Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany Hannes Egli NOTA DI LAVORO 25.2002

APRIL 2002 CLIM – Climate Change Modelling and Policy

Hannes Egli, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt University of Greifswald

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/ activ.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany

Summary

In recent years, extensive literature on the Environmental Kuznets Curve leading to optimistic policy conclusions has attracted great attention. However, the underlying cross-section estimations are not very reliable. Accordingly, this contribution uses time series data for a single country with good data quality: Germany. With a specification that includes all theoretical variations and different appropriate estimation procedures, it is found that only for few pollutants can the typical EKC pattern be confirmed. For the major part, however, it is concluded that the doubts about the suitability of the EKC approach are well-founded.

Keywords: Environmental Kuznets Curve, time series data, error correction model

JEL: Q00, Q20, Q25

The author thanks Lucas Bretschger and Thomas Steger for very valuable comments.

Address for correspondence:

Hannes Egli University of Greifswald Friedrich.-Loeffler-Str. 70 D-17487 Greifswald Germany Phone +44 (0)3834 / 86 24 68 Fax +44 (0)3834 / 86 24 89 Email: hannes.egli@uni-greifswald.de

1. Introduction

Recently, a series of empirical studies about the so-called Environmental Kuznets Curve (hereafter EKC) has been published.¹ The EKC hypothesis postulates that environmental pollution follows an inverted U-shaped curve relative to income. Put differently, environmental quality first decreases with rising income but, after a certain income level has been reached, it begins to recover steadily. However, the reported empirical results and conclusions are ambiguous. Some authors find evidence for an EKC for different air and water pollutants and other measurements of environmental degradation (e.g. Grossman/Krueger 1995, Selden/Song 1994, Cole et al. 1997). Others, however, report either monotonically increasing or decreasing relationships between pollution and per capita income or even find no such relationship (e.g. Torras/Boyce 1998 and partly Shafik 1994).

Most empirical studies on the EKC hypothesis use cross-country or panel data for their empirical estimations. However, this is criticised fiercely. It is argued that only single-country studies could shed light on the question whether EKCs for different pollutants really exist (e.g. Roberts/Grimes 1997). The following arguments support this view. An EKC found by cross-country or panel data estimations could simply reflect the juxtaposition of a positive relationship between pollution and income in developing countries with a negative one in developed countries, and not a single relationship that applies to both categories of countries (Vincent 1997). Strictly speaking, this argument does not apply only to cross-country studies, but also to cross-regional studies (see e.g. Carson et al. 1997), because these studies implicitly assume that all regions considered follow the same development path as is assumed for the countries in cross-country or panel data studies. In principle, the disregard of this juxtaposition is a special case of parameter heterogeneity, which is a frequent problem in the cross-section growth context. It is questionable if the homogeneity assumption that all estimated coefficients are country invariant is appropriate for a broad spectrum of countries, reaching from poor developing countries to rich and highly industrialised nations. Harberger (1987), for example, states: "What do Thailand, the Dominican Republic, Zimbabwe, Greece, and Bolivia have in common that merits their being put in the same regression analysis?" Possibilities to avoid the parameter heterogeneity problem are the use of specifications which allow varying coefficients, or - as in this paper - data limitation to just one single country. For a brief treatise on parameter heterogeneity and other econometric problems in the growth context, see Temple (1999). More arguments for the use of time series data are provided by

¹ The EKC is named after Simon Kuznets (1955), who found a similar hump-shaped relationship between income and the inequality of income.

List/Gallet (1999). Using data on sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions between 1929 and 1994 for the US states, these authors find very different income turning points across the forty-eight considered states. In other words, the US states do not follow a uniform pollution path. Since US states are commonly and correctly assumed to be more homogenous than most samples of countries, this study backs up the advantage of time series estimations over crosscountry studies. If the results of cross-section estimations are generalised, incorrect inferences about the further development of pollutant emissions or concentrations could be drawn and, therefore, misleading policies proposed. Similar conclusions are reported by Dijkgraaf/Vollebergh (1998) when comparing time series with panel estimations for carbon dioxide. Estimating the income-emission relation for OECD countries, they find that pooling countries in one panel, i.e. cross-country or panel studies, can bias the estimates and, therefore, the results may not be reliable. Again, the cause of this distortion is the juxtaposition of different income-emission relationships of the pooled countries.

So far, there are only few studies with time series data for a single country and, as in the case of cross-country studies, the results are mixed. Carson et al. (1997), using US states data between 1988 and 1994, find a negative relationship between seven types of air pollutant emissions and income. Since, for the period under consideration, the per capita income levels of the United States are clearly above the EKC turning points usually calculated by crosscountry studies, these results are consistent with the EKC hypothesis. No support for the EKC supposition, however, is given by Vincent (1997). This author finds that the emission profiles that are actually observed in Malaysia do not coincide with those that are predicted by crosscountry studies for a country with a per capita GDP like Malaysia. Mostly, the concentration path of pollutants is incorrectly predicted and the pollutant emissions changes are vastly overstated by cross-country estimations. Applying a somewhat more sophisticated model specification (see section 4), de Bruyn et al. (1998) find that economic growth has a negative effect on environmental quality, but, despite the increase in emissions due to economic growth, emissions are likely to decline over time, given sufficient technological progress or structural change. On this account, the authors reason "the presumption that economic growth results in improvements in environmental quality is unsupported by evidence [...]". Unruh/Moomaw (1998) and Moomaw/Unruh (1997) find evidence that the carbon dioxide emission trajectories of sixteen OECD countries follow an inverted U-shaped curve. They do not do so with respect to income but with respect to time. Further, the income levels corresponding to the turning points are not identical. The change from an increasing to a decreasing relationship, however, occurred in all countries around 1973 – the time of the world-wide oil price shock. Unruh/Moomaw (1998, page 227) conclude that "emissions trajectories would be expected to follow a regular, incremental path until subjected to a shock that leads to the establishment of a new trajectory or attractor." However, since the included countries were selected on the basis that their EKC shows evidence of a structural break around 1973², the estimation results of these studies are not very representative and, therefore, the conclusion cannot be generalised. More comprehensive surveys of the empirical EKC literature, i.e. with time series as well as cross-country data, are provided by e.g. Ekins (1997), Stern et al. (1996) or Borghesi (1999).³

This paper, using time series data for Germany, aims at investigating the relationship between several pollutants and income within a single, developed country. First, the traditional semi-reduced form model with only one independent variable, namely gross domestic product (GDP), is estimated. The estimation results of this simple specification, which was first introduced by Grossman/Krueger (1995), give rise to the supposition that the development of environmental pressure is more complex and that the different stages of environmental degradation cannot be explained by per capita income alone. Therefore, other variables must yield at least as much influence on the environment as income. Different possibilities, such as the incorporation of trade variables or gross value added by the industry sector, which are commonly proposed by theory, are evaluated. Beside the extensions of the traditional EKC equation, other model specifications are also tested: on the one hand, a specification that stems from resource economics and was introduced in the EKC literature by de Bruyn et al. (1998); on the other hand, a specification that can be regarded as a modified error correction model. Although these results come off better with regard to the estimation statistics and some evidence for a hump-shaped emissions pattern is found, the validity of the EKC hypothesis is not conclusively determined.

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. In section 2, the theoretical framework is set forth. Some explanatory notes to the data are provided in section 3. In section 4, the empirical results are presented and discussed. Finally, section 5 concludes.

² This can be regarded as a form of sample selection bias.

³ Up to the present, there have been few theoretical EKC models. Exceptions are Andreoni/Levinson (1998), Bretschger/Smulders (2001), Bulte/van Soest (2001), de Groot (1999), Lieb (2001) or McConnell (1997).

2. Framework

The non-linear relationship between the indicators of environmental pollution and per capita income is usually specified in a semi-reduced form such as:⁴

$$E_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_t + \beta_2 Y_t^2 + \beta_3 Y_t^3 + \beta_4 Z_t + \varepsilon_t$$
(1)

where E stands for the pollution indicator, Y for income and Z for other variables that are supposed to influence pollution; t denotes a time index and ε is the normally distributed error term. An EKC results form $\beta_1 > 0$, $\beta_2 < 0$, and $\beta_3 = 0$. The income level at which environmental degradation begins to decline is called income turning point (ITP). The ITP of an EKC is obtained by setting the first derivation (with respect to income) of equation (1) equal to zero and solved for income; this yields $-\beta_1/2\beta_2$.⁵ Whereas with $\beta_1 > 0$, $\beta_2 < 0$ and $\beta_3 > 0$, an N-shaped pattern is obtained. This means that a second turning point exists, after which the environmental degradation rises again with increasing income. However, investigating the relationship between carbon dioxide (CO_2) and GDP for a subset of OECD countries, Moomaw/Unruh (1997) conclude that an N-shaped curve is more the result of polynomial curve fitting than a reflection of any underlying structural relation. In addition, if a N-shaped pattern is obtained, the second turning point usually occurs at relatively high per capita income levels reached only by very few countries; thus, these results should be viewed with caution. Accordingly, this possible curve pattern is not taken into further account in this study. An either monotonically increasing or decreasing relationship between income and environmental quality is achieved if only β_1 is significant (negative or positive sign, respectively), whereas the other estimators of the income variables, i.e. β_2 and β_3 , remain insignificant. In appendix A, the different functional relationships between income and environmental pressure are illustrated.

While the incorporation of per capita income as an independent variable in single country studies seems undisputed,⁶ the choice of the other explanatory variables is not clear, since, contrary to cross-country studies, country specific but over time constant differences do not matter in time-series. For example, it is unnecessary to control for population density, oil

⁴ Contrary to the literature, the term "semi-reduced" (and not just "reduced") is chosen, since income cannot be seen as an exogenous variable and thus this equation is not a reduced form model in its typical manner.

⁵ This term should be small relative to mean per capita income, in order for the EKC to turn down at achievable income levels.

⁶ To avoid the endogeneity problem of GDP, one could exclude GDP from the variable list and run regressions only with explanatory variables that are exogenous. However, income turning points could no longer be calculated.

exporting or former communist countries, literacy rate or political rights. All theses variables do not change, or at least not seriously, over the time period under consideration. Kaufmann et al. (1998) propose to control for the density of economic activity. This appears appropriate for their cross-country study. In this paper, however, the variable would be nothing else than a linear transformation of GDP, since the country's area is constant.

But the reunification of the former East German states with the West German states calls for a dummy variable, if one would also like to use more recent data. From 1992 on, the statistical data about pollutant emissions is only published for the reunified Germany and not separately for the two former German republics. Without any other independent variables than per capita GDP and omitting the cubic per capita income term, equation (1) becomes:

$$E_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_t + \beta_2 Y_t^2 + \beta_4 D_t + \varepsilon_t$$
⁽²⁾

where D_t is the reunification dummy and all other variables are defined as above.

As will be shown in section 4 below, per capita income fails to satisfactorily explain the environmental degradation with regard to economic development. Therefore, the traditional semi-reduced form equation must be extended. Income can either be included directly in the model as a variable that summarises all effects associated with income or it can be disaggregated into different channels through which income affects pollution (Grossman 1995). First, there is a scale effect. Ceteris paribus, more economic activity leads to increased environmental damage, since increasing output requires more natural resources as inputs and causes more emissions and waste as a by-product. Second, structural changes in the economy lead to altered environmental pressure. During industrialisation (from agricultural to industrial environmental degradations tend to increase, whereas during production). the deindustrialisation phase (from industry to services), the reverse occurs. This argumentation is based on the assumption that industrial production is more polluting than the agricultural or the service sector. This second channel is usually called composition effect. Third, due to more research and development expenditure⁷, economic growth is usually accompanied by technological progress. Therefore, a replacement of obsolete machineries and technologies with more environmentally-friendly ones can be observed. This is labelled the technique effect. Since it is quite difficult to measure environment-related technology levels and the approximation with a time trend is not very satisfactory,⁸ only the composition effect is

⁷ The positive correlation between income and R&D expenditure can be traced back to rising preferences for environmental quality.

⁸ Nevertheless, this approximation is commonly used in empirical studies.

specified separately. Therefore, income indicates the net effect of the scale and technology effect. This leads to:

$$E_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_t + \beta_2 Y_t^2 + \beta_4 D_t + \beta_5 S_t + \varepsilon_t$$
(3)

where Y stands for income and indicates the net income effect and S is the industry share of GDP and represents the composition effect.

Besides these income-related variables, which do not differ from cross-country studies, other variables influencing pollution come to the fore in studies with time series data. The displacement effect or pollution haven hypothesis refers to the possibility that developed countries may shift pollution-intensive production to developing countries with laxer environmental regulations and import those products. By doing so, developed countries cut back their domestic emissions without having to alter their consumption habits. But all in all, there is no world-wide emission reduction or, in other words, only an illusion of sustainability is created (Rees 1993). Therefore, a resulting EKC would not mean that with higher per capita income, environmental pressure would decrease or that with rising per capita income all environmental problems would be solved automatically, as is sometimes suggested. Beckerman (1992, page 491), for example, concludes that "in the longer run, the surest way to improve your environment is to become rich". The factor endowment hypothesis, however, operates in the opposite direction. It suggests that dirty production, which is usually capital intensive, is located where capital is more abundant, i.e. in developed countries. Antweiler et al. (2001) investigate the consequences of free trade on the environment and find empirical evidence that capital abundance is more important than lax environmental policy. However, Suri/Chapman (1998) incorporate the amount of imported manufactured goods as an additional explanatory variable and find that this leads to significantly higher income turning points than estimations without trade variables. The existence and importance of the displacement effect is also supported by a meta-analysis of twenty-five EKC studies by Cavlovic et al. (2000). If one controls for the countries' trade relations, higher EKC turning points are obtained. As mentioned above, it is not the quantity of goods produced that is decisive for the pollution a country is responsible for, but rather the amount consumed. Investigating consumption habits relative to income, Rothman (1998) finds that only one out of eight consumer good categories, namely "food, beverages and tobacco", shows a declining trend after a certain income level is reached. Moreover, the decline in this category is mainly caused by a large decrease in the amount of grains and starches consumed, neither of which

products is very environmentally destructive. Regarding the volume of trade, equation (2) becomes:

$$E_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_t + \beta_2 Y_t^2 + \beta_4 D_t + \beta_7 I_t + \varepsilon_t$$
(4)

where I are the imports and exports of goods from pollution-intensive production relative to GDP. Taking into account all extensions mentioned so far, this leads to:

$$E_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_t + \beta_2 Y_t^2 + \beta_4 D_t + \beta_5 S_t + \beta_7 I_t + \varepsilon_t$$
(5)

Not only the level of income but also the growth rate of income can have an influence on the environmental quality, because there could be a discrepancy between the rates of economic and social change (Panayotou 1997). With unhasty economic growth, individuals that are interested in an intact environment can better influence the institutional and legal conditions. In other words, faster economic growth would result in more environmental damage. In consideration of the income growth rate, equation (2) is modified to:

$$E_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}Y_{t} + \beta_{2}Y_{t}^{2} + \beta_{4}D_{t} + \beta_{8}g(Y_{t}) + \varepsilon_{t}$$

$$(6)$$

where $g(\cdot)$ stands for a variable's growth rate and. From the theoretical point of view, it cannot be determined whether the speed of economic development really matters. This question must be answered empirically.

If one uses time series data, two econometric problems - namely the assumption of no serial correlation⁹ and of stationarity - must not be neglected. In time series studies, the assumption that errors corresponding to different observations are uncorrelated often fails to prove true. Therefore, one cannot use ordinary least squares as the estimation technique. The generalised least squares procedure (GLS), however, controls for serial correlation and, therefore, is widely applied in time series studies. Besides the favourable characteristics with regard to autocorrelation, the GLS method also produces best linear unbiased estimators if the assumption of homoscedasticity, i.e. equal variances of the error term, is not fulfilled. Inasmuch as no other estimation technique is declared, all regressions in this paper are based on GLS.

Time series are often non-stationary;¹⁰ this applies especially to GDP and, in our case, also to the pollutant emissions. If one regresses a non-stationary variable on another non-stationary one, the results may be spurious in the sense that conventional significance criteria indicate a

⁹ Unless otherwise stated, correlation stands for correlation of first order.

¹⁰ A time series generating stochastic process is said to be stationary if its mean and variance are constant over time and the value of covariance between two time periods depends only on the distance or lag between the two time periods and not on the actual time at which the covariance is computed.

relationship between the two time series, although in reality there is no relationship between them. This problem, however, does not emerge if the two time series are cointegrated. Cointegration is given if both time series are non-stationary and a linear combination which is itself stationary exists between them. In other words, the non-stationary components of these variables neutralise each other. If this is not the case, the only possibility to avoid potentially spurious results would be to estimate with variables in their (first) difference. However, this would result in a loss of information about the long-term relationship embodied in the data. But since we are mainly interested in the long-term behaviour of the variables and not in the short-term disturbances, such estimations are no alternative.

In our case, none of the considered pollutants is a stationary time series and, unfortunately, nor are they cointegrated with GDP. Since we are not looking at a linear relationship between income and emissions but rather at a hump-shaped one, the question arises if the residuals of a regression with a linear and a quadratic income term are stationary. If they are, the two time series are quasi-cointegrated in the sense that the results are not spurious.¹¹ By regressing each pollutant on GDP (with a linear and quadratic term) and controlling for autocorrelation, the obtained residuals are indeed mostly stationary. In the following, two additional procedures are considered, where serial correlation and the non-stationarity of the variables are no longer of importance.

De Bruyn et al. (1998) propose a model specification which stems from resource economics. The authors argue that changes over time in emissions can be explained by changes in economic growth and changes in the emission intensity, whereas the emission intensity reflects the composition of economic activities, environmentally relevant technologies and substitution possibilities, etc. Changes in emission intensity can be either due to exogenous technological improvements or dependent upon the income level, since research and development efforts and the service sector share in total production increase with rising income. The former possibility is modelled by making the changes in emission intensity a function of time, the latter by making them a function of income. Together with the variable for the trade relations, this results in:¹²

$$g(E_t) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 g(Y_t) + \alpha_2 Y_{t-1} + \alpha_3 g(I_t) + \alpha_4 D_t + \alpha_5 g(E_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\tag{7}$$

¹¹ The use of error correction models leads to very optimal estimation properties with cointegrated time series. With quasi-cointegrated time series, the properties are still optimal.

¹² Note that equation (7) is not exactly identical to the equation used in de Bruyn et al. (1998), since these authors control for energy price changes instead of the volume of trade.

If economic growth has a direct negative influence on environmental quality, then α_1 should be positive (scale effect). A negative α_1 , however, would mean that economic growth directly fosters a stricter environmental policy. Time dependent, i.e. exogenous change in the emission intensity would result in a negative α_0 , whereas income-dependent changes would result in a negative α_2 . The one period lagged emissions growth rate is included to improve the overall fit of the model.

All these estimations do not distinguish between a long-term income-emission relationship and short-term disturbances from the long-term equilibrium path. A model specification that differentiates between these two effects is the so-called error correction model (ECM), which was popularised by Davidson et al. (1978) in estimating a consumption function for the UK. In the ECM specifications, the relationship between the endogenous variable and the explanatory variable is modelled as follows. The changes in the dependent variable are influenced by changes in the exogenous variable (short-term relationship) and the deviation of the dependent variable from its long-term value in the previous period. For our purposes, the specification of ECM equation must be modified, since the long-term relationship is assumed to be non-linear, i.e. to follow a hump-shaped pattern. This leads to the following equation:

$$\Delta E_{t} = \gamma_{0} + \gamma_{1} \Delta Y_{t} + \gamma_{2} \Big[E_{t-1} - \alpha_{0} - \alpha_{1} Y_{t-1} - \alpha_{2} Y_{t-1}^{2} - \alpha_{3} D_{t-1} + \gamma_{3} D_{t} + \gamma_{4} g (E_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{t}$$
(8)

where Δ denotes a variable's first difference. The estimation of the long-term equilibrium value (i.e. $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Y_{t-1} + \alpha_2 Y_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_3 D_{t-1}$) corresponds to the above-mentioned traditional EKC specification (equation 2). The whole term in parenthesis is called error correction term and coincides with the residuals of equation (2). The one period lagged emission changes are again included to improve the estimation statistics. Together with the trade variable, equation (8) becomes:¹³

$$\Delta E_{t} = \gamma_{0} + \gamma_{1} \Delta Y_{t} + \gamma_{2} \Delta T + \gamma_{3} \Big[E_{t-1} - \alpha_{0} - \alpha_{1} Y_{t-1} - \alpha_{2} Y_{t-1}^{2} - \alpha_{3} I_{t-1} - \alpha_{4} D_{t-1} + \gamma_{4} D_{t} + \gamma_{5} g (E_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{t}$$
(9)

All variables are defined as above and the long-term equilibrium value (i.e. $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Y_{t-1} + \alpha_2 Y_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_3 I_{t-1} + \alpha_4 D_{t-1}$) coincides with equation (4). To potentially obtain a hump-shaped pattern between environmental quality and income or an EKC, respectively, γ_2 must

¹³ As will be shown in section 4, gross value added by the industry sector and the sum of imports and exports divided by GDP are highly correlated so that only one of them should be included in the regression at the same time. To keep the estimation results within a limit, only the displacement effect is considered.

be negative. This can be interpreted in the following way. If, in the previous period, the actual emissions were greater than the optimal long-term emissions, the error correction term becomes positive and, together with the negative γ_2 , operates towards a smaller or even negative emission growth rate. If, however, the actual emissions were less than the optimal emissions, the error correction term becomes negative and, together with the negative sign of its coefficient, the reverse effect occurs. This means that, due to socially optimal activities, individuals put up with an increasing emission growth rate and not that individuals intend to reduce environmental quality unnecessarily. For example, it may be optimal to invest in infrastructure equipment, although this causes higher emissions. In this case, income rises with investments but emissions temporarily fall below the long-term equilibrium because pollution does not start immediately when the infrastructure is ready to use. Due to the scale effect, γ_1 is expected to be positive, if emissions are responsive to income changes in the short run.

3. Data

3.1. Data Source

Since in this study environmental damage is the object of concern, aggregate emissions and not urban concentration are to be preferred, because they are more likely to relate to environmental damage than to harm human health (Ekins 1997). Therefore, per capita emission data for eight pollutants for the years 1966 – 1998 are used, namely sulphur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen oxide (NO_x, as usually measured by nitrogen dioxide NO₂), carbon dioxide (CO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), ammonia (NH₃), methane (CH₄), particular matter (PM) and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC). All pollutants are measured in kilograms. Per capita GDP is measured in DEM, while the imports and exports of goods from pollution-intensive production¹⁴ are set in relation to GDP. Gross value added by sector is gauged by percent of total value added. All data, i.e. emissions data, GDP, population data, gross value added by sectors as well as import and export data, are taken from the Statistical Yearbooks for the Federal Republic of Germany (1966 – 2000). Because of availability limitations, all data from 1966 to 1991 represent only the former West Germany, whereas the

¹⁴ The following product categories are assumed to be pollution-intensive in production and are, therefore, taken into account: raw materials (apart from foodstuffs), mineral fuels, lubricants, chemicals, manufactured goods, machine and vehicle construction and various finished products.

data from 1992 on incorporate all sixteen German Länder.¹⁵ Since empirical work with time series data requires observations over a longer period, one has to accept this data break. To restrict the sample to West Germany and/or up to 1991 is no real alternative and observations for the years before 1966 are not available.

3.2. Descriptive Statistics

If one looks at the time profile of the emissions, several points stand out. Without exception, all pollutants declined in the last few years; however, the rate of the decrease is not at all equal among the pollutants or over time. While the decrease of methane emissions intensifies, particular matter shows a decline in the rate of reduction. Carbon monoxide and the non-methane volatile organic compounds, however, show nearly constant negative growth rates. The drop in ammonia emissions, on the other hand, remains limited. In all these cases, the transition from former West Germany to the reunified Germany does not cause many problems since the amount of the per capita emissions of West and East Germany were very similar. A different situation is observed for carbon dioxide and sulphur dioxide. The emission levels of both pollutants make a great leap in the first year of reunification. These emission paths can possibly be explained by the fact that the heavily polluting power stations of former East Germany stayed in operation for some years, whereas the replacement of vehicles, which were largely responsible for carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxide emissions, was carried out more quickly. The plots of the time profile for each pollutant are shown in appendix B.

3.3. Effects of the Pollutants

All the considered pollutants, with the exception of ammonia and particular matter, have direct greenhouse effects, especially carbon dioxide and methane. The third main greenhouse gas, chlorofluorocarbon, is not taken into account in this study because reliable data is hard to obtain. It is common knowledge that nowadays the anthropogenic greenhouse effect is one of the most serious environmental problems, although at present we do not know the exact - and especially not all – the consequences. On this account, it is even more important to gain information about the relation between economic growth and pollutant emissions or, more generally, environmental impact. Besides the global effect, these pollutants are also

¹⁵ Notice that, due to data availability, the value of the dummy variable does not change in the year of German reunification, but only in 1992.

detrimental to health. For example, sulphur dioxide, a water-soluble irritant gas, leads to reddening, swelling and increased secretion of the mucous membranes of the nose, pharynx, bronchial system and of the eyes. Nitrogen dioxide, an irritant gas as well, affects the respiratory tract's mucous membranes, resulting in breathing difficulties and harm to the pulmonary function. Carbon monoxide, a respiratory poison, weakens the blood's oxygen transport capability and, with it, the heart circulation function and the central nervous system. In higher concentrations, carbon dioxide, despite being a component of exhaled air, leads to breathing difficulties, unconsciousness and, finally, to respiratory arrest. The effects of ammonia are irritations of the respiratory passages and the skin. Particular matter which measures less than ten micrometer in diameter deposits itself in the respiratory tract, resulting in various damaging effects, e.g. overstraining of the cleaning mechanism, irritation of the bronchial mucous membrane, chronic bronchitis or lung function disturbances. Larger particles, however, are not breathed in, but, through their toxic substance content, they have a detrimental effect on ground, water and foodstuffs. The effects of non-methane volatile organic compounds cannot be summarised briefly, since "non-methane volatile organic compounds" is a collective term for a number of chemical substances. This succinct enumeration of the pollutants' effects shows that the pollutants have large externalities.

4. Empirical Results and Discussion

In a first step, estimations for all pollutants of equations (2) to (6) were carried out. Because of serial correlation, generalised least squares (Cochrane-Orcutt procedure) as the estimation technique is required. Nevertheless, in most estimations the problem of serial correlation cannot be solved by GLS, meaning that the equations are mis-specified and an interpretation of the estimated coefficients is not possible. Problems arise for SO₂, CO, CO₂, CH₄ and NMVOC. In addition, the coefficients for particular matter are not significant at the usual significance levels, i.e. up to the 10% significance level. Thus, in the following, only the successful examples of these estimations, i.e. the estimations for NO_x and NH₃, are reported. The results of these pollutants are shown in tables 1 and 2, respectively.¹⁶

¹⁶ The complete estimation results are available on request from the author.

| Table 1 | |
|---------|--|
|---------|--|

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| const | -18.55 | -21.43 | -7.22 | -6.74 | -23.62 |
| | (0.66) | (0.71) | (0.25) | (0.22) | (0.72) |
| | (0.52) | (0.48) | (0.81) | (0.83) | (0.48) |
| Y | 0.00*** | 0.01** | 0.00** | 0.00** | 0.01** |
| I | (2.99) | (2.45) | (2.74) | (2.16) | (2.74) |
| | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.04) | (0.01) |
| Y^2 | -7.6e-8*** | -7.9e-8*** | -6.9e-8*** | -7.0e-8** | -7.9e-8*** |
| | (3.70) | (2.97) | (3.35) | (2.69) | (-3.41) |
| | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.00) |
| | | 0.09 | | 0.17 | |
| S | | (0.32) | | (0.57) | |
| | | (0.75) | | (0.58) | |
| | | | -12.60 | -15.97 | |
| Ι | | | (1.29) | (1.61) | |
| | | | (0.21) | (0.12) | |
| | | | | | -2.70 |
| g(Y) | | | | | (0.25) |
| | | | | | (0.81) |
| D | -0.81 | -0.62 | -1.99 | -2.05 | -0.91 |
| | (0.74) | (0.51) | (1.41) | (1.36) | (0.77) |
| | (0.46) | (0.61) | (0.17) | (0.19) | (0.45) |
| adj. R ² | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 |
| DW | 1.83 | 1.79 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.83 |
| Number of obs. | 32 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 32 |
| ρ | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.87 |
| ITP in DEM 1991 prices | 29,620 | 29,220 | 29,673 | 28,829 | 30,094 |

For the traditional semi-reduced form model (equation 2, column 1) positive linear and negative quadratic income coefficients are obtained. This results in a hump-shaped emissions profile, but only in the case of NO_x are the coefficients significant. The calculated turning point of the NO_x -EKC occurs at a per capita income of DEM 29,620 (in 1991 prices). This level of per capita income was reached around 1977 and corresponds to roughly USD 14,700 (in 1985 prices).¹⁷ The estimated run of the NO_x emissions curve is depicted in figure 1. In comparison with cross-country studies, the turning point of nitrogen oxide matches those of others estimations; in Selden/Song (1994), the curve turns down at about USD 11,000, in Cole et al. (1997) between 14,700 and USD 17,600 and, finally, Grossman (1995) reports a turning point of USD 18,453. Although Carson et al. (1997) report a monotonically

¹⁷ The amounts are first converted into USD using the annual mean exchange rate of 1991 (source: http://www.oanda.com) and than deflated using the implicit price deflator for GDP (source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce).

decreasing relationship between NO_x emissions and GDP for the US, this result is not inconsistent with the EKC pattern found here, since they use only data from 1988 to 1994. In this period, the NO_x emissions in Germany decreased as well. This follows directly from the calculated income turning point, which was reached not later than 1977.¹⁸

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|---------------------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| const | 3.82 | -11.7** | -11.40*** | -9.64** | 3.92 |
| | (0.48) | (2.47) | (4.13) | (3.44) | (0.41) |
| | (0.64) | (0.03) | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.69) |
| Y | 0.00 | 0.00*** | 0.00*** | 0.00*** | 0.00 |
| 1 | (1.06) | (6.39) | (7.67) | (6.39) | (0.89) |
| | (0.30) | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.39) |
| Y^2 | -7.7e-9 | -2.2e-8*** | -1.8e-8*** | -1.8e-8*** | -7.7e-9 |
| | (1.49) | (6.93) | (8.69) | (7.36) | (-1.26) |
| | (0.15) | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.22) |
| | | -0.08** | | -0.02 | |
| S | | (2.59) | | (0.66) | |
| | | (0.02) | | (0.52) | |
| | | | 4.17*** | 4.03*** | |
| I | | | (5.72) | (3.94) | |
| | | | (0.00) | (0.00) | |
| | | | | | 0.04 |
| g(Y) | | | | | (0.02) |
| | | | | | (0.98) |
| D | -0.34** | -0.56*** | 0.14 | 0.06 | -0.34** |
| | (2.70) | (3.10) | (1.09) | (0.30) | (2.36) |
| | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.29) | (0.77) | (0.03) |
| adj. R ² | 0.73 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.72 |
| DW | 2.26 | 1.93 | 1.70 | 1.79 | 2.26 |
| Number of obs. | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 23 |
| ρ | 0.80 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.80 |
| ITP in DEM 1991 prices | | 33,629 | 32,563 | 32,064 | |

Table 2

When incorporating the gross value added of the industry sector (equation 3, column 2) the estimation results of NO_x do not change notably. The income coefficients are stable in size and the ITP is only slightly lower than before. However, the industry share shows no significant influence. All coefficients of nitrogen oxide are significant. Still, the GDP share of the industry sector does not have the predicted negative sign. This result is difficult to explain, since the assumption that the industry sector is more polluting than the agriculture and service

 $^{^{18}}$ The income turning point of NH₃ is not calculated in column (1) and (5), since the income coefficients are not significant.

sectors is plausible and not at all controversial in literature. The ITP for ammonia (about DEM 33,500 or USD 16,700) is somewhat higher than the one for nitrogen oxide, but since to my knowledge ammonia is not considered in any other EKC study, comparisons with other estimations are impossible.

Figure 1: Estimated EKC for NO_x



The estimation results of equation (4) (column 3) reveal ambiguous information about both the force ratio of the displacement effect and the factor endowment hypothesis. For NO_x , no significant result is obtained. This could be interpreted in the sense that the two effects offset each other. For ammonia, however, a positive sign results. This means that, with increasing trade openness, emissions also rise. Therefore, the factor abundance hypothesis is supported. The calculated income turning points for this specification match those of equations (2) and (3), respectively.

The estimations with both the GDP share of the industry sector as well as the trade openness do not give many new insights (column 4). One reason may be that the two variables are highly correlated (about 0.9). Apart from that, the same remarks as for the previous estimations apply here.

As reasoned in section 2, the question whether the income growth rate should be ingested to the explanatory variables must be answered empirically. The results of the corresponding specification (equation 6) are reported in column (5). Concerning the linear and quadratic income term, one can refer to the discussion above. The coefficients of the income growth rate are not significant and neither the Durbin-Watson test nor the adjusted R^2 improves. In summary, it must be said that the income growth rate does not seem to be important here, and no evidence of a discrepancy between the rate of economic growth and social change, as propagated by Panayotou (1997), can be found.

As in de Bruyn et al. (1998), equation (7) was estimated in three variations. First, the changes in emission intensities were assumed to be time-dependent (parameter restriction $\alpha_2 = 0$). Second, these changes were modelled as income-dependent (parameter restriction $\alpha_0 = 0$). Finally, both possibilities were taken into account simultaneously. From these three regressions, the best fit was selected for each pollutant. The results are shown in Table 3. Since, in this specification, the variables are growth rates rather than levels, one can use OLS as the estimation technique.

Contrary to de Bruyn et al. (1998), who find for Germany¹⁹ significant positive coefficients for the income growth rate and negative ones for either the time-dependent or incomedependent changes in emission intensity (or both), the results here are less clear-cut. In six out of eight cases, the income growth rate has a negative sign, although one would expect a positive one. The result that increased output leads to reduced emissions holding environmentally relevant technology constant is not very plausible and has never been found so far. However, the income growth rate coefficients are not significant at any usual significance level (except for non-methane volatile organic compounds).

| Table | 3 |
|--------|---|
| 1 uoic | 2 |

| Endogenous | SO ₂ | sions growth rat | CO ₂ | PM | СО | NH ₃ | CH ₄ | NMVOC |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| const | 0.60** | 0.18*** | -0.04* | 1.01 | 0.18*** | 11113 | 0114 | 0.12*** |
| const | (2.32) | (2.98) | (1.74) | | (2.93) | | | (6.18) |
| | (2.32) (0.03) | (2.98) (0.01) | (1.74) (0.10) | | (2.93) (0.01) | | | (0.18) (0.00) |
| | (0.03) | (0.01) | (0.10) | | (0.01) | | | (0.00) |
| g(Y) | -0.40 | -0.05 | 0.12 | -0.25 | 0.49 | -0.16 | -0.09 | -0.36** |
| | (0.20) | (0.16) | (0.88) | (0.43) | (1.26) | (0.51) | (0.35) | (2.41) |
| | 0.84 | (0.87) | (0.39) | (0.67) | (0.22) | (0.62) | (0.73) | (0.02) |
| Y _{t-1} | -1.8e-5** | -5.5e-6*** | 1.0e-6 | -7.4e-7 | -7.3e-6*** | -1.6e-7 | -1.7e-7 | -3.9e-6*** |
| | (2.31) | (3.09) | (1.54) | (1.37) | (3.79) | (0.61) | (0.79) | (6.59) |
| | (0.03) | (0.01) | (0.14) | (0.18) | (0.00) | (0.55) | (0.44) | (0.00) |
| g(I) | -1.61*** | -0.07 | 0.02 | -0.10 | -0.03 | 0.12** | 0.02 | 0.06* |
| g(1) | (3.60) | (1.26) | (0.89) | (0.96) | (0.32) | (2.14) | (0.38) | (1.92) |
| | (0.00) | (0.22) | (0.38) | (0.34) | (0.75) | (0.05) | (0.71) | (0.07) |
| D | 0.17 | 0.02 | -0.02* | -0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | -0.01 | -0.02** |
| | (1.42) | (1.28) | (1.98) | (1.11) | (1.58) | (0.14) | (1.17) | (2.58) |
| | (0.17) | (0.21) | (0.06) | (0.28) | (0.13) | (0.89) | (0.26) | (0.02) |
| g(E) _{t-1} | | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.34 | -0.35 | 0.25 | 0.38 | |
| DC)II | | (0.19) | (1.02) | (1.39) | (1.66) | (1.10) | (1.62) | |
| | | (0.85) | (0.32) | (0.18) | (0.11) | (0.29) | (0.12) | |
| adj. R ² | 0.29 | 0.54 | 0.11 | 0.63 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.66 | 0.84 |
| DW test | 1.89 | 1.87 | 1.79 | 2.06 | 1.95 | 1.93 | 1.94 | 2.00 |
| Number of obs | 32 | 31 | 27 | 31 | 31 | 22 | 22 | 32 |
| t-statistics and | l marginal sign significance at | ificance levels i the 10%, 5% ar | in parenthesis | S | | | | |

¹⁹ Also for the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and the USA.

Whether changes in emissions are time- or income- dependent cannot be unambiguously concluded, since the results are mixed. In four cases, the constant term, which stands for time-dependent changes in the intensity of use, has a positive and significant coefficient. This is contradictory to the undisputed assumption that, due to exogenous technological improvements, the pollutant emissions can be reduced. In five cases, however, the income-dependent intensity changes have the expected negative sign and are significantly different from zero. As before, the trade variable almost always fails to be significant. Therefore, no information about the relative forces of the factor endowment hypothesis and the displacement effect is obtained. In all estimations, where the one-period lagged growth rate of the considered pollutant is included in the estimation, no significant coefficients are obtained, but the Durbin-Watson statistics are remarkably improved. Thus, serial correlation seems to be no longer a problem here. In summary, this specification does not considerably improve the insights about the relationship between income and environmental degradation.

Table 4

| | SO ₂ | NO _x | CO_2 | PM | СО | NH ₃ | CH ₄ | NMVOC |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| const | -1.65 | -0.30 | -19.87 | -0.06 | -3.80** | -0.05 | 76.22 | 0.00 |
| | (0.69) | (0.76) | (0.46) | (0.33) | (2.01) | (0.72) | (0.94) | (0.00) |
| | (0.50) | (0.46) | (0.65) | (0.74) | (0.05) | (0.48) | (0.36) | (0.99) |
| ΔΥ | -3.13e-6 | -0.00 | 0.03 | -0.00 | 0.00 | -0.00 | -0.00 | -0.00*** |
| | (0.00) | (0.34) | (0.72) | (0.14) | (0.58) | (1.19) | (0.70) | (4.10) |
| | (0.99) | (0.73) | (0.48) | (0.89) | (0.57) | (0.25) | (0.49) | (0.00) |
| ECT_{t-1}^{20} | 0.21 | -0.18*** | -0.39*** | -0.13*** | -0.12* | -0.26*** | -0.05 | -0.21*** |
| LCI _{t-1} | (0.61) | (3.02) | (2.96) | (8.67) | (-1.86) | (2.90) | (0.95) | (5.61) |
| | (0.55) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.07) | (0.01) | (0.36) | (0.00) |
| D | 1.63 | -0.53 | -83.38 | -0.26 | 0.47 | -0.02 | -1.83 | -1.26*** |
| | (0.56) | (0.97) | (1.60) | (1.24) | (0.23) | (0.22) | (1.58) | (4.13) |
| | (0.58) | (0.34) | (0.13) | (0.23) | (0.82) | (0.83) | (0.13) | (0.00) |
| ΔE_{t-1}^{21} | 0.07 | 0.31* | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.55*** | 0.34* | 0.45* | 0.24* |
| | (0.31) | (1.91) | (1.35) | (0.91) | (3.98) | (1.79) | (1.90) | (1.84) |
| | (0.76) | (0.07) | (0.19) | (0.37) | (0.00) | (0.09) | (0.08) | (0.08) |
| adj. R ² | 0.0 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.74 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.29 | 0.88 |
| DW test | 1.78 | 2.11 | 1.95 | 1.79 | 2.29 | 2.08 | 1.99 | 2.21 |
| Number of obs | 31 | 31 | 27 | 32 | 31 | 22 | 22 | 31 |

*, **, *** for significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% level

 $^{^{20}}$ ECT stands for "error correction term" and corresponds to the term in square brackets of equation (8) or the residuals of equation (2)

²¹ E stands for the respective pollutant.

| Table 5 | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Endogenous | variable: emissi | ions first diffe | rence | | | | | |
| | SO_2 | NO _x | CO_2 | PM | CO | NH ₃ | CH_4 | NMVOC |
| const | 0.89 | -0.38 | -20.79 | -0.04 | -3.73** | 0.05 | 57.62 | 0.05 |
| | (0.53) | (0.92) | (0.44) | (0.24) | (2.22) | (0.84) | (0.69) | (0.32) |
| | (0.60) | (0.36) | (0.67) | (0.81) | (0.04) | (0.41) | (0.50) | (0.75) |
| ΔΥ | -8.8e-4 | -8.7e-5 | 0.03 | -6.8e-5 | 3.8e-4 | -1.4e-4* | -3.7e-4 | -6.2e-4*** |
| | (0.51) | (0.21) | (0.73) | (0.45) | (0.24) | (2.05) | (0.63) | (4.15) |
| | (0.62) | (0.83) | (0.47) | (0.66) | (0.81) | (0.06) | (0.54) | (0.00) |
| ECT _{t-1} ²² | -0.18 | -0.14*** | -0.40** | -0.13*** | -0.25*** | -0.80* | -0.04 | -0.22*** |
| t i | (0.73) | (2.97) | (2.64) | (9.02) | (3.19) | (2.07) | (0.70) | (5.39) |
| | (0.47) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.06) | (0.50) | (0.00) |
| ΔΙ | -149.92*** | -11.33 | -78.64 | -0.94 | -22.48 | 2.10* | 1.08 | 1.89 |
| ΔΙ | (4.30) | (1.57) | (0.09) | (0.31) | (0.79) | (1.93) | (0.13) | (0.66) |
| | (0.00) | (0.13) | (0.93) | (0.76) | (0.44) | (0.07) | (0.90) | (0.52) |
| D | -0.43 | -0.60 | -88.88 | -0.27 | -0.54 | -0.04 | -1.60 | -1.26*** |
| | (0.20) | (1.09) | (1.58) | (1.23) | (0.28) | (0.58) | (1.27) | (4.08) |
| | (0.85) | (0.29) | (0.13) | (0.23) | (0.78) | (0.57) | (0.22) | (0.00) |
| ΔE_{t-1}^{23} | | 0.30* | 0.23 | | 0.45*** | 0.53** | 0.44* | 0.24* |
| | | (1.76) | (1.29) | | (3.45) | (2.44) | (1.79) | (1.85) |
| | | (0.09) | (0.21) | | (0.00) | (0.03) | (0.09) | (0.08) |
| adj. R ² | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.29 | 0.73 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.24 | 0.88 |
| DW test | 1.72 | 1.97 | 1.96 | 1.79 | 2.16 | 1.83 | 1.98 | 2.36 |
| Number of obs | 32 | 31 | 27 | 32 | 31 | 22 | 22 | 31 |
| t-statistics an | d marginal signi significance at t | ficance levels | in parenthesi | s | | | | |

The results of equations (8) and (9), which follow the error correction model tradition, are set forth in tables 4 and 5. Two things strike the observer's eye. First, the income changes, i.e. the short-term dynamic, are mostly non-significant and in some cases do not have the predicted positive sign. The long-term dynamic, represented by the error correction term, however, has significant negative coefficients for NO_x, CO₂, PM, CO, NMVOC and NH₃²⁴. This can be interpreted in the sense that changes in income have no immediate influence on emissions but in the long run, the emissions follow an inverted U-shaped curve relative to income. The hump-shaped pattern is traced back to the non-linear specification of the error correction term. However, there is one reason why this interpretation is debatable. If environmental degradation indeed follows a hump-shaped curve, this result should already have been found in equations (2) and (4), respectively. But there, the EKC hypothesis could only be verified for NO_x and NH₃. Having said that, one can argue that if the distinction between long- and short-term changes is important, specifications where this differentiation is not made could

 $^{^{22}}$ ECT stands for "error correction term" and corresponds to the term in square brackets of equation (9) or the residuals of equation (4)

²³ See footnote (21)

²⁴ The coefficients of SO₂ and CH₄ are also negative but not significant.

lead to distorted results and that, therefore, equations with explicit short- and long-term dynamics should be preferred. As can be seen in Table 5, the trade variable, i.e. changes in the trade volume, exerts no decisive influence on emission changes. This endorses the previous results with regard to the displacement effect and the factor endowment hypothesis, respectively.

11. Summary and Conclusions

Using time series data for Germany instead of cross-country or panel data and testing different specifications to gain new insights into the EKC hypothesis for different pollutants, the estimation results remain ambiguous. First, the traditional semi-reduced form model and some extensions with additional explanatory variables, namely the trade relations, the GDP share of the industry sector and the income growth rate, are estimated. For nitrogen oxide and mostly for ammonia, an EKC pattern is found, with income turning points around 30,000 and DEM 33,000, respectively. Thus, for these two pollutants, the results of most cross-country studies can be confirmed. However, and more importantly, the other six pollutants do not show clear results. Either the t-statistics are unsatisfactory or the Durbin-Watson tests give rise to a rejection of these simple model specifications. Astonishingly, this is valid not only with respect to a possible EKC pattern, i.e. a positive linear income term together with a negative quadratic one, but also with respect to monotonically increasing or decreasing development paths of the considered harmful chemical emissions²⁵. These results indicate clearly that cross-country studies provide unreliable estimations. Second, and because of the variables' non-stationarity, an imitation of the model specification of de Bruyn et al. (1998) is empirically explored. Here, pollution and the exogenous variables are measured in growth rates rather than in levels, and the environmentally relevant technological progress is assumed to be either income- dependent or time-dependent (i.e. exogenous technological improvements). In contrast to their specification, the additional independent variable incorporated is not an energy price index but, following the modification of the semi-reduced model, a variable measuring the volume of foreign trade. However, de Bruyn et al. (1998)'s significant results for Germany, which also confirm predictions, cannot be endorsed in this study. Finally, motivated by error correction models, equations are estimated that distinguish between short- and long-term dynamics. But, contrary to the well-known error correction models (e.g. for a consumption function), the error correction term, i.e. the long-term

²⁵ At least if one does not impose the parameter restriction $\beta_2 = 0$. If one does, mostly implausible signs result.

influence, is specified as a hump-shaped function. The estimations show that in the short run, income changes do not have an influence on the pollutant emission. In the long run, however, some pollutant emissions seem to follow a U-shaped curve relative to income. But since this is not true for all pollutants and all in all the estimation results are not very robust, the question if EKCs really exist for a single country is not conclusively answered. Therefore, general policy recommendations with regard to the environment should only be based on the EKC approach with caution.

In conclusion, two points must be addressed. First, the quality and, for the most part, quantity of the data available is limited. It would be helpful for empirical researchers if they could access a more widespread data pool. Second, it is likely that imported explanatory variables are still omitted in the model specifications. Future research and especially theoretical work on the EKC hypothesis for a single country may lead to more adequate model specifications. Further empirical studies should maybe adhere less to the traditional semi-reduced form model and rather enlarge the well-known specifications by additional structural variables or use completely different approaches, e.g. non-linear estimation equations²⁶.

²⁶ Meaning non-linear in parameters.

References

- Antweiler, Werner, Brian R. Copland and M. Scott Taylor (2001), "Is Free Trade Good for the Environment?", *American Economic Review*, **91** (4), 877 908.
- Andreoni, James and Arik Levinson (1998), "The Simple Analytics of the Environmental Kuznets Curve", *NBER Working Paper No. 6739*.
- Beckerman, Wilfred (1992), "Economic Growth and the Environment: Whose Growth? Whose Environment?", *World Development*, **20** (4), 481 496.
- Borghesi, Simone (1999), "The Environmental Kuznets Curve: a Survey of the Literature", *European University Institute, Florence.*
- Bretschger, Lucas and Sjak Smulders (2001), "Explaining Environmental Kuznets Curves: How Pollution Induces Policy and New Technologies", in: Walid Ouestlati and Gilles Rotillon (eds.), *Macroeconomic Perspectives on Environmental Concerns*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, Chapter 5, forthcoming.
- Bulte, Erwin H. and Daan P. van Soest (2001), "Environmental Degradation in Developing Countries: Households and the (Reverse) Environmental Kuznets Curve", *Journal of Development Economics*, 65 (1), 225 – 235.
- Carson, Richard T., Yongil Jeon and Donald R. McCubbin (1997), "The relationship between air pollution emissions and income: US Data", *Environment and Development Economics*, **2** (4), 433 450.
- Cavlovic, Therese A., Kenneth H. Baker, Robert P. Berrens and Kishore Gawande (2000), "A Meta-Analysis of Environmental Kuznets Curve Studies", *Agriculture and Resource Economics Review*, 29 (1), 32 42.
- Cole, Matthew A., Anthony J. Rayner and John M. Bates (1997), "The environmental Kuznets curve: an empirical analysis", *Environment and Development Economics*, **2** (4), 401 416.
- Davidson, James E. H., David F. Hendry, Frank Srba and Stephen Yeo (1978), "Econometric modelling of the aggregate time series relationship between consumer expenditure and income in the United Kingdom", *The Economic Journal*, **88**, 661 – 692.
- de Bruyn, Sander M., Jeroen C. J. M. van den Bergh and Johannes B. Opschoor (1998),
 "Economic growth and emissions: reconsidering the empirical basis of environmental Kuznets curves", *Ecological Economics*, 25 (2), 161 175.
- de Groot, Henri L. F. (1999), "Structural Change, Economic Growth and the Environmental Kuznets Curve, A Theoretical Perspective", *OCFEB Research Memorandum 9911*, *Erasmus University Rotterdam*.

- Dijkgraaf, Elbert and Herman R. J. Vollebergh (1998), "Environmental Kuznets revisited, Time-series versus panel estimation: The CO₂ case", *OCFEB Research Memorandum* 9806, Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Ekins, Paul (1997), "The Kuznets curve for the environment and economic growth: examining the evidence", *Environment and Planning A*, **29** (5), 805 830.
- Federal Statistical Office, Wiesbaden, Germany (1966-1989), *Statistical Yearbook for the Federal Republic of Germany*, Stuttgart and Mainz: W. Kohlhammer GmbH.
- Federal Statistical Office, Wiesbaden, Germany (1989-2000), *Statistical Yearbook for the Federal Republic of Germany*, Stuttgart: Metzler-Poeschel.
- Grossman, Gene M. (1995), "Pollution and growth: What do we know?" in: Ian Goldin and Alan L. Winters (eds.), *The economics of sustainable development*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Grossman, Gene M. and Alan B. Krueger (1995), "Economic Growth and the Environment", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **110** (2), 353 377.
- Harberger, Arnold C. (1987), "Comment" in: Fischer, Stanley (ed.), NBER Macroeconomic Annual, London and Cambridge: MIT Press, 255 – 258.
- Kaufmann, Robert K., Brynhildur Davidsdottir, Sophie Garnham and Peter Pauly (1998),
 "The determinants of atmospheric SO₂ concentrations: reconsidering the environmental Kuznets curve", *Ecological Economics*, 25 (2), 209 220.
- Kuznets, Simon S. (1955), "Economic Growth and Income Inequality", *American Economic Review*, **45**, 1 28.
- Lieb, Christoph M. (2001), "The Environmental Kuznets Curve and Flow Versus Stock Pollution; When Abatement Increased Pollution", *Paper presented at the 11th Annual Conference of the European Association of Environmental and Resource Economics, Southampton.*
- List, John A. and Craig A. Gallet (1999), "The environmental Kuznets Curve: does one size fit all?", *Ecological Economics*, **31** (3), 409 423.
- McConnell, Kenneth E. (1997), "Income and the demand for environmental quality", *Environment and Development Economics*, **2** (4) 383 399.
- Moomaw, William R. and Gregory C. Unruh (1997), "Are environmental Kuznets curves misleading us? The case of CO₂ emissions", *Environment and Development Economics*, 2 (4), 451 463.
- Panayotou, Theodore (1997), "Demystifying the environmental Kuznets curve: turning a black box into a policy", *Environment and Development Economics*, **2** (4), 465 484.

- Rees, William E. (1994), "Pressing Global Limits: Trade as the Appropriation of Carrying Capacity", in Schrecker, T. and Dalgleish, J. (eds.), *Growth, trade, and environmental values*, London, Ontario: Westminster Institute for Ethics and Human Values.
- Roberts, J. Timmons and Peter E. Grimes (1997), "Carbon Intensity and Economic Development 1962-91: A Brief Exploration of the Environmental Kuznets Curve" *World Development*; 25 (2), 191 – 98.
- Rothman, Dale S. (1998), "Environmental Kuznets curves real progress or passing the buck? A case for consumption-based approaches", *Ecological Economics*, **25** (2), 177 197.
- Selden, Thomas M. and Daqing Song (1994), "Environmental Quality and Development: Is there a Kuznets Curve for Air Pollution Emissions?", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 27 (2), 147 – 162.
- Shafik, Nemat (1994), "Economic Development and Environmental Quality: An Econometric Analysis", *Oxford Economic Papers*, **46** (0), 757 773.
- Stern, David, I, Michael S. Common and Edward B. Barbier (1996), "Economic Growth and Environmental Degradation: The Environmental Kuznets Curve and Sustainable Development", *World Development*, 24 (7), 1151 – 1160.
- Suri, Vivek and Duane Chapman (1998), "Economic growth, trade and energy: implications for the environmental Kuznets curve", *Ecological Economics*, **25** (2), 195 208.
- Temple, Jonathan R. W. (1999), "The New Growth Evidence", *Journal of Economic Literature*, **37** (1), 112 156.
- Torras, Mariano and James K. Boyce (1998), "Income, inequality, and pollution: a reassessment of the environmental Kuznets Curve", *Ecological Economics*, 25 (2), 147 – 160.
- Unruh, Gregory C. and William R. Moomaw (1998), "An alternative analysis of apparent EKC-type transitions", *Ecological Economics*, **25** (2), 221 229.
- Vincent, Jeffrey R. (1997), "Testing for environmental Kuznets curves within a developing country", *Environment and Development Economics*, 2 (4), 417 – 431.

Appendix A

Figure 2: Environmental Kuznets Curve; $\beta_1 > 0$, $\beta_2 < 0$ and $\beta_3 = 0$



Figure 3: N-shaped curve; $\beta_1 > 0$, $\beta_2 < 0$ and $\beta_3 > 0$



Figure 4: Monotonically increasing: $\beta_1 > 0$, $\beta_2 = 0$ and $\beta_3 = 0$



Figure 5: Monotonically decreasing: $\beta_1 < 0$, $\beta_2 = 0$ and $\beta_3 = 0$



Appendix **B**

Figure 6: SO₂ per capita emissions, 1966 - 1998



Figure 7: NO_x per capita emissions, 1966 – 1998



Figure 8: CO₂ per capita emissions, 1970 – 1998



Figure 9: PM per capita emissions, 1966 - 1998







Figure 11: NH₃ per capita emission, 1975 – 1998



Figure 12: CH₄ per capita emissions, 1975 – 199



Figure 13: NMVOC per capita emissions, 1966 - 1998



Appendix C

In the following, the significant estimations of equations (3) and (4) are illustrated. Although these three-dimensional illustrations may be somewhat unfamiliar, these graphs are nothing but the fitted regression surface.

Figure 14: Estimated three-dimensional EKC for NO_x from equation (3), i.e. with gross value added by the industry sector



Figure 15: Estimated three-dimensional EKC for NH₃ from equation (3), i.e. with gross value added by the industry sector



Figure 16: Estimated three-dimensional EKC for NO_x from equation (4), i.e. with the sum of imports and exports of goods from pollution-intensive production relative to GDP



Figure 17: Estimated three-dimensional EKC for NH₃ from equation (4), i.e. with the sum of imports and exports of goods from pollution-intensive production relative to GDP



NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Papers Series Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: Server WWW: WWW.FEEM.IT

Anonymous FTP: FTP.FEEM.IT

http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

| SUST SUST | 1.2001 2.2001 | Inge MAYERES and Stef PROOST: Should Diesel Cars in Europe be Discouraged? Paola DORIA and Davide PETTENELLA: The Decision Making Process in Defining and Protecting Critical |
|-------------------|------------------|--|
| | | Natural Capital |
| CLIM | 3.2001 | Alberto PENCH: Green Tax Reforms in a Computable General Equilibrium Model for Italy |
| CLIM | 4.2001 | Maurizio BUSSOLO and Dino PINELLI: Green Taxes: Environment, Employment and Growth |
| CLIM | 5.2001 | Marco STAMPINI: Tax Reforms and Environmental Policies for Italy |
| ETA | 6.2001 | Walid OUESLATI: Environmental Fiscal Policy in an Endogenous Growth Model with Human Capital |
| CLIM | 7.2001 | Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Commitment and Emission Trading: a European Union Perspective |
| MGMT | 8.2001 | Brian SLACK (xlv): Globalisation in Maritime Transportation: Competition, uncertainty and implications for port development strategy |
| VOL | 9.2001 | Giulia PESARO: Environmental Voluntary Agreements: A New Model of Co-operation Between Public and Economic Actors |
| VOL | 10.2001 | Cathrine HAGEM: Climate Policy, Asymmetric Information and Firm Survival |
| ETA | 11.2001 | Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: <u>A Sequential Approach to the Characteristic Function and the Core in</u> |
| | | Games with Externalities |
| ETA | 12.2001 | <i>Gaetano BLOISE, Sergio CURRARINI and Nicholas KIKIDIS</i> : <u>Inflation and Welfare in an OLG Economy with</u> a Privately Provided Public Good |
| KNOW | 13.2001 | Paolo SURICO: Globalisation and Trade: A "New Economic Geography" Perspective |
| ETA | 14.2001 | Valentina BOSETTI and Vincenzina MESSINA: Quasi Option Value and Irreversible Choices |
| CLIM | 15.2001 | Guy ENGELEN (xlii): Desertification and Land Degradation in Mediterranean Areas: from Science to Integrated |
| artam | | Policy Making |
| SUST | 16.2001 | Julie Catherine SORS: Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable Development in Venice: A Comparative Assessment of Methods and Approaches |
| SUST | 17.2001 | Julie Catherine SORS: Public Participation in Local Agenda 21: A Review of Traditional and Innovative Tools |
| CLIM | 18.2001 | Johan ALBRECHT and Niko GOBBIN: Schumpeter and the Rise of Modern Environmentalism |
| VOL | 19.2001 | Rinaldo BRAU, Carlo CARRARO and Giulio GOLFETTO (xliii): Participation Incentives and the Design of |
| | | Voluntary Agreements |
| ETA | 20.2001 | Paola ROTA: Dynamic Labour Demand with Lumpy and Kinked Adjustment Costs |
| ETA | 21.2001 | Paola ROTA: Empirical Representation of Firms' Employment Decisions by an (S,s) Rule |
| ETA | 22.2001 | Paola ROTA: What Do We Gain by Being Discrete? An Introduction to the Econometrics of Discrete Decision Processes |
| PRIV | 23.2001 | <u>Stefano BOSI, Guillaume GIRMANS and Michel GUILLARD: Optimal Privatisation Design and Financial</u> |
| 1101 | 25.2001 | Markets |
| KNOW | 24.2001 | Giorgio BRUNELLO, Claudio LUPI, Patrizia ORDINE, and Maria Luisa PARISI: Beyond National Institutions: |
| 1211011 | 22001 | Labour Taxes and Regional Unemployment in Italy |
| ETA | 25.2001 | Klaus CONRAD: Locational Competition under Environmental Regulation when Input Prices and Productivity |
| PRIV | 26.2001 | <u>Differ</u> Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Juliet D'SOUZA, Marcella FANTINI and William L. MEGGINSON: Sources of |
| | | Performance Improvement in Privatised Firms: A Clinical Study of the Global Telecommunications Industry |
| CLIM | 27.2001 | Frédéric BROCHIER and Emiliano RAMIERI: Climate Change Impacts on the Mediterranean Coastal Zones |
| ETA | 28.2001 | Nunzio CAPPUCCIO and Michele MORETTO: Comments on the Investment-Uncertainty Relationship in a Real |
| | | Option Model |
| KNOW | 29.2001 | Giorgio BRUNELLO: Absolute Risk Aversion and the Returns to Education |
| CLIM | 30.2001 | Zhong Xiang ZHANG: Meeting the Kyoto Targets: The Importance of Developing Country Participation |
| ETA | 31.2001 | Jonathan D. KAPLAN, Richard E. HOWITT and Y. Hossein FARZIN: An Information-Theoretical Analysis of |
| | | Budget-Constrained Nonpoint Source Pollution Control |
| MGMT Coalition | 32.2001 | Roberta SALOMONE and Giulia GALLUCCIO: Environmental Issues and Financial Reporting Trends |
| Theory | 33.2001 | Shlomo WEBER and Hans WIESMETH: From Autarky to Free Trade: The Impact on Environment |
| Network | 24 2001 | Managerite CENIIIC and Elizabethe OTD (77ED). Media Colorite and Trate Con New New 1 Continue |
| ETA | 34.2001 | Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: Model Selection and Tests for Non Nested Contingent Valuation Models: An Assessment of Methods |

| NRM | 35.2001 | Carlo GIUPPONI: The Substitution of Hazardous Molecules in Production Processes: The Atrazine Case Study |
|---|--|--|
| KNOW | 36.2001 | in Italian Agriculture Raffaele PACI and Francesco PIGLIARU: Technological Diffusion, Spatial Spillovers and Regional |
| DD 117 | 25 2001 | Convergence in Europe |
| PRIV | 37.2001 | Bernardo BORTOLOTTI: Privatisation, Large Shareholders, and Sequential Auctions of Shares |
| CLIM | 38.2001 | Barbara BUCHNER: What Really Happened in The Hague? Report on the COP6, Part I, 13-25 November 2000, |
| | 20.2001 | The Hague, The Netherlands |
| PRIV | 39.2001 | Giacomo CALZOLARI and Carlo SCARPA: Regulation at Home, Competition Abroad: A Theoretical |
| | 10.0001 | Framework |
| KNOW | 40.2001 | Giorgio BRUNELLO: On the Complementarity between Education and Training in Europe |
| Coalition | 41.2001 | Alain DESDOIGTS and Fabien MOIZEAU (xlvi): Multiple Politico-Economic Regimes, Inequality and Growth |
| Theory | | |
| Network | | |
| Coalition | 42.2001 | Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS (xlvi): Limits to Climate Change |
| Theory | | |
| Network | | |
| Coalition | 43.2001 | Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN (xlvi): Endogenous Coalition Formation in Global Pollution |
| Theory | | Control |
| Network | | |
| Coalition | 44.2001 | Wietze LISE, Richard S.J. TOL and Bob van der ZWAAN (xlvi): Negotiating Climate Change as a Social |
| Theory | 11.2001 | Situation |
| Network | | |
| NRM | 45.2001 | Mohamad R. KHAWLIE (xlvii): The Impacts of Climate Change on Water Resources of Lebanon- Eastern |
| | 45.2001 | Mohamaa K. KHAWEIE (XIVII). The impacts of Chinate Change on water Resources of Leoanon- Eastern Mediterranean |
| NDM | 46 2001 | |
| NRM | 46.2001 | Mutasem EL-FADEL and E. BOU-ZEID (xlvii): Climate Change and Water Resources in the Middle East: |
| | | Vulnerability, Socio-Economic Impacts and Adaptation |
| NRM | 47.2001 | Eva IGLESIAS, Alberto GARRIDO and Almudena GOMEZ (xlvii): An Economic Drought Management Index to |
| | | Evaluate Water Institutions' Performance Under Uncertainty and Climate Change |
| CLIM | 48.2001 | Wietze LISE and Richard S.J. TOL (xlvii): Impact of Climate on Tourist Demand |
| CLIM | 49.2001 | Francesco BOSELLO, Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Davide RAGGI: Can Equity Enhance |
| | | Efficiency? Lessons from the Kyoto Protocol |
| SUST | 50.2001 | Roberto ROSON (xlviii): Carbon Leakage in a Small Open Economy with Capital Mobility |
| SUST | 51.2001 | Edwin WOERDMAN (xlviii): Developing a European Carbon Trading Market: Will Permit Allocation Distort |
| | | |
| | | Competition and Lead to State Aid? |
| SUST | 52.2001 | <u>Competition and Lead to State Aid</u> ? <i>Richard N. COOPER</i> (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept |
| SUST SUST | | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept |
| SUST | 53.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation</u> , Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe |
| SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u> Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u> |
| SUST | 53.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u> Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u> M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): <u>Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign</u> |
| SUST SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation</u> , <u>Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u> Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u> M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): <u>Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign</u> <u>Direct Investment</u> |
| SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights |
| SUST SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome Project |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome ProjectEfrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome ProjectEfrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty andEndogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome ProjectEfrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty andEndogenous Technical Change: Implications for KyotoGian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria inAscending Auctions for Multiple Objects |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome ProjectEfrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty andEndogenous Technical Change: Implications for KyotoGian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria inAscending Auctions for Multiple ObjectsElbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome ProjectEfrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty andEndogenous Technical Change: Implications for KyotoGian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria inAscending Auctions for Multiple ObjectsElbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research; Lessons from the Human Genome Project Effrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Orgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Mathew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Eersons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO (1): Inves |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 66.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Effrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Pao |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Eoreign Aid and Direct Investment; Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guiudo CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics an |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 66.2001 67.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade; Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research; Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 66.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and |
| SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM | 53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 66.2001 67.2001 | Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade; Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research; Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and |

| NRM | 70.2001 | Lee J. ALSTON, Gary D. LIBECAP and Bernardo MUELLER (li): Land Reform Policies, The Sources of |
|--------|----------------------|---|
| | | Violent Conflict and Implications for Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon |
| CLIM | 71.2001 | Claudia KEMFERT: Economy-Energy-Climate Interaction – The Model WIAGEM - |
| SUST | 72.2001 | Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Yohanes E. RIYANTO: Policy Instruments for Creating Markets for Bodiversity: |
| | | Certification and Ecolabeling |
| SUST | 73.2001 | Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Erik SCHOKKAERT (lii): Warm Glow and Embedding in Contingent Valuation |
| SUST | 74.2001 | Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Peter NIJKAMP (lii): Ecological-Economic Analysis |
| 1101 | 75 0001 | and Valuation of Biodiversity |
| VOL | 75.2001 | Johan EYCKMANS and Henry TULKENS (li): <u>Simulating Coalitionally Stable Burden Sharing Agreements for</u> |
| PRIV | 76.2001 | the Climate Change Problem Arel CAUTIER and Florign HEIDER: What Do Internal Capital Markets Do? Redistribution vs. Incentives |
| PRIV | 77.2001 | Axel GAUTIER and Florian HEIDER: What Do Internal Capital Markets Do? Redistribution vs. Incentives Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Marcella FANTINI and Domenico SINISCALCO: Privatisation around the World: |
| FKIV | //.2001 | New Evidence from Panel Data |
| ETA | 78.2001 | Toke S. AIDT and Jayasri DUTTA (li): Transitional Politics. Emerging Incentive-based Instruments in |
| | 70.2001 | Environmental Regulation |
| ETA | 79.2001 | Alberto PETRUCCI: Consumption Taxation and Endogenous Growth in a Model with New Generations |
| ETA | 80.2001 | Pierre LASSERRE and Antoine SOUBEYRAN (li): A Ricardian Model of the Tragedy of the Commons |
| ETA | 81.2001 | Pierre COURTOIS, Jean Christophe PÉREAU and Tarik TAZDAÏT: An Evolutionary Approach to the Climate |
| | | Change Negotiation Game |
| NRM | 82.2001 | Christophe BONTEMPS, Stéphane COUTURE and Pascal FAVARD: Is the Irrigation Water Demand Really |
| | | Convex? |
| NRM | 83.2001 | Unai PASCUAL and Edward BARBIER: A Model of Optimal Labour and Soil Use with Shifting Cultivation |
| CLIM | 84.2001 | Jesper JENSEN and Martin Hvidt THELLE: What are the Gains from a Multi-Gas Strategy? |
| CLIM | 85.2001 | Maurizio MICHELINI (liii): IPCC "Summary for Policymakers" in TAR. Do its results give a scientific support |
| | | always adequate to the urgencies of Kyoto negotiations? |
| CLIM | 86.2001 | Claudia KEMFERT (liii): Economic Impact Assessment of Alternative Climate Policy Strategies |
| CLIM | 87.2001 | Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: Global Warming and Financial Umbrellas |
| ETA | 88.2001 | Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: |
| | 00 2001 | Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms |
| ETA | 89.2001 | Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences |
| CUM | 00 2001 | of the Fed |
| CLIM | 90.2001 | <i>Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI:</i> <u>Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the</u> US <u>Make a Difference?</u> |
| CLIM | 91.2001 | ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO |
| SUST | 92.2001 | Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The |
| 5051 | 12.2001 | Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada |
| SUST | 93.2001 | Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced |
| | | Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England |
| CLIM | 94.2001 | Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector |
| CLIM | 95.2001 | Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and |
| | | Asymmetric Information |
| ETA | 96.2001 | Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options |
| SUST | 97.2001 | Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of |
| | | Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites |
| NRM | 98.2001 | Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the |
| | | Venice Area – Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant'Erasmo |
| NRM | 99.2001 | Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS: Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area – |
| | 100 2001 | Potentials of the Integrated Participatory Management Approach |
| NRM | 100.2001 | Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – A |
| PRIV | 101.2001 | <u>Methodological Framework</u> Enrico C. PEROTTI and Luc LAEVEN: Confidence Building in Emerging Stock Markets |
| | | |
| CLIM | 102.2001 | Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Igor CERSOSIMO: On the Consequences of the U.S. Withdrawal |
| OLICT | 102 2001 | from the Kyoto/Bonn Protocol |
| SUST | 103.2001 | Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ, Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant |
| | | <i>Carlos R RINUPATRUN and Ulga RUBIU-LEUNEL</i> : Valling Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant |
| CLICT. | | e |
| | 104 2001 | Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan |
| SUST | 104.2001 | Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing |
| SUST | 104.2001 | Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value |
| | | Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan <i>R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE:</i> Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value <u>Estimates</u> |
| SUST | 104.2001 105.2001 | Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan <i>R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE:</i> Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value <u>Estimates</u> <i>Clemens B.A. WOLLNY</i> : The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based |
| SUST | 105.2001 | Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan <i>R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE:</i> <u>Valuing</u> Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value <u>Estimates</u> <i>Clemens B.A. WOLLNY</i> : <u>The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based</u> <u>Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned?</u> |
| | | Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan <i>R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE:</i> Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value <u>Estimates</u> <i>Clemens B.A. WOLLNY</i> : The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based |
| SUST | 105.2001 | Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan <i>R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE:</i> <u>Valuing</u> Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates <i>Clemens B.A. WOLLNY</i> : The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based <u>Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned?</u> <i>J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE:</i> <u>Economic</u> <u>Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case</u> <u>Study</u> |
| SUST | 105.2001 | Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan <i>R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE:</i> <u>Valuing</u> Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value <u>Estimates</u> <i>Clemens B.A. WOLLNY</i> : <u>The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based</u> <u>Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned?</u> <i>J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE:</i> <u>Economic</u> <u>Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case</u> |

| SUST | 108.2001 | Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by |
|----------------------|--------------------|---|
| SUST | 109.2001 | <u>Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse</u> Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment |
| SUST | 1109.2001 | <i>M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU</i> : Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West |
| 5051 | 110.2001 | Africa |
| SUST | 1.2002 | K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' |
| | | Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa |
| ETA | 2.2002 | Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's |
| THE A TO | 2 2002 | Preferences? |
| WAT | 3.2002 | Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea |
| CLIM | 4.2002 | Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey |
| VOL | 5.2002 | Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Stable Coalitions</u> |
| CLIM | 6.2002 | Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International |
| CLIM | 0.2002 | Comparison on European Gasoline Markets |
| ETA | 7.2002 | Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Effichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An |
| | | Analytical Approach |
| KNOW | 8.2002 | Alain DESDOIGTS: <u>Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up</u> : A Contribution for Reaching a |
| NRM | 9.2002 | Consensus Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling |
| KNOW | 9.2002 10.2002 | Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11 |
| KINOW | 10.2002 | European Countries |
| ETA | 11.2002 | Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary |
| | | Policy |
| KNOW | 12.2002 | Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable |
| | | Growth? |
| NRM | 13.2002 | Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model |
| CLIM CLIM | 14.2002 15.2002 | <i>Philippe QUIRION</i> : <u>Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector</u> <i>Roberto ROSON</i> : <u>Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes</u> : |
| CLIW | 13.2002 | Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy |
| CLIM | 16.2002 | Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity |
| ETA | 17.2002 | Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance |
| | | Economy |
| Coalition | 18.2002 | László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities |
| Theory | | |
| Network Coalition | 19.2002 | |
| Theory | 19.2002 | Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected |
| Network | | Coalitions |
| Coalition | 20.2002 | Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures |
| Theory | | oundame INTERNIVOER (IIV). On the Stability of Cooperation Structures |
| Network | | |
| NRM | 21.2002 | Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach |
| CLIM | 22.2002 | in Insular Systems Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US |
| CLIW | 22.2002 | Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation |
| CLIM | 23.2002 | Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US |
| | | Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech |
| ETA | 24.2002 | Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours |
| CLIM | 25.2002 | Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from |
| | | Time Series Data for Germany |

(xlii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Climate Change and Mediterranean Coastal Systems: Regional Scenarios and Vulnerability Assessment" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in co-operation with the Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti, Venice, December 9-10, 1999.

(xliii)This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Voluntary Approaches, Competition and Competitiveness" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the research activities of the CAVA Network, Milan, May 25-26,2000.

(xliv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Green National Accounting in Europe: Comparison of Methods and Experiences" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the Concerted Action of Environmental Valuation in Europe (EVE), Milan, March 4-7, 2000 (xlv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "New Ports and Urban and Regional Development. The Dynamics of Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, May 5-6, 2000.

(xlvi) This paper was presented at the Sixth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, January 26-27, 2001

(xlvii) This paper was presented at the RICAMARE Workshop "Socioeconomic Assessments of Climate Change in the Mediterranean: Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation Co-benefits", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, February 9-10, 2001

(xlviii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop "Trade and the Environment in the Perspective of the EU Enlargement", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, May 17-18, 2001

(xlix) This paper was presented at the International Conference "Knowledge as an Economic Good", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and The Beijer International Institute of Environmental Economics, Palermo, April 20-21, 2001

(1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001 (li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001

(lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001

(liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

(liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002

2002 SERIES

| MGMT | Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich) |
|------|--|
| CLIM | Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti) |
| PRIV | Privatisation, Antitrust, Regulation (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti) |
| KNOW | Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli) |
| NRM | Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi) |
| SUST | Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation (Editor: Carlo Carraro) |
| VOL | Voluntary and International Agreements (Editor: Carlo Carraro) |
| ETA | Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro) |
| | |