>
CTN	122.2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: On Equilibrium in Pure Strategies in Games with Many Players
CTN	123.2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many
		<u>Players</u>
	1000	Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: One Thousand Working Papers

- (l) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001
- (li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001
- (lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001
- (liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001
- (liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002
- (lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001
- (lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum fur Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001
- (lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002
- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002
- (lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003
- (lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities", The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- (lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities", Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- (lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics", organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003

2002 SERIES

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

VOL *Voluntary and International Agreements* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SUST Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

(Editor: Carlo Carraro)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KNOW Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)

MGMT Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)

PRIV Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

2003 SERIES

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

(Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KNOW *Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital* (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

CSRM *Corporate Social Responsibility and Management* (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRIV Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

CTN *Coalition Theory Network*