Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the UK

Rinaldo Brau and Massimo Florio NOTA DI LAVORO 67.2002

SEPTEMBER 2002

PRIV - Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust

Rinaldo Brau, University of Cagliari and CRENoS, Italy Massimo Florio, University of Milan, Italy

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_activ.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the UK

Summary

This paper analyses the effects on consumers' welfare of the privatisation policy carried out in the UK since 1979. The approach we follow sees the privatisation of a State owned enterprise within the broader framework of the "policy reform" theory (Drèze and Stern, 1990). By adopting this perspective, the change in consumers' welfare "with" and "without" privatisations can be studied by appropriate welfare measures.

We claim that an advantage of our approach is that of being able to provide the required welfare assessment in a simplified way by means of a limited set of information. In particular, we show that a series of welfare measures only based on aggregate information can be used once one becomes ready to accept the use of first and second order approximations and a few "reasonable" assumptions on the shape of demand functions. These welfare measures are subsequently used for the evaluation of the welfare effects related to price variations in seven British privatised public utilities. We conclude that the contribution to consumers' welfare of the privatisation policy in the UK, when compared to the huge transfers involved in the process, has been rather modest.

Keywords: Privatisation, welfare measurement

JEL: L33, D6, D12

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support by the Ministry of University and Research (40% funds, Milan University, DEPA)

Address for correspondence:

Rinaldo Brau Dipartimento di Economia Università di Cagliari Viale S. Ignazio, 17 09123 Cagliari Phone: +39 070 6753315 Fax: +39 178 2243016 E-mail: brau@unica.it

Privatisations as price reforms: Evaluating consumers' welfare changes in the UK

Rinaldo Brau^{*} and Massimo Florio^{**}

May 2002

Abstract

This paper analyses the effects on consumers' welfare of the privatisation policy carried out in the UK since 1979. The approach we follow sees the privatisation of a State owned enterprise within the broader framework of the "policy reform" theory (Drèze and Stern, 1990). By adopting this perspective, the change in consumers' welfare "with" and "without" privatisations can be studied by appropriate welfare measures. We claim that an advantage of our approach is that of being able to provide the required welfare assessment in a simplified way by means of a limited set of information. In particular, we show that a series of welfare measures only based on aggregate information can be used once one becomes ready to accept the use of first and second order approximations and a few "reasonable" assumptions on the shape of demand functions. These welfare measures are subsequently used for the evaluation of the welfare effects related to price variations in seven British privatised public utilities. We conclude that the contribution to consumers' welfare of the privatisation policy in the UK, when compared to the huge transfers involved in the process, has been rather modest.

Keywords: Privatisation, Welfare measurement *JEL classification*: L33, D6, D12

1. Introduction

The privatisation policy carried out in the UK by Conservative governments during 18 years (since 1979 until 1997) has represented the largest and most famous episode of a new attitude towards the economic role of the state in the economy. Moreover, British privatisations have also anticipated by several years similar policies in other countries, so that they constitute a benchmark for other countries where the process still is in its infancy.

This justifies an analysis based on strictly economic grounds. Given the high number and the variety of the agents involved (consumers, shareholders, workers, taxpayers), the evaluation of the overall welfare impact of a large scale divestiture represents a very extensive task. This paper is part of a wider project (see Florio, 2002) aimed at attaining this goal. Our more limited objective pursued here is that of assessing the welfare effects of British privatisations on consumers.

^{*} University of Cagliari and CRENoS.

Correspondence author: Department of Economics, University of Cagliari, Viale S. Ignazio 17, 09123 Cagliari, Italy. Email: brau@unica.it

^{**} Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di Economia e Politica Aziendale. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support by the Ministry of University and Research (40% funds, Milan University, DEPA)

For this purpose, the approach we follow here is a one which sees the privatisation of a state-owned enterprise within the broader framework of the "policy reform" theory (Drèze and Stern, 1990). Mainly known in its "tax reform" version, this approach allows for a normative analysis of policy regimes changes in a second best framework in the presence of small deviations from the status quo (Dixit, 1975). Whether or not the cases under examination can be considered a "small reform" with reference to the *ex ante* and *ex post* market values can be assessed from the price and expenditure values reported on the top of table 1. In any case, a policy reform approach maintains its validity also in the case of large reforms because it only entails the knowledge of the characteristics of the economic system in the neighbourhood of the starting point (which should be known by definition).

By adopting this viewpoint, the change in consumers' welfare "with" and "without" privatisations can be studied by means of the tools of applied welfare economics. The crucial variables are the prices, quantities and some characteristics of the demand functions (once opportune definitions or assumptions about them have been done).

Indeed, when looking through the seminal contributions by Guesnerie (1977) and Ahmad and Stern (1984), the tax-policy reform theory turns out to be a "price reform" theory, that is an approach where the value judgement are contingent to the "direction" (and the "length" in the case of non marginal reforms) of the price vectors considered in the analysis. As a consequence, also the evaluation of privatisation effects on consumers welfare must be first of all based on the scrutiny of the market price behaviour.¹

However, the identification of the price variations constitutes a necessary but not a sufficient condition for a reliable welfare analysis. First, industry market prices have to be compared with the consumer price index, in order to check for increases or decreases in "real terms". Second, prices variations have to be compared with productivity changes determined by technological shocks or changes in demand conditions (so that in these cases it would be wrong to attribute to privatisation effects which would have happened even in public firms). Third, when privatisation is accompanied by a change in market structure, for example from a statutory monopoly to a system of oligopolistic competition, changes in prices could be wholly or partially attributable to increased competitive pressure and not to the change in ownership; similar considerations can be made for the particular system of public control of prices or quantities supplied, which for example may change from being a quite lax or discretionary cost-plus regime to being a stricter one (e.g. of a price cap "RPI-X" with a high "X" element). Fourth, by themselves, price and quantity variations do not capture welfare effects due to endogenous changes in the quality of the products (Newbery, 2000, discusses several examples).

¹ This approach is also implicitly taken in some empirical analyses (e.g., Waddams-Price and Hancock, 1998).

Having said this, all in all, the credibility of traditional welfare analyses is conditional on the close scrutiny of the determinants of price variations. However, once these problems are solved, we claim that the advantage of a price reform approach consists of being able to provide the required welfare assessment with a limited set of information on the characteristics of demand functions.

In fact, an unsatisfactory element regarding standard welfare analyses is that they often appear more an academic exercise, carried out with complex econometric methods and heavy investments, rather than an effective tool in the hands of the regulators or governments for promptly assessing the effects of privatisation programs which have been already carried out or simply designed. Indeed, this is in contrast with the "reform theory philosophy" and *de facto* limits the real relevance of applied welfare economics. It is an aim of this paper to foster the possibility of an easy implementation of this kind of analysis by showing that a series of "easy-to-implement" welfare measures are available once one becomes ready to accept a few "reasonable" assumptions. With the term "easy-to-implement" we mean a method which only requires the collection of information (at an aggregate level) easily accessible from the most common statistical sources, or at worse requires limited elaboration starting from them.

2. Welfare effects of price changes: some approximated indicators

For the aim of this paper, we restrict the following analysis to the welfare effects referable to the price changes which can be associated to the transfer of some production from the public to the private sector. As a consequence, henceforth the term "price change" will be referred to a hypothetical "net privatisation effect" (someway computed).

By defining these (consumption) price changes with the symbol dq, the welfare variation dW can be recovered starting from a generic Bergson-Samuelson Social Welfare Function, which arguments are the following individual (indirect) utility functions

$$\boldsymbol{v}^{h} \equiv \boldsymbol{v}^{h} \left(\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p}), \boldsymbol{y}^{h}, \mathbf{z}^{h} \right), \tag{1}$$

where the vectors \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{p} respectively refer to consumption and production prices, y^h is the exogenous personal disposable income and \mathbf{z}^h is a vector of social-demographic characteristics.

Marginal price variations

A variation of consumption prices implies a welfare variation equal to:

$$dW = \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_i} dq_i = \left(\sum_h \sum_j \frac{\partial W}{\partial v^h} \frac{\partial v^h}{\partial q_j} \frac{dq_j}{dq_i}\right) dq_i,$$

which, by exploiting Roy's identity, becomes

$$dW = \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_i} dq_i = \left(\sum_h \sum_j \frac{\partial W}{\partial v^h} \frac{\partial v^h}{\partial y^h} \frac{dq_j}{dq_i} x_j^h\right) dq_i.$$
(2)

By introducing the concept of marginal social value of household *h* income and defining it as $\beta^{h} \equiv \frac{\partial W}{\partial v^{h}} \frac{\partial v^{h}}{\partial y^{h}}, \text{ equation (2) becomes:}$

$$dW = \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_i} dq_i = \left(\sum_h \beta^h \sum_j x_j^h \frac{dq_j}{dq_i}\right) dq_i .$$
(3)

In the tax reform literature it is a customary simplification to consider irrelevant the cross demand effects. In this case the welfare variation reduces to

$$dW = \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_i} dq_i = -\sum_h \beta^h x_i^h dq_i .$$
⁽⁴⁾

Equation (4) represents a first useful formula for the case of privatisations with marginal effects on prices. From an empirical viewpoint, it is important to observe that, as long as marginal variations are considered, the assessment of the welfare effects of a price change does not need any behavioural parameter such as price or income elasticities. Remark that, in case no different welfare weights are given to households, equation (4) reduces to a Laspeyres price index

Previous two equations also clarify that, by adopting a Bergson-Samuelson Social Welfare Function, the value of welfare changes reduces to a double weighting of the price variation, where the weights consist of observable market data (the individual demand) and a value judgement (the welfare weight β^{h}).

A different way of expressing formulae (3) and (4) which is not constrained to the use of household level data, namely those referring to the individual demand of goods, relates the welfare variation to the so-called distributional characteristic (henceforth DC). By defining the latter as

$$d_i = \frac{1}{X_i} \sum_{h} \beta^h x_i^h , \qquad (5)$$

and substituting it into (4) we get:

$$dW \equiv -d_i X_i dq_i. \tag{6}$$

In principle, the value of previous expression can be immediately calculated with aggregate data only, provided that the information on the DC of good i is available. If this is not the case, a limited elaboration based on households expenditure surveys data is required.

As it is well known (e.g. Stern, 1987), the DC can be expressed in covariance form between consumption shares and welfare weights, so that equation (6) can also be written as:

$$dW = -X_i \left(\overline{\beta} + \operatorname{cov}\left(\frac{x_i^h}{\overline{x}_i}, \beta^h\right)\right) dq_i, \qquad (7)$$

where $\overline{\beta} = \sum_{h} \frac{\beta^{h}}{H}$ is the average of welfare weights over the households, which value depends on the scale adopted for the β^{h} s.

Sometimes, a DC normalised for $\overline{\beta}$ (e.g. Newbery, 1995) is used, that is:

$$\widetilde{d}_{i} = \frac{1}{X_{i}} \sum_{h} \frac{\beta^{h}}{\overline{\beta}} x_{i}^{h} .$$
(5a)

In this case the equivalent of expressions (6) and (7) are:

$$dW = -\beta d_i X_i dq_i, \qquad (6a)$$

and

$$dW = -X_i \left(1 + \operatorname{cov}\left(\frac{x_i^h}{\overline{x}_i}, \frac{\beta^h}{\overline{\beta}}\right) \right) dq_i \,.$$
(7a)

The interpretation of (7) and (7a) is quite straightforward: the larger the share of a good consumed by the poorest households (those with a high β^{h} associated to them) the larger is the welfare loss.

When specific DC are to be calculated, the specification of the social weights β^{h} is required. As it is well-known, the most used parameterisation is derived from the following additive social welfare function of iso-elastic utility functions, originally proposed by Atkinson (1970):

$$W = \sum_{h=1}^{H} k \frac{\left(E^{h}\right)^{1-e}}{1-e}, \quad \text{for } e \neq 1$$

$$= \sum_{h=1}^{H} k \ln E^{h}, \quad \text{for } e = 1$$
(8)

where E^{h} is the personal expenditure by individual or household *h*. The parameter *k* is usually chosen in order to take into account the number of equivalent adults within each household or in order to assign a weight equal to 1 to the individual with the lowest expenditure or the average expenditure (which yields respectively weights of the form $\beta^{h} = (E^{h}/E^{1})^{-e}$ and $\beta^{h} = (E^{h}/\overline{E})^{-e}$). The choice of *e* determines the

degree of "inequality aversion". It is customary to undertake some sensitivity analysis by considering values ranging from 0 (the Benthamian case) to 5 (very high inequality aversion).

A reference value is often represented by e=1, which involves the value judgment that a marginal transfer to someone at half the expenditure level of another has a social value of twice that of the reference person. By setting k=1/H, in this case we obtain $\beta^{h} = 1/E^{h}$ and $\overline{\beta} = 1/\text{HM}(E^{h})$, where $\text{HM}(E^{h})$ is the harmonic mean of individual consumption. Hence, the expression (7a) reduces to:

$$dW = -X_i \left(\mathrm{HM}\left(E_i^h\right) \frac{\overline{W}_i}{\overline{E}_i} \right) dq_i \quad , \tag{9}$$

where \overline{w}_i is the average budget share on good *i*.

By setting $\overline{\beta} = 1$, that is $\beta^{h} = HM(E^{h})/E^{h}$, previous equation can be additionally simplified to

$$dW = -X_i \left(\frac{\overline{w}_i}{\overline{E}_i}\right) dq_i \tag{9a}$$

As a general comment, it must be pointed out that these "socially weighted measures" do not account for one of the most elusive effects to capture in the transition from public to private firm, that is the change in the regime of price discrimination.²

Large price variations

For the case of large price variations, we make an option for the use of second order approximations,³ which can refer both to money metric measures (Harberger, 1964) and more general welfare measures allowing for distributional considerations (Banks, Blundell and Lewbel, 1996).

Unlike the case of the evaluation of small reforms, the assessment of large price variations usually requires information about individual demand elasticities. For example, the second order welfare approximation proposed by Banks *et al.* (1996) takes the form (with redundant notation of the elasticities required for its implementation):

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta q_i} \approx -\sum_h \beta^h x_i^h \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta q_i}{q_i} \left(\frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial q_i} \frac{q_i}{x_i^h} + \frac{\partial \beta^h}{\partial q_i} \frac{q_i}{\beta^h} \right) \right], \tag{10}$$

 $^{^{2}}$ In the case of multi-product firms, sometimes both public and private firms are capable of charging different prices to different consumers for the same product. In turn, the different types of consumers could have different functions of demand, so that variation in welfare due to the change in regime would need to be ascertained for the different types of consumers.

³ The typical advantage of welfare approximate measures consists of their reduced informational requirements, as compared to the computation of "exact" measures based on the estimation of household expenditure functions. In Starrett words: "...the method gives up on collecting hypothetical information concerning demand conditions in unobserved parts of the economic environment and instead extrapolates to those areas using curvatures at the status quo" (Starrett ,1988, p. 246). In addition, exact welfare measures suffer major problems in aggregation (Auerbach, 1985).

that is:

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta q_i} \approx -\sum_h \beta^h \left[1 + \frac{\Delta q_i}{2} \left(\beta^h \frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial q_i} + x_i^h \frac{\partial \beta^h}{\partial q_i} \right) \right], \tag{10a}$$

whilst the equivalent money metric approximation by Harberger (1964) is:

$$\frac{\Delta X_i}{\Delta q_i} \approx -\sum_h x_i^{hc} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta q_i}{q_i} \left(\frac{\partial x_i^{hc}}{\partial q_i} \frac{q_i}{x_i^{hc}} \right) \right],\tag{11}$$

where the "c" stands for "compensated".

Reliable estimation of individual elasticities requires the availability of microdata of adequate quality and the imposition of some identifying assumptions.⁴ For the case in which these two conditions cannot be ensured by the analyst, a few additional restrictions or approximations are to be adopted in order to allow for an evaluation based on aggregated data (or, at least, elasticities) only.

The simplest solution for avoiding the use of household level information is to give up assigning different welfare weights in the equation (10) above. As a matter of fact, if we impose $\beta^{h} = 1$ for all h, we get:

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta q_i} \approx -\left[\sum_h x_i^h + \frac{\Delta q_i}{2} \sum_h \frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial q_i}\right],$$

from which:

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta q_i} \approx -X_i \left[1 + \frac{\Delta q_i}{2q_i} \eta_{X_i, q_i} \right].$$
(12)

Hence, a second order approximation which keeps apart distributional concerns only requires information about the aggregate demand and demand elasticity.

The results are slightly more complex in the general case $\beta^{h} \neq 1$, for some *h*. Let us first rewrite equation (10) as

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta q_i} \approx -X_i \left[\sum_h \frac{\beta^h x_i^h}{X_i} + \frac{\Delta q_i}{2q_i} \eta_{X_i, q_i} \frac{1}{H} \sum_h \left(\beta^h \frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial q_i} \middle/ \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_i} \right) \right], \quad (13)$$

that is

⁴ With H individuals, the estimation of H parameters would of course be impossible. In order to overcome this problem, somewhat *ad hoc* (identifying) hypotheses must be done about the constancy among the individuals of some values. For example, in the well known case of the AIDS model by Deaton and Muellbauer (1980), the expenditure elasticities are recovered through

the assumption that:
$$\frac{\partial w_i^h}{\partial \ln(x^h/P)} = \beta_i$$
 for $h=1, ..., H$.

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta q_i} \approx -X_i \left[\sum_{h} d_i + \frac{\Delta q_i}{2q_i} \eta_{X_i, q_i} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h} \left(\beta^h \frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial q_i} / \frac{\overline{\partial x_i}}{\partial q_i} \right) \right],$$

where an additional approximation has been done by assuming the price invariance of welfare weights.⁵ By using the definition of distributional characteristic in (5) and exploiting again the definition of covariance we have:

$$\frac{1}{H} \sum_{h} \left(\beta^{h} \frac{\partial x_{i}^{h}}{\partial q_{i}} \middle/ \frac{\overline{\partial x_{i}}}{\partial q_{i}} \right) = \overline{\beta} + b, \qquad (14)$$

where d_i is the distributive characteristics, η_{X_i,q_i} is the aggregate price elasticity, and $b = \operatorname{cov}\left(\left(\frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial q_i} / \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_i}\right), \beta^h\right).$

Hence, by substituting (14) into (13) we finally get:

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta q_i} = -X_i \left[d_i + \left(\overline{\beta} + b \right) \frac{\Delta q_i}{2q_i} \eta_{X_i, q_i} \right].$$
(15)

In the case the use of \tilde{d}_i is preferred, it easy to verify that the equivalent to equation (15) is:

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta q_i} = -X_i \overline{\beta} \left[\widetilde{d}_i + \left(1 + \widetilde{b}\right) \frac{\Delta q_i}{2q_i} \eta_{X_i, q_i} \right]$$
(15a)

where $\widetilde{b} = \operatorname{cov}\left(\left(\frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial q_i} \middle/ \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_i}\right), \frac{\beta^h}{\overline{\beta}}\right).^6$

Hence, the estimation of a second order welfare approximation based on aggregated data, again, requires some information about the DC associated to the good which provision is being privatised. In addition, an estimate of the aggregate demand elasticity to price is required. The importance of this behavioural parameter is enhanced or tempered by the presence of a second distributive parameter (*b*), which takes smaller values when the demand by the poorest is more rigid.⁷

⁵ This actually is an usual assumption in the applied welfare analyses, although theoretically weak (e.g., Roberts, 1980; Banks *et al.*, 1996). For an empirical study in which welfare weights price elasticity is taken into account see Ray (1999).

⁶ Equation (15) and (15a) become equal when scaling welfare weights so that $\overline{\beta} = 1$.

⁷ With additive utility functions this is always the case for "necessities", due to the proportionality between price and expenditure elasticity implied by this functional assumption (cf. Deaton, 1974; Deaton and Muellbauer, 1980a, ch. 5).

Unfortunately, differently from the other parameters, the covariances b and \tilde{b} can be computed only after having estimated the individual demand derivatives, which requires the use of microeconometric estimation techniques. As an alternative, one can make use of functional form assumptions. For example, in the case of linear Engel curves, expression (15) clearly simplifies to

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta q_i} = -X_i \left[d_i + \overline{\beta} \frac{\Delta q_i}{2q_i} \eta_{X_i, q_i} \right]$$
(16)

given that we have b=0. The parameter b is also equal to 0 when the individual demand functions are linear, an hypothesis which can be seen as a good approximation of the real shape of the demand function in a neighbourhood of the starting point.⁸

Second order approximations in the case of Engel curves linear or quadratic in the logarithm of total expenditure.

Besides this limited case, it is of interest to infer at least what the sign of *b* could be. For this purpose, we can base our subsequent analysis on a much more accepted (in empirical microeconomics) Engel curve structure such as the Working-Leser one, which is implied by the well known "TRANSLOG" and "AIDS" demand systems, and its quadratic generalisations, such as the "QUAIDS" demand model by Banks, Blundell and Lewbel (1997).

In these models, the individual price derivatives are inferred from the estimation of the budget shares logprice derivatives, which we define as:

$$\frac{dw_i^h}{d\ln q_i} \equiv c_i^h$$

As an example, let us consider the case of the AIDS model:

$$w_i = \alpha_i + \sum_j \gamma_{ij} \ln q_j + \delta_i \ln \left[\frac{E^h}{a(\mathbf{Q})} \right], \qquad (17)$$

where w_i is the individual budget share and $a(\mathbf{Q})$ is defined by the Translog price index formula:

$$\ln a(\mathbf{Q}) = \alpha_0 + \sum_i \alpha_i \ln q_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \gamma_{ij} \ln q_i \ln q_j.$$

In this case it is simple to show that:

$$c_i^h = \gamma_i - \delta_i \frac{d \ln a(\mathbf{Q})}{d \ln q_i}$$
(18)

More in general, it can be easily shown that the own-price elasticity in these models is equal to:

⁸ Hence, this hypothesis is typically reliable only in case of small price reforms.

$$\eta_{x_i^h, q_i} = \frac{1}{w_i^h} c_i^h - 1.$$
(19)

From the previous formula, depending from c_i^h being smaller (larger) than 0, the demand for good *i* will be "elastic" ("rigid"). However, it must be remarked that, being c_i^h variable across the individuals, some intervals of the estimated parameters theoretically allow for the demand of a good to be elastic for some individuals and inelastic for others.

Actually, the elasticities' formula can tell us more. In particular, we can also recover the size of the individual demand derivatives. In fact, rearranging, we can write:

$$c_i^h = w_i^h \left(1 + \eta_{x_i^h, q_i} \right),$$

from which, after a some algebra,

$$\frac{dx_i^h}{dq_i} = \left(c_i^h - w_i^h\right) \frac{E^h}{q_i^2}$$

that is:

$$\frac{dx_i^h}{dq_i} = c_i^h \frac{E^h}{q_i^2} - \frac{x_i^h}{q_i}.$$
(20)

By itself, previous formula cannot help us so much given that an econometric estimation of c_i^h is required for its implementation. However, it is interesting to see which are its implications when setting e=1 for the second order approximated welfare measures when combined with the Engel curve functional form assumptions which lead to equation (20).

As it was seen in the previous section, in this case the social weights can be set equal to $\beta^{h} = 1/E^{h}$. Let us first note that the covariance term \tilde{b} in equation (15a) can be rewritten as:

$$\widetilde{b} = \frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{\overline{\partial x_i^h}}{\partial q_i} \right)^{-1} \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial q_i} \frac{\beta^h}{\overline{\beta}} - 1.$$

The application of the relationships between the parameters defined by equation (20) yields:

⁹ When $c_i^h < 0$, for all *h*, i.e. the demand is elastic for each individual, both terms on the right hand side of this equation are negative. This implies (provided that *i* is not an inferior good), that the individual demand responses become higher in absolute terms as the individual expenditure increases. As a consequence, the distribution of these price demand responses is negatively correlated to the distribution of the social weights (which are a negative function of E^h). Hence, for the linear- and quadratic-inlogs family of Engel curves, the term *b* in the equation (15) is negative (positive) if the demand for the good to which the price reform is referred is elastic (inelastic). Even without knowing the size of *b*, we can therefore conclude that equation (16) represents an upper (lower) bound of a second order welfare approximation if the individual demand of the good under scrutiny are elastic (inelastic).

$$\widetilde{b} = \frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial q_i} \right)^{-1} \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{1}{q_i^2} \left(c_i^h - w_i^h \right) E^h \frac{\beta^h}{\overline{\beta}} - 1.$$

Without lack of generality we can set $\overline{\beta} = 1$.¹⁰ By substituting the $\beta^{h} = 1/E^{h}$ into the previous equation we therefore get,

$$\widetilde{b} = \frac{1}{q_i^2} \left(\frac{\overline{\partial x_i^h}}{\partial q_i} \right)^{-1} \left(\overline{c}_i - \overline{w}_i \right) - 1.$$
(20a)

We leave to the appendix to show that previous formula simplifies to:

$$\widetilde{b} = \widetilde{d}_i - 1 \tag{21}$$

Hence, it immediately follows that equations (15) and (15a) reduce to:

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta q_i} = -\widetilde{d}_i X_i \left[1 + \frac{\Delta q_i}{2q_i} \eta_{X_i, q_i} \right], \tag{22}$$

that is to an expression which is a function of the DC and aggregate demand price elasticity.

Overall, general expressions such as (13) or more specific ones based on functional assumption such as (22) show us that the value of a second order approximation is always smaller than a first order one. In fact, second order approximations depict some efficiency effects by means of the aggregated elasticity parameter, which usually is negative. As it was remarked above, the proximity to the first order approximation is partially restored when the demand for the good is elastic (being the covariance *b* negative).

To summarise, in this section we showed how and under what conditions the estimation of the effects on consumers' welfare of the price changes referable to the privatisation of some previously publicly provided goods can be based on aggregated data. In the next section, we briefly report on the British privatisation policies which welfare effects we would like to estimate.

3. Price trends in the British privatised industries

The privatised industries which we examine in this paper are the following ones: Telecommunications, Railways, Bus services, Electricity, Gas, Water and Coal. All of them were privatised by the British

¹⁰ In this case we also have $d_i = \tilde{d}_i$

Conservative Governments in a period ranging from the early Eighties to mid Nineties (the reference years for each privatisation are reported on the top of table 1).

This large spread among the changes of ownership dates has of course entailed a certain degree of heterogeneity in the forms of public divestitures which were chosen and in the following regulatory initiatives adopted. However, on the whole the common feeling has been that of a unique policy ("privatisation") carried out in successive steps. We are also taking this perspective as well, but the limits of this assumption should be borne in mind.

A case which is particularly affected by this lack of synchrony is represented by the analysis of the price trends in the various industries after privatisation. In fact, while for some sectors such as telecommunications and gas industry we dispose of about 15 yearly observations since their privatisations, for the rail and coal industries the "after privatisation" period reduces to 4 years only.¹¹ As a consequence, while for some cases a clear-cut judgement could be reliably expressed (e.g., "telephony prices have decreased", or "water is more expensive than it was before privatisation"), for some other sectors a definite trend has not emerged yet.

While referring the reader to Florio (2002, ch. 7) for a more detailed description of the evolution of the prices and of the institutional aspects, such us the price cap systems adopted by the sectors' regulators, here we briefly focus on a point particularly important when analysing privatisation policies as "price reforms", i.e. the fact that trends in nominal prices "before" and "after" privatisations in the UK does not show a clear structural break, at least until the end of the "Conservative era".

More in detail, in the case of electricity -- which privatisation started in 1990,¹² -- prices had been falling for over a decade under public ownership and they increased in preparation for privatisation and in the years that followed, especially prices for the residential users. Subsequently they started falling again in a way not too different from the long term trend up to 1995, date which seems to mark the starting point of a more clear decreasing trend (of course still in its infancy).

In the case of gas there was a net drop in prices after privatisation in 1986, but prices had been falling with respect to the Retail Price Index also in the Seventies when British Gas was a nationalized industry. Conversely, a relative increase was again registered by considering the 5 years preceding the privatisation

¹¹ Being 1999 the last year for which adequate information has been made available.

¹² In that year the twelve Regional Electricity Companies in England and Wales were privatised, followed by the two Scottish companies in 1991.

of British Gas. As in the case of electricity, an apparent stronger decreasing trend has started since the mid Nineties.

The privatisation of British Telecom dates back to 1984. Although the construction of a price index for this industry represents a very difficult task (due to the variety of contracts, the changes in the pricing methods and the difficulty in obtaining disaggregated data for each category of user), the existing aggregate estimates suggest that after privatisation there has been a reduction in the unit cost for business users and, for a number of years, an increase in the unit cost for domestic and public phone users. More recently (and a couple of years before the aforementioned cases), a generalised reduction in tariffs has been taking place, following a change in the regulatory constraint and increased competition.¹³

No clear trend emerges also for those services which have registered relative price increases since privatisation. In the case of water, the tariffs rose considerably after privatisation, but the lack of adequate previous information does not allow us to control for the behaviour of the prices under the public ownership. Also in the case of buses and rail the price of the service increased after privatisation, but the same had happened before.

4. Empirical analysis.

In this section we present a some preliminary estimates of the effects of the British privatisation policy on consumers' welfare. These estimates were carried out by applying a number of the measures introduced in section 2. As a matter of fact, although the analyst's preference should be given to the second order welfare change approximations, at least in the presence of adequate information, those measures mainly suited to marginal changes still remain useful for comparison purposes and evaluating the likely magnitude of the errors associated to first order approximations.

The first data from which to start is the direction of the market price changes. The time series by ONS (various editions) display a dichotomous behaviour, with a relative reduction for telephony, electricity and gas and an increase for water and transport. The size of these variations and the overall families' expenditure in the related sectors is, however, not homogenous. Our broad calculations suggest a diminution of 16% of the prices of the privatised sector as compared to the path of the Retail Price Index (RPI) since 1987 to 1999.¹⁴ To set 1987 as the starting year for evaluating the price effects of the British privatisation policy as a whole is, of course, an ad hoc hypothesis.

¹³ For more details see Florio (2001).

¹⁴ We obtained this value by building an aggregate Laspeyres index of the privatised sector centered on 1987 by using the industry-level ONS time series.

The same applies for those assumptions which define the share of the price variation actually attributable to the change of ownership. The determination of this percentage always is a difficult task (which should be handled by drawing a counterfactual scenario) and becomes particularly critical when looking to privatisation as a price reform. As a starting point, one could argue that a benchmark hypothesis about privatisation effects on price changes lies between either assuming that privatisations were responsible for all price changes or that continuation of public ownership would have generated exactly the same price changes as those observed.

In the estimates we are presenting in the next subsection, we substantially escape this problem by considering the most favourable scenario about privatisation effects, that is by attributing to the change of ownership regime the whole deviation of the prices of the privatised industries with respect to the RPI.¹⁵ In this sense, the following results constitute an upper bound to any positive or negative welfare effects.

The results of the analysis carried out at the individual industry level are presented in tables 1 and 2. The first part of these tables contains the information used for the implementation of the various measures reported therein. In particular, the privatisation year¹⁶ has been used for determining the "price reform" interval. Having taken into consideration 1999 as the final year of our analysis, for each commodity the welfare variations have been calculated in one case (table 1) as the effects of a unique price reform which size is given by the difference between the percentage variation of the related prices index and the overall RPI in the interval "privatisation year—1999", and as the sum of yearly price reforms in the other case (table 2).

The second order measures need to compute the aggregate demand price elasticities. For the aims of this paper, we have limited our choice to the values reported in Florio (2002).¹⁷

As for the distributional characteristics reported on the top of the tables which were used in the implementation of the various formulae, we calculated them by using the 1994 edition of the Family Expenditure Survey.¹⁸ Following the more standard approach, the social weights have been derived by

¹⁵ Of course, this is true since, on the whole, we are evaluating a price decrease.

¹⁶ For those cases in which the privatisation has been carried out in subsequent steps, a median year has been considered.

¹⁷ In general, the specialised economic literature offers several studies on aggregate demand to which the analyst can refer without undertaking new specific estimations. We plan to refer to this literature in future research.

¹⁸ As we said in the introduction, this paper aims to promote the adoption of "easier" approaches in applied welfare analyses in which, in principle, only the use of aggregated data should be required. Under this perspective, also well-defined distributional value judgements (such as DC) on the consumption of single commodities could be considered as an aggregate information. By making direct use of a micro-level dataset we are someway weakening the consistency of our approach, but the supply of tabulated DC (which would not constitute a difficult task!) is not at present foreseen in the national household expenditures reports.

using an Atkinson's Social Welfare Function like that in (8) and scaled so that to set their mean $\overline{\beta}$ equal to 1, which makes the implementation of formulae (15) easier. The total household expenditure data which is needed for the computation of the DC has been expressed in terms of equivalent adult. The OECD equivalence scales were used for this purpose.¹⁹ The DC used in the tables are only those corresponding to a "coefficient of inequality aversion" equal to one.

As said when we derived equation (15-15a), the only very micro level data which we would need when implementing a second order welfare approximation are the covariance "b" and " \tilde{b} ". In order to overcome this problem, a Working-Leser (or its quadratic extension) functional form assumption has been done with respect to the latent Engel curves of the observed demands so that, having set to 1 the coefficient of inequality aversion, equation (22) could be used when computing the second order welfare approximation.

As for the sector expenditures, we have used "median year expenditures" (between privatisation and 1999) for the calculations reported in table 1, when we considered price variations as a "one shot" change; and annual expenditures when computing the welfare effects as a sum of yearly changes. In order to keep a direct "monetary" interpretation of the welfare measures, we have scaled price indexes so to set the price of the reference year equal to 1. This allows us to consider the recorded expenditures as if they were "quantities" and directly apply the approximated measures (which are usually based on quantity indexes). All the welfare changes are expressed at 1994 prices.²⁰ The values reported in these tables are millions of pounds so that, in principle, they could allow for an immediate cost-benefit analysis of the other net gains or losses by other agents involved in British privatisations.

As reported in the left columns of the tables, we have computed both first and second order welfare change approximations, whether without or with distributive corrections. The "socially unweighted" first order measure is a Laspeyres Index in case of table 2 (welfare variations as a sum of yearly changes). In case of table 1 (price variations considered as a one shot change) we have used a modified version of the Waddams Price-Hancock (1998, appendix B) welfare measure, where expenditures and prices are those of the median year between privatisation and 1999. The second order measures do correspond to formulae (16) and (22).

Let us finally turn to the results. Due to the dissimilarity in the direction of the price changes, consumers had both losses and gains. In both tables, the larger gain is clearly referable to telephony, surely

¹⁹ According to these scales, the first adult counts as 1, additional adults as 0.7 and children as 0.5.

²⁰ Similarly to the computation of DC, we chose 1994 as a reference year given that, between the various industries, it represents the "median of the median years between the privatisation year and 1999".

determined by the relative importance of this service within the privatised basket, the length of the "post reform" period (privatisation of BT occurred in 1984), but also by the substantial price decrease recorded since its privatisation. The second privatised industry which has substantially contributed to the increase of consumers' welfare has been the gas one (which privatisation dates 1986). By looking to the yearly welfare variations (not reported in this paper) one would observe that the importance of the benefits deriving from this sector has been decreasing in the recent years, as a result of its reduced weight in consumers' expenditures. This is the opposite of what has happened with respect to the water sector, which presently represents the larger source of losses for British consumers among the privatised utilities.

Besides being an important part of the consumers' privatised good basket, this sector exhibit price variations of more than 30% in absolute terms. In these cases it becomes particularly important to focus on second order measures, given the high monetary values which can be involved, and check for the different implications of the procedures which respectively lead to table 1 and table 2 results. In table 1, the difference between first and second order approximations can reach values close to 15% (e.g., see the row reporting the "first order error" between the first and second order "socially weighted" measures, which indicate underestimates of the welfare gains of about 11% for the telephone industry and an overestimate of about 14% for the water sector).²¹ Conversely, in table 2 the first order error is usually less than 3%. If no reliable aggregate demand elasticities are available, this clearly advocates for a computation of the welfare effects on a yearly price variation base, which reduces the overall result to a sum of "small price reforms".

The use of the simpler procedure adopted in the case of table 1 also has some consequences in the determination of the size of the welfare change. When an "older" privatised industry is considered, the use of the median year as unique base for the computation leads to strong underestimates of the welfare effect (this is the case of telephony). On the contrary, we incur in a likely overestimate when a large price variation occurs in a small interval (as in the water case).

As for the distributional corrections,²² in general their size is substantially determined by the value of the DC also in the case of the second order approximation.²³ Moreover, in the exercise reported in these tables even the slight differences existing in the general case disappear due to effects of the functional form hypothesis (linear or quadratic-in logs "latent" Engel curves of the goods under examination) which was used in order to obtain the simplified formula (22).

²¹ It can be easily seen that this percentage value is nearly independent of the size of the price variation.

²² These corrections do not include the impact of rebalancing of tariffs within each industry and by different types of consumers.

²³ This is immediate when looking how the DC enters equation (15). For first order approximation this relationship is trivial.

The last column of the tables provides an indicator of the aggregate effects on consumer welfare. As for the differences between first and second order measures, the aggregation seems to exacerbate the first order error in both cases, but the effects are particularly severe in the first case (28.7%). When summing over yearly variation, the difference is limited to 3.4%.²⁴ Let us finally note that the procedure adopted in table 2 leads to a definitely more positive evaluation about the overall gross impact of the privatisation policy.

5. Conclusions

This paper has investigated the possibilities of applying a (price) reform approach to the analysis of the effects of the British privatisation policy on consumers' welfare. To this aim, a series of first order and second order approximated measures has been discussed and introduced, fostering the use of distributional characteristics as an aggregate indicator of some distributional implications of policy reforms.

The implementation of the proposed measures has revealed the presence of relevant effects at the single industry level, although with a heterogeneous behaviour. At an aggregate level the effects partially compensate each other. Considering that we have attributed to privatisations the whole price chance, and that a much less favourable scenario about privatisation effects on prices could have been adopted, particularly under a counterfactual of continued nationalised industry, we conclude that the overall contribution to British consumers' welfare of the privatisation policy has been rather modest, if compared to the huge monetary values involved in the process. The range of gross welfare impacts we find is between £ 2.8 billions with socially weighted first order approximation for a "median year" change, and £ 5.7 billions for unweighted second order approximation as a sum of yearly variations. This is equivalent to 50-100 pounds per capita. The net impact, we may conjecture, was less than this because it is unlikely that the nationalised industry were totally unable to pass to consumers exogenous savings in costs (e.g., decreasing cost in telecommunications and in fuel prices).

Of course, what is lacking at this stage of our investigation is just the construction of a plausible counterfactual scenarios regarding price evolution under continued public ownership. Once these scenarios are somewhat determined (for example looking at cost trends and determinants), the researcher (or the regulator) can easily go through welfare computations. For this purpose, a series of "ready-to-

 $^{^{24}}$ Remember that, to this difference, one should add the likely difference existing between approximated and "exact" measures. In the well-known Banks *et al.* (1996) exercise, the difference between second order approximations and exact measures (in the form of a Quadratic Almost Ideal Demand System expenditure function), amounted only to 0.3%.

implement" formulae are available also for large "price reforms", and they do not need the estimation of a micro-level demand system, once one is ready to give up determining an "exact measure" of the welfare change. Besides, at an aggregate level, even a first order approximation (which does not take into account the curvatures of aggregate demands) seem to provide a quite accurate indication of the overall welfare effects if one considers the whole "reform" as a sum of small yearly changes.

References

- Ahmad, E. and N. Stern, (1984), "The Theory of Reform and Indian Indirect Taxes,", *Journal of Public Economics*, 25:3, pp. 259-298.
- Atkinson, A. B. (1970), "On Measurement of Inequality," Journal of Economic Theory, 2:3, 244-263.
- Auerbach, A.J. (1985), "The theory of excess burden and optimal taxation", in Auerbach, A. and M. Feldstein, (eds), *Handbook of Public Economics*, North Holland, Amsterdam.
- Banks, J., R. Blundell and A. Lewbel (1996), "Tax Reform and Welfare Measurement: Do We Need Demand System Estimation?", *Economic Journal*, 106, 1227-1241.
- Banks, J., R. Blundell and A. Lewbel (1997), "Quadratic Engel curves, indirect tax reform and welfare measurement", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 79, 527-539.
- Deaton, A. (1974), "A reconsideration of the empirical implications of additive preferences", *Economic Journal*, 84, 338-345.
- Deaton, A. and J. Muellbauer (1980a), *Economics and Consumer Behaviour*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Deaton, A. and J. Muellbauer (1980b), "An almost ideal demand system", *American Economic Review*, 70, 312-326.
- Dixit, A. (1975), "Welfare effects of tax and price changes", Journal of Public Economics, 4, 103-123.
- Drèze, J.P. and N. Stern (1990), "Policy reform, shadow prices, and market prices", *Journal of Public Economics*, 42, 1-45.
- Florio, M. (2001), The welfare impact of a privatisation: the British Telecom case-history, Department of Economics and Business working paper, No. 01.08, University of Milan.
- Florio, M. (2002), The Great Divestiture: Evaluating The Welfare Impact of British privatisations 1979-1997, mimeo, Milan.
- Guesnerie, R. (1977), "On the Direction of Tax Reform," Journal of Public Economics, 7, 179-202.
- Harberger, A. (1964), "Taxation, resource allocation and welfare, in *The role of direct and in direct taxes in the Federal Riserve System*, Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Newbery, D.M.G. (1995), "The distributional impact of price changes in Hungary and in the United Kingdom", *Economic Journal*, 105, 847-863.
- Newbery, D.M.G. (2000), *Privatisations, restructuring and regulation of network utilities,* The Walras-Pareto Lectures, London, The MIT Press.
- Ray, R. (1999), "Marginal and non-marginal commodity tax reforms with rank two and rank three demographic demand systems", *Oxford Economic Papers*, 51, 689-712.
- Roberts, K. (1980), "Price-independent welfare prescriptions", Journal of Public Economics, 13, 277-298.
- Starrett, D.A. (1988), Foundations of public economics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Stern, N.H. (1987), "Aspects of the General Theory of Tax Reform," in Newbery, D.M.G. e N.H. Stern (eds.), *The Theory of Taxation for Developing Countries*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Waddams Price, C., and R. Hancock (1998), "Distributional Effects of Liberalising UK Residential Utility Markets", *Fiscal Studies*, 18, 295-319.

Appendix:

In this appendix we must show that

$$\widetilde{b} = \frac{1}{q_i^2} \left(\frac{\overline{\partial x_i^h}}{\partial q_i} \right)^{-1} \left(\overline{c}_i - \overline{w}_i \right) = \widetilde{d}_i - 1 \,. \tag{A.1}$$

From equation (19), remember that the following relationship holds:

$$\overline{\eta}_{x_i^h,q_i} = \frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial q_i} \frac{q_i}{\overline{x}_i} = \frac{1}{\overline{w}_i} \overline{c}_i - 1,$$

from which:

$$\frac{\overline{\partial x_i^h}}{\partial q_i} \frac{q_i}{\overline{x}_i} = \frac{\left(\overline{c}_i - \overline{w}_i\right)}{\overline{w}_i}.$$
(A.2)

Solving by $(\overline{c}_i - \overline{w}_i)$ and substituting into the covariance expression we therefore get:

$$\widetilde{b} = \frac{1}{q_i^2} \left(\frac{\overline{\partial x_i^h}}{\partial q_i} \right)^{-1} \frac{\overline{\partial x_i^h}}{\partial q_i} \frac{q_i}{\overline{x}_i} \overline{w}_i - 1,$$

from which

$$\widetilde{b} = \frac{1}{\overline{x}_i H} \sum_h \frac{x_i^h}{E^h} - 1,$$

which by using the value $\beta^{h} = 1/E^{h}$ deriving from the hypothesis e=1 allows us to write:

$$\widetilde{b} = \frac{1}{X_i} \sum_{h} x_i^h \beta^h - 1, \qquad (A.3)$$

that is, remembering the definition of distributive characteristic,

$$\widetilde{b} = d_i - 1. \tag{A.4}$$

Table 1: Welfare variations (in millions of pounds) for each privatised industry (computed at "median years" expenditures).

			Privatised	utilities				
	Phone	Rail	Bus	Electricity	Gas	Water	Coal	TOTAL
Privatisation year	1984	1995	1989	1990	1986	1990	1995	
Median year	1991	1997	1994	1994	1992	1994	1997	
E*	6842			8082	5684		499	
P*	0.88				0.85			
P1	0.98					1.14	0.85	
P2	0.6				0.71	1.7	0.8	
$\frac{\eta_{\vec{x}_{i},q_{i}}}{\vec{d}_{i}}$	0.6				0.7	0.5	0.2	
\widetilde{d}_{i}	0.875	0.573	0.756	0.893	0.9	0.938	0.992	
Welfare measures								
First order approximations								
<i>"Modified WP-H"</i> : M= E* (p1 – p2)/p*	2779.243	-113.960	-417.030	1360.337	1815.768	-2225.584	22.609	3221.382
"Socially weighted": $dW \equiv -d_i X_i dq_i$	2431.837	-65.299	-315.274	1214.781	1634.191	-2087.598	22.428	2835.065
Distributive correction	-12.50%	-42.70%	-24.40%	-10.70%	-10.00%	-6.20%	-0.80%	-11.99%
Second order approximations								
"Unweighted" : $\Delta W \approx -X_i \left[1 + \frac{\Delta q_i}{2 q_i} \eta_{X_i, q_i} \right] \Delta q_i$								
	3092.939	-112.155	-389.159	1417.579	2010.828	-1917.087	22.721	4125.666
"Socially weighted" (Linear or quadratic-in-log								
$\Delta W = -\widetilde{d}_i X_i \left[1 + \frac{\Delta q_i}{2q_i} \eta_{X_i, q_i} \right] \Delta q_i$	2706.322	-64.265	-294.204	1265.898	1809.745	-1798.228	22.539	3647.807
First order "error"		-1.58%	-6.68%	4.21%	10.74%	-13.86%	0.50%	28.67%

Note: The symbol * refers to median year values.

Table 2: Welfare variations (in millions of pounds) for each privatised industry (computed as a sum of yearly variations).

			Pri	/atisec	l utilities					
	Phone	Rail	Bus	;	Electricity	Gas		Water	Coal	TOTAL
Privatisation year	1984	19	95	1989	1990	19	986	1990	1995	
Median year	1991	19	97	1994	1994	19	992	1994	1997	
η_{x_i,q_i}	0.6	(.8	0.9	0.5		0.7	0.5	0.2	
\bar{d}_i	0.875	0.5	73	0.756	0.893		0.9	0.938	0.992	

Welfare measures

First order approximations

"Laspeyres index": M= X1(p1 – p2)	4211.055	-132.640	-411.214	1438.416	2072.856	-1701.746	33.601	5510.329
"Socially weighted": $dW \equiv -d_i X_i dq_i$	3684.673	-76.003	-310.878	1284.506	1865.570	-1596.238	33.332	4884.963
Distributive correction	-12.50%	-42.70%	-24.40%	-10.70%	-10.00%	-6.20%	-0.80%	-11.35%

Second order approximations

"Unweighted"

$\Delta W \approx -X_{i} \left[1 + \frac{\Delta q_{i}}{2 q_{i}} \eta_{X_{i},q_{i}} \right] \Delta q_{i}$			-397.713	1469.587	2111.365	-1675.905	33.674	5697.508
"Socially weighted" (Linear or quadratic-in-logs	s Engel curv	res)						
$\Delta W = -\tilde{d}_i X_i \left[1 + \frac{\Delta q_i}{2q_i} \eta_{X_i,q_i} \right] \Delta q_i$ First order "error"	3754.030 <i>1.88%</i>	-76.679 0.89%	-300.671 -3.28%	1312.341 2.17%	1900.229 <i>1.86%</i>	-1571.999 <i>-1.52%</i>	33.405 0.22%	5050.655 3.39%

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Papers Series Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: Server WWW: WWW.FEEM.IT

Anonymous FTP: FTP.FEEM.IT

http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

SUST	1.2001	Inge MAYERES and Stef PROOST: Should Diesel Cars in Europe be Discouraged?
SUST	2.2001	Paola DORIA and Davide PETTENELLA: The Decision Making Process in Defining and Protecting Critical
		Natural Capital
CLIM	3.2001	Alberto PENCH: Green Tax Reforms in a Computable General Equilibrium Model for Italy
CLIM	4.2001	Maurizio BUSSOLO and Dino PINELLI: Green Taxes: Environment, Employment and Growth
CLIM	5.2001	Marco STAMPINI: Tax Reforms and Environmental Policies for Italy
ETA	6.2001	Walid OUESLATI: Environmental Fiscal Policy in an Endogenous Growth Model with Human Capital
CLIM	7.2001	Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Commitment and Emission Trading: a
		European Union Perspective
MGMT	8.2001	Brian SLACK (xlv): Globalisation in Maritime Transportation: Competition, uncertainty and implications for
		port development strategy
VOL	9.2001	Giulia PESARO: Environmental Voluntary Agreements: A New Model of Co-operation Between Public and
		Economic Actors
VOL	10.2001	Cathrine HAGEM: Climate Policy, Asymmetric Information and Firm Survival
ETA	11.2001	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: A Sequential Approach to the Characteristic Function and the Core in
		Games with Externalities
ETA	12.2001	Gaetano BLOISE, Sergio CURRARINI and Nicholas KIKIDIS: Inflation and Welfare in an OLG Economy with
		<u>a Privately Provided Public Good</u>
KNOW	13.2001	Paolo SURICO: Globalisation and Trade: A "New Economic Geography" Perspective
ETA	14.2001	Valentina BOSETTI and Vincenzina MESSINA: Quasi Option Value and Irreversible Choices
CLIM	15.2001	Guy ENGELEN (xlii): Desertification and Land Degradation in Mediterranean Areas: from Science to Integrated
QUOT	16 0001	Policy Making
SUST	16.2001	Julie Catherine SORS: Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable Development in Venice: A Comparative
QUOT	17 2001	Assessment of Methods and Approaches
SUST	17.2001	Julie Catherine SORS: Public Participation in Local Agenda 21: A Review of Traditional and Innovative Tools
CLIM	18.2001	Johan ALBRECHT and Niko GOBBIN: Schumpeter and the Rise of Modern Environmentalism
VOL	19.2001	Rinaldo BRAU, Carlo CARRARO and Giulio GOLFETTO (xliii): Participation Incentives and the Design of
ET A	20 2001	Voluntary Agreements
ETA ETA	20.2001 21.2001	Paola ROTA: Dynamic Labour Demand with Lumpy and Kinked Adjustment Costs Paola ROTA: Empirical Representation of Firms' Employment Decisions by an (S,s) Rule
ETA	22.2001	Paola ROTA: What Do We Gain by Being Discrete? An Introduction to the Econometrics of Discrete Decision
EIA	22.2001	Processes
PRIV	23.2001	Stefano BOSI, Guillaume GIRMANS and Michel GUILLARD: Optimal Privatisation Design and Financial
I IXI V	25.2001	Markets
KNOW	24.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO, Claudio LUPI, Patrizia ORDINE, and Maria Luisa PARISI: Beyond National Institutions:
RIVOW	24.2001	Labour Taxes and Regional Unemployment in Italy
ETA	25.2001	Klaus CONRAD: Locational Competition under Environmental Regulation when Input Prices and Productivity
LIA	25.2001	Differ
PRIV	26.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Juliet D'SOUZA, Marcella FANTINI and William L. MEGGINSON: Sources of
i idi v	20.2001	Performance Improvement in Privatised Firms: A Clinical Study of the Global Telecommunications Industry
CLIM	27.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER and Emiliano RAMIERI: Climate Change Impacts on the Mediterranean Coastal Zones
ETA	28.2001	Nunzio CAPPUCCIO and Michele MORETTO: Comments on the Investment-Uncertainty Relationship in a Real
LIII	20.2001	Option Model
KNOW	29.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Absolute Risk Aversion and the Returns to Education
CLIM	30.2001	Zhong Xiang ZHANG: Meeting the Kyoto Targets: The Importance of Developing Country Participation
ETA	31.2001	Jonathan D. KAPLAN, Richard E. HOWITT and Y. Hossein FARZIN: An Information-Theoretical Analysis of
-		Budget-Constrained Nonpoint Source Pollution Control
MGMT	32.2001	Roberta SALOMONE and Giulia GALLUCCIO: Environmental Issues and Financial Reporting Trends
Coalition		
Theory	33.2001	Shlomo WEBER and Hans WIESMETH: From Autarky to Free Trade: The Impact on Environment
Network		
ETA	34.2001	Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: Model Selection and Tests for Non Nested Contingent
		Valuation Models: An Assessment of Methods

NRM	35.2001	Carlo GIUPPONI: The Substitution of Hazardous Molecules in Production Processes: The Atrazine Case Study
KNOW	36.2001	in Italian Agriculture Raffaele PACI and Francesco PIGLIARU: Technological Diffusion, Spatial Spillovers and Regional
DD 117	27.0001	Convergence in Europe
PRIV	37.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI: Privatisation, Large Shareholders, and Sequential Auctions of Shares
CLIM	38.2001	Barbara BUCHNER: What Really Happened in The Hague? Report on the COP6, Part I, 13-25 November 2000,
	20.2001	The Hague, The Netherlands
PRIV	39.2001	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Carlo SCARPA: Regulation at Home, Competition Abroad: A Theoretical
		Framework
KNOW	40.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: On the Complementarity between Education and Training in Europe
Coalition	41.2001	Alain DESDOIGTS and Fabien MOIZEAU (xlvi): Multiple Politico-Economic Regimes, Inequality and Growth
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	42.2001	Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS (xlvi): Limits to Climate Change
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	43.2001	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN (xlvi): Endogenous Coalition Formation in Global Pollution
Theory		Control
Network		
Coalition	44.2001	Wietze LISE, Richard S.J. TOL and Bob van der ZWAAN (xlvi): Negotiating Climate Change as a Social
Theory		Situation
Network		
NRM	45.2001	Mohamad R. KHAWLIE (xlvii): The Impacts of Climate Change on Water Resources of Lebanon- Eastern
	45.2001	Mediterranean
NRM	46.2001	Mutasem EL-FADEL and E. BOU-ZEID (xlvii): Climate Change and Water Resources in the Middle East:
INIXIVI	40.2001	
NDM	47 2001	<u>Vulnerability, Socio-Economic Impacts and Adaptation</u>
NRM	47.2001	Eva IGLESIAS, Alberto GARRIDO and Almudena GOMEZ (xlvii): An Economic Drought Management Index to
CL D (40.0001	Evaluate Water Institutions' Performance Under Uncertainty and Climate Change
CLIM	48.2001	Wietze LISE and Richard S.J. TOL (xlvii): Impact of Climate on Tourist Demand
CLIM	49.2001	Francesco BOSELLO, Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Davide RAGGI: Can Equity Enhance
		Efficiency? Lessons from the Kyoto Protocol
SUST	50.2001	Roberto ROSON (xlviii): Carbon Leakage in a Small Open Economy with Capital Mobility
SUST	51.2001	Edwin WOERDMAN (xlviii): Developing a European Carbon Trading Market: Will Permit Allocation Distort
		Competition and Lead to State Aid?
SUST	52.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept
SUST SUST	52.2001 53.2001	
		Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u> Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u>
SUST	53.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe
SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment
SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International
SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights
SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:
SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries
SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe
SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome Project
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome ProjectEfrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome ProjectEfrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Effrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Orgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research; Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Orgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Leesons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in <
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Orgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Dimensions of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in <
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001	 Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Orgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Mathew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Eersons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO (1): Inves
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001	 Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u> Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u> <i>M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI</i> (xlviii): <u>Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign</u> <u>Direct Investment</u> <i>Savas ALPAY</i> (xlviii): <u>Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights</u> <i>Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER</i> (xlviii): <u>Embodied Pollution in Trade:</u> <u>Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries</u> <i>Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY</i> (xlviii): <u>Foreign Aid and Direct Investment; Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe</u> <i>Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS</i> (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland <i>Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO</i> (xlix): <u>Science vs. Profit in Research</u>; Lessons from the Human Genome Project <i>Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI:</i> <u>Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto</u> <i>Gian Luigi ALBANO, Gabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO:</i> <u>On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects</u> <i>Elbert DLJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH:</i> <u>A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves</u> <u>with Panel Data</u> <i>Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI:</i> <u>Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the</u> <u>Costs of Kyoto</u> <i>Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU</i> (1): <u>Transitional Dynamics and Uniquenes</u>
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 55.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 65.2001 67.2001	 Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade; Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment; Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research; Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset Giov
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001	 Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endoganous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guiudo CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 55.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 65.2001 67.2001	 Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade; Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment; Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research; Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset Giov

NRM	70.2001	Lee J. ALSTON, Gary D. LIBECAP and Bernardo MUELLER (li): Land Reform Policies, The Sources of
		Violent Conflict and Implications for Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon
CLIM	71.2001	Claudia KEMFERT: Economy-Energy-Climate Interaction – The Model WIAGEM -
SUST	72.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Yohanes E. RIYANTO: Policy Instruments for Creating Markets for Bodiversity:
		Certification and Ecolabeling
SUST	73.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Erik SCHOKKAERT (lii): Warm Glow and Embedding in Contingent Valuation
SUST	74.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Peter NIJKAMP (lii): Ecological-Economic Analysis
1101	75 0001	and Valuation of Biodiversity
VOL	75.2001	Johan EYCKMANS and Henry TULKENS (li): <u>Simulating Coalitionally Stable Burden Sharing Agreements for</u>
PRIV	76.2001	the Climate Change Problem And CAUTUER and Florign UEIDER: What Do Internal Capital Markata Do? Redictribution via Incentives
PRIV	77.2001	Axel GAUTIER and Florian HEIDER: What Do Internal Capital Markets Do? Redistribution vs. Incentives Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Marcella FANTINI and Domenico SINISCALCO: Privatisation around the World:
FKIV	//.2001	New Evidence from Panel Data
ETA	78.2001	Toke S. AIDT and Jayasri DUTTA (li): Transitional Politics. Emerging Incentive-based Instruments in
LIN	/0.2001	Environmental Regulation
ETA	79.2001	Alberto PETRUCCI: Consumption Taxation and Endogenous Growth in a Model with New Generations
ETA	80.2001	Pierre LASSERRE and Antoine SOUBEYRAN (li): A Ricardian Model of the Tragedy of the Commons
ETA	81.2001	Pierre COURTOIS, Jean Christophe PÉREAU and Tarik TAZDAÏT: An Evolutionary Approach to the Climate
		Change Negotiation Game
NRM	82.2001	Christophe BONTEMPS, Stéphane COUTURE and Pascal FAVARD: Is the Irrigation Water Demand Really
		<u>Convex?</u>
NRM	83.2001	Unai PASCUAL and Edward BARBIER: A Model of Optimal Labour and Soil Use with Shifting Cultivation
CLIM	84.2001	Jesper JENSEN and Martin Hvidt THELLE: What are the Gains from a Multi-Gas Strategy?
CLIM	85.2001	Maurizio MICHELINI (liii): IPCC "Summary for Policymakers" in TAR. Do its results give a scientific support
CL D (06 0001	always adequate to the urgencies of Kyoto negotiations?
CLIM	86.2001	Claudia KEMFERT (liii): Economic Impact Assessment of Alternative Climate Policy Strategies
CLIM	87.2001	Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: Global Warming and Financial Umbrellas
ETA	88.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA:
ETA	89.2001	Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms <i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO</i> : Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences
LIA	09.2001	of the Fed
CLIM	90.2001	Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the
CLIM	90.2001	US Make a Difference?
CLIM	91.2001	ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO
SUST	92.2001	Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The
		Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada
SUST	93.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced
		Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England
CLIM	94.2001	Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector
CLIM	95.2001	Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and
	06 0001	Asymmetric Information
ETA	96.2001	Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options
SUST	97.2001	<i>Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON</i> : <u>The Effect of</u> Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites
NRM	98.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the
	96.2001	Venice Area – Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant'Erasmo
NRM	99.2001	<i>Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS</i> : Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area –
1,111,1	<i>,,,</i>	Potentials of the Integrated Participatory Management Approach
NRM	100.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – A
		Methodological Framework
PRIV	101.2001	Enrico C. PEROTTI and Luc LAEVEN: Confidence Building in Emerging Stock Markets
CLIM	102.2001	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Igor CERSOSIMO: On the Consequences of the U.S. Withdrawal
CLINI	102.2001	from the Kyoto/Bonn Protocol
SUST	103.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ,
		Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant
		Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan
SUST	104.2001	R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing
		Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value
~~~		Estimates
SUST	105.2001	Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based
OLIOT.	10( 2001	Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned?
SUST	106.2001	J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic
		Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study
SUST	107.2001	<u>Study</u> W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: <u>Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence</u>
5051	107.2001	Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program

SUST	108.2001	<i>Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO</i> : <u>Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by</u> <u>Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model</u> : The Case of the Pentro Horse
SUST SUST	109.2001 110.2001	Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West
SUST	1.2002	<u>Africa</u> K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
ETA	2.2002	Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
WAT	3.2002	Preferences? Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
CLIM	4.2002	Black Sea Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Stable Coalitions</u>
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: <u>Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International</u> Comparison on European Gasoline Markets
ETA	7.2002	<i>Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Effichios S. SARTZETAKIS</i> : <u>Stable International Environmental Agreements: An</u> Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO</i> : <u>Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11</u> European Countries
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary Policy
KNOW	12.2002	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES:</i> <u>Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable</u> Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes: Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy
CLIM	16.2002	Francesco RICCI (I): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance Economy
Coalition Theory	18.2002	László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities
THEOLY		
•		
Network	19.2002	
•	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Network Coalition Theory Network	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected Coalitions
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition	19.2002 20.2002	Coalitions
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory		
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network	20.2002	Coalitions Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory		Coalitions <i>Guillaume HAERINGER</i> (liv): <u>On the Stability of Cooperation Structures</u> <i>Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO:</i> <u>Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach</u>
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network	20.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM	20.2002 21.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002 23.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002 23.2002 24.2002 25.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÔSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> <li>28.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÔSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST KNOW	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> <li>28.2002</li> <li>29.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST KNOW ETA NRM	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002 23.2002 24.2002 25.2002 26.2002 27.2002 28.2002 29.2002 30.2002 31.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST KNOW ETA NRM	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> <li>28.2002</li> <li>29.2002</li> <li>30.2002</li> <li>31.2002</li> <li>32.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment         Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST KNOW ETA NRM	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002 23.2002 24.2002 25.2002 26.2002 27.2002 28.2002 29.2002 30.2002 31.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST KNOW ETA NRM	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> <li>28.2002</li> <li>29.2002</li> <li>30.2002</li> <li>31.2002</li> <li>32.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years         A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good Industries?         G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage<
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST KNOW ETA NRM NRM KNOW	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002 23.2002 24.2002 25.2002 26.2002 27.2002 28.2002 29.2002 30.2002 31.2002 32.2002 33.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale; An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good Industries?

~~ ~ ~		
CLIM	36.2002	T. TIETENBERG (lv): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (lv): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (lv): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
		the Framework of Agenda 2000
NRM	40.2002	S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
		under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
		Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42.2002	C. OHL (lvi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43.2002	J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (lvi): Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
		Analysis with MacGEM
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (lvi): Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary
		Model
ETA	45.2002	P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (Ivi): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
		Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (lvi): A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
Theory	01.2002	Selling and the selling and selling
Network		
ETA	52.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
LIM	33.2002	<i>R. KLEIN</i> (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
CLIM	54.2002	Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty
ETA	55.2002	Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-
LIA	55.2002	Based Policies
SUST	56.2002	Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
SUST	57.2002	Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): <u>Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia</u> : The Decade of
5051	57.2002	Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
SUST	58.2002	<i>Vladimir KOTOV</i> (lvii): <u>Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy</u>
SUST	59.2002 59.2002	Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
3031	39.2002	Trading or Joint Implementation?
VOL	60.2002	Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
VOL	00.2002	or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
		Union
ETA	61.2002	Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
EIA	01.2002	
	(2,2002	Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
PRIV	62.2002	Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
PRIV	63.2002	Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
	(1 0000	Tobin's Q
PRIV	64.2002	Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent
auar	( <b> -</b>	Productivity
SUST	65.2002	Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a
		Statistical Life
ETA	66.2002	Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences
PRIV	67.2002	Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare
		Changes in the UK

(xlii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Climate Change and Mediterranean Coastal Systems: Regional Scenarios and Vulnerability Assessment" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in co-operation with the Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti, Venice, December 9-10, 1999.

(xliii)This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Voluntary Approaches, Competition and Competitiveness" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the research activities of the CAVA Network, Milan, May 25-26,2000.

(xliv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Green National Accounting in Europe: Comparison of Methods and Experiences" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the Concerted Action of Environmental Valuation in Europe (EVE), Milan, March 4-7, 2000

(xlv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "New Ports and Urban and Regional Development. The Dynamics of Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, May 5-6, 2000.

(xlvi) This paper was presented at the Sixth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, January 26-27, 2001

(xlvii) This paper was presented at the RICAMARE Workshop "Socioeconomic Assessments of Climate Change in the Mediterranean: Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation Co-benefits", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, February 9-10, 2001

(xlviii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop "Trade and the Environment in the Perspective of the EU Enlargement", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, May 17-18, 2001

(xlix) This paper was presented at the International Conference "Knowledge as an Economic Good", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and The Beijer International Institute of Environmental Economics, Palermo, April 20-21, 2001

(1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and

Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001

(li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001

(lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001

(liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

(liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002

(lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei , Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001

(lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE – Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum fur Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001

	2002 SERIES
CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
SUST	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
MGMT	Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)